1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION	
3	X	
4	SUHAIL NAJIM ABDULLAH AL : Civil Action No.: SHIMARI,et al., : 1:08-cv-827	
5	Plaintiffs, : versus : Friday, June 14, 2024	
6	: Alexandria, Virginia CACI PREMIER TECHNOLOGY, :	
7	INC., : Pages 1-7 Defendant. :	
8	x	
9	The above-entitled motions hearing was heard before the Honorable Leonie M. Brinkema, United States District	
10	Judge. This proceeding commenced at 9:43 a.m.	
11	<u>APPEARANCES:</u>	
12	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: BAHER AZMY, ESQUIRE THE CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS	
13	666 Broadway 7th Floor	
14	New York, New York 10012 (212) 614-6464	
15	THOMAS KICAK, ESQUIRE	
16	PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP 1133 Avenue of the Americas	
17	New York, New York 10036 (212) 336-2000	
18	SHEREEF AKEEL, ESQUIRE	
19	AKEEL & VALENTINE, PLC 888 West Big Beaver Road	
20	Suite 910 Troy, Michigan 48084	
21	(248) 269-9595	
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1	

1		D A N C E C.	
1	APPEA	RANCES:	
2	LINDA	'CONNOR, JR., ESQUIRE BAILEY, ESQUIRE	
3		MCCLURE, ESQUIRE E & JOHNSON LLP	
4	•	onnecticut Avenue, NW	
5		gton, D.C. 20036 429-3000	
6 7	•	INSBERG, ESQUIRE GINSBERG PC	
8		ing Street	
9	Alexan	dria, Virginia 22314 684-4333	
10	•	NIE M. AUSTIN, RPR, CRR al Court Reporter	
11	United	States District Court	
12	Alexan	urthouse Square dria, Virginia 22314	
13		298-1649 inReporting@gmail.com	
14	COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIP	TION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25		2	
	I	2	

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Civil Action Number
3	1:08-cv-827, Al Shimari, et al. versus CACI Premier
4	Technology, Inc.
5	Will counsel please note their appearance for the
6	record, first for the plaintiff.
7	MR. AZMY: Good morning, Your Honor. Baher Azmy,
8	Thomas Kicak and Shereef Akeel for the plaintiffs.
9	THE COURT: Good morning.
LO	MR. O'CONNOR: Good morning, Your Honor.
L1	John O'Connor, Linda Bailey, Nina Ginsberg and Joseph
L2	McClure for CACI.
L3	THE COURT: This is another déjà vu all over again
L 4	case; isn't it?
L 5	As you know, the jury, which spent actually more
L6	time, I think, deliberating than we did trying the case, was
L 7	unable to reach a unanimous verdict. We know from the
L8	report to the media, according to one juror, that the
L9	majority did find in favor of the plaintiffs, but obviously
20	that's not enough; they have to be unanimous.
21	And so we have before us today two motions. We
22	have the defendant's renewed motion for judgment as a matter
23	of law under Rule 50, and we also have the plaintiffs'
24	motion for a new trial.
25	Now, Counsel, I've read your papers, and I've
	•

carefully considered them. And this is a very difficult case, and I've gone back and forth myself and with my clerks about how we should resolve this. I, in part, to get ready for today, reread some of the trial testimony, including Mr. Porvaznik's, who I think is quite critical to this case. Because as you both know from your pleadings, the borrowed servant issue was a key issue in this case. And it was key not only — obviously, and it was problematic for the jury. I think the largest number of questions we got from the jury related to that concept.

2.0

I mean, one very interesting question that the jury raised was, well, if the conclusion of the various reports by the generals who reviewed what happened at Abu Ghraib was that there had been a complete loss of command by the military who was in charge, and that whole issue was whether or not the CACI employees were -- or CACI, through their employees, was able to exercise any dominion and control over the conduct or whether the military still had that ability.

If you read Mr. Porvaznik's testimony in toto, it cuts both ways. There are times when he says yes he could have pulled somebody if he knew they were violating the Geneva Convention, and then there are other times when he said, no, the military controlled everything.

So I cannot, and I am not going to grant the

Rule 50 motion. I've read it very carefully, Mr. O'Connor; I understand your frustration. There's clearly a lot of difficult issues in this case, but I am denying the motion.

2.0

I recognize, again -- and I said this at the trial, and I'll say it again for the record, the state secret issue in this case created problems for both sides. I think the plaintiffs, just as well as the defendants, have issues with whether they got a completely fair trial given the amount of information that could not be presented to the jury; however, since it affected both sides, I don't think that's a basis to grant the Rule 50 motion. So having denied the motion, I'm going to grant the motion for a new trial.

Now, the reason -- I could have done this on the papers, but the reason I'm having you here today, did you by any chance talk among yourselves or between yourselves about potential retrial dates? Because I want this case tried this year, and I have obviously some blackout times. The month of September is gone; however, I can try this case on August 5th or October 21 or 28. So I want to avoid having this case run too close to the holiday season, which, for all practical purposes, starts by the end of November and runs through Hanukkah and Christmas, and so we need to get -- and also avoids weather issues like snow. I want this case to get resolved one way or the other. So I'll let

```
1
     you, among yourselves, decide which of those work.
 2
               Now, in terms of the logistics, the one plaintiff
 3
     who was able to come to the United States, do you foresee
     any difficulty in his ability to get another visa to come
 4
 5
     back here?
 6
                               He should be able to return.
               MR. AZMY: No.
 7
               THE COURT: Has he gone back to his home?
               MR. AZMY: Yes. Yes. He's in Sweden.
 8
 9
               And one note, we can confer, I think what we've
10
     heard from local folks is that July and August --
11
               THE COURT: At the lectern, Counsel.
12
                          July and August are particularly
               MR. AZMY:
13
    miserable months in Iraq, like massive power outages, et
14
     cetera. So we will discuss, but our preference -- I think
15
     it's safer for October. Because of the intense heat there,
16
     they have massive power outages, and I think they were
17
     testifying morning here so afternoon there where that might
     become an issue.
18
19
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. O'Connor, you've not
2.0
     given any thought to dates when your witnesses would be
21
     available?
22
               MR. O'CONNOR: We've not cleared specific dates,
23
     Your Honor. I would suspect we can probably make one of
24
     those in October work.
25
               THE COURT: All right.
```

6

1	MR. O'CONNOR: But we will now that we have
2	concrete dates on offer, we will promptly get up with our
3	witnesses and confer with plaintiffs' counsel.
4	THE COURT: All right. And I will, once again, as
5	I often do, you know, my mantra in most civil cases is I
6	would hope that both sides might think about trying to
7	resolve this case without any admission of liability.
8	You've already had a jury that couldn't find liability. All
9	right. So it might be a wise thing to consider, but I'll
10	leave that with you. All right.
11	MR. O'CONNOR: We're going to try it, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right. That's fine. We'll see
13	you then in a few weeks. All right. Thank you.
14	(Proceedings adjourned at 9:49 a.m.)
15	
16	I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
17	transcription of my stenographic notes.
18	
19	Stephanie Austin
20	Stephanie M. Austin, RPR, CRR
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	7