Faridi Declaration Ex. 14

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
2	ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
3	x SUHAIL NAJIM ABDULLAH AL : Civil Action No.:
4	SHIMARI, et al., : 1:08-cv-827 Plaintiffs, :
5	versus : Monday, April 29, 2024
6	: Alexandria, Virginia CACI PREMIER TECHNOLOGY, : Volume X
7	INC., : Pages 1-16
8	
9	The above-entitled jury trial was heard before the Honorable Leonie M. Brinkema, United States District Judge.
10	This proceeding commenced at 9:40 a.m.
11	APPEARANCES:
12	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: BAHER AZMY, ESQUIRE THE CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
13	666 Broadway 7th Floor
14	New York, New York 10012 (212) 614-6464
15	MUHAMMAD FARIDI, ESQUIRE MICHAEL BUCHANAN, ESQUIRE
16	BONITA ROBINSON, ESQUIRE ANDREW HADDAD, ESQUIRE
17	MICHAEL FISHER, ESQUIRE THOMAS KICAK, ESQUIRE
18	SCOTT KIM, ESQUIRE ALEXANDRA MAHLER-HAUG, ESQUIRE
19	PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP 1133 Avenue of the Americas
20	New York, New York 10036 (212) 336-2000
21	(212) 330-2000
22	
23	
24	
25	
	1

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR THE DEFENDANT: JOHN O'CONNOR, JR., ESQUIRE LINDA BAILEY, ESQUIRE
3	JOSEPH MCCLURE, ESQUIRE STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
4	1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW 7th Floor
5	Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 429-3000
6	NINA GINSBERG, ESQUIRE
7	DIMUROGINSBERG PC 1101 King Street
8	Suite 610 Alexandria, Virginia 22314
9	(703) 684-4333
10	FOR THE UNITED REBECCA LEVENSON, ESQUIRE STATES: OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
11	2100 Jamieson Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22314
12	(703) 299-3700
13	STEPHEN ELLIOTT, ESQUIRE JASON LYNCH, ESQUIRE
14	ELIZABETH TULIS, ESQUIRE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
15	CIVIL DIVISION FEDERAL PROGRAMS BRANCH 1100 L Street, NW
16	Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 598-0905
17	COURT REPORTER: STEPHANIE M. AUSTIN, RPR, CRR
18	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
19	401 Courthouse Square Alexandria, Virginia 22314
20	(571) 298-1649 S.AustinReporting@gmail.com
21	
22	COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES
23	
24	
25	2

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Civil Action 3 Number 1:08-cv-827, Suhail Najim Abdullah Al Shimari, et al. 4 versus CACI Premier Technology, Inc. 5 Will counsel please note their appearance for the 6 record, beginning with the plaintiff. 7 MR. FARIDI: Good morning, Your Honor. 8 Muhammad Faridi on behalf of the plaintiffs. I'm joined by 9 my colleagues, Baher Azmy and Alex Mahler-Haug. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. 11 MR. O'CONNOR: Good morning, Your Honor. 12 John O'Connor for CACI. I'm joined by my co-counsel, 13 Linda Bailey, Nina Ginsberg and Joseph McClure. 14 THE COURT: Good morning. 15 All right. As you know, at the end of court on 16 Friday just as they were leaving -- so we were actually out 17 of session at that point -- the jury handed us a note: 18 there any way we could get more evidence binders? And I 19 thought that was unclear whether they meant the binder 20 clips, because they had asked for that previously, whether 21 they're looking for what I would call notebooks, you know, 22 in which they could put -- or whether they want the exhibits 23 themselves. So we emailed you over the weekend, requested 24 that each side bring back to court one set of just the 25 exhibits that have been entered; however, it occurs to me

```
1
     that I'm now concerned about the record for the Court of
 2
     Appeals. It has to be -- you know, the integrity of that
 3
     record has to be maintained.
 4
               And so I think the better approach is -- first of
 5
     all, I'm going to have the jury come in. I'm going to ask
     them what this meant. If, in fact, they want the
 6
 7
     evidence -- rather than just giving them two separate sets,
 8
     because then I can see it getting mixed up, I don't know
 9
     what they're doing with it -- I'm going to ask them to
10
     indicate which exhibits they want to see, we will then get
11
     the copies from you, put a big copy stamp on it so that when
12
     we go to sort things out so the Court of Appeals gets the
13
     record as it was properly entered into evidence.
14
               Is there anybody who has an objection to
15
     proceeding that way?
16
               MR. FARIDI: None from our side, Your Honor.
17
               MR. O'CONNOR: No, Your Honor.
18
               And just for the Court's information, we exchanged
19
     this morning and looked at each other's binders, and they're
20
     accurate. The PII is all gone, and so there's no issues
21
     with it.
22
               THE COURT: Great. Okay. So that will take care
23
     of that.
24
               Now, the second issue that came up over the
25
     weekend -- and, again, I don't know whether you received
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

copies of this or not, but Rhonda Montgomery, who was the court reporter who was covering the case on Friday, got an email from a Jada Clarke, who's a production associate at Nightline ABC News, and she would like to order the court exhibit -- the court exhibit for case -- for this case. I think she meant exhibits. I don't know what she wants, but we don't have -the exhibits are with the jury right now; I can't make copies available for this reporter at this point. I'm going to direct Ms. Montgomery to write back to her and tell her the exhibits are with the jury so we don't have -- we can't provide -- the Court can't provide them, but you all could. And when I tried the Moussaoui case, because there had been pressure from the media to see the exhibits as they went in, the protocol that I recall we had was that each side was responsible for uploading in a --I can't recall whether they put them on our website or -- in other words, filed them in the case itself or whether they did it on their individual websites, but they made available to the press that way all exhibits that had been entered into evidence the previous day. So I'm going to see whether or not she can wait until the jury finishes its verdict. If she's pushing hard, then she'll have to get them from you all, so I'm just putting you on notice to that. All right.

```
1
               Now, is there someone here from the United States?
 2
                          Yes, Your Honor.
               MS. TULIS:
 3
                          Is there an issue we have to address?
               THE COURT:
               MS. TULIS: No, Your Honor. I'm just here to
 4
 5
    monitor the proceedings.
 6
               THE COURT: Oh, all right. I thought for some
 7
     reason there was some issue.
 8
               All right. Is there anything else from counsel?
 9
     The jury is here, so I think we'll just bring them in, and
10
     I'm going to ask them what the question meant in terms of
11
     the folders.
12
                         Your Honor, we filed papers last night
13
     related to the borrowed servant instruction.
14
               THE COURT: First of all, there's no pending
15
     question about the borrowed servant, so I'm not going to sua
16
     sponte assume that that's what's holding them up. There are
17
     other issues which could very well be holding them up.
18
               Number two, even if that were the question, I
19
     don't agree with your proposal. I think it goes beyond what
     the Fourth Circuit deems to be the proper formulation.
20
21
     I've read it, but I already told the jury you can wear two
22
     hats. I put that -- I added that verbally. The first
23
     sentence of that instruction clearly says you can be working
24
     for two people at the same time.
25
               The issue is clearly from the Fourth Circuit's
```

```
1
     viewpoint, and I think appropriately under my view from my
 2
     viewpoint as well, is whether or not the conditions of work
 3
     that the person is performing are who's controlling it.
 4
     That's the question. And I think that's fairly articulated
 5
     in the instruction plus the supplement that they have.
 6
     you've made your record on that issue.
 7
               MR. AZMY: I understand, Your Honor. I just
 8
     think -- we understand the first sentence, I just think it
 9
     doesn't really tell the jury what follows from the fact that
10
     you wear two hats. I don't think they're left quessing.
11
     Does that mean there's a borrowed servant doctrine in play
12
     or not, and I think that, for us, might be the source of the
13
     confusion.
14
                           I think the confusion is, frankly, the
               THE COURT:
15
     facts in this case are very difficult, and they cut in both
16
     ways. And so we'll have to see, if the jury does, in fact,
17
     hang whether this case goes for another trial or not, we'll
18
     take up after that. Let's wait and see what the jury does
19
     today.
20
               Let's bring them in, please.
21
               THE CSO: Yes, Judge.
22
               Rise for the jury.
23
                      (Jury present at 9:46 a.m.)
24
               THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
25
               It's a nice bright day. I hope that the air
                                                                7
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
conditioning is working. Sometimes it over -- it overworks,
so let us know if it gets too cold in there, and if it's not
cold enough, also, obviously, let us know.
          When you left on Friday, there was a question that
I actually don't understand, so I'm going to ask the
foreperson to let me know what you meant by this.
          What do you mean by "evidence binders"? Are you
looking for more of those black clips? Are you looking for
more what I would call three-ring binders, or are you
looking for more exhibits? Can you tell me what you're
looking for?
          THE FOREPERSON: We were wondering if we could
have more exhibits, so a second PTX and a second DTX binder,
if possible.
          THE COURT: Okay. All right. The answer is --
it's a qualified answer. I don't want to give you a
complete second set of exhibits, in part because I'm worried
about you mixing them up with the originals, because what
you have now are the original exhibits, which I hope you've
been keeping in order.
          If there are specific exhibits that you do want
another copy of, you need to write them down on a list.
Because you've got the index. All right. And what we will
do is, we will put a big stamp on them that says copy. And
in terms of the copy, if you want to write on the copy, you
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

18

20

21

```
can write on it, but you'll have a second exhibit you can
     look at, rather than just giving you all of the exhibits
     again, because that's going to get difficult to then
     control. All right.
               So feel free to then go through the index, and
     that's a good chance for the eight of you to start talking
     again collectively about what it is you would like to
     refresh your memories about. All right. Will that help?
               THE FOREPERSON:
                              Yeah.
               THE COURT: Because I doubt you want all the
     exhibits back.
                     So go ahead and give us the list. When the
13
     list comes in, Counsel, unless there is an objection to the
     list, I don't need to come back on the bench. I've got a
15
     settlement conference I'm doing across the hall, but I'll
16
     come in if there is an issue.
17
               The procedure is, we'll put a big copy stamp on
     each exhibit that goes into the jury room so that the jurors
19
     can be looking at that.
               All right. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.
     can go back to your deliberations. We'll get that to you as
22
     soon as you give us the list.
23
                     (A brief recess was taken.)
               THE COURT: All right. As you know, we've got a
25
     question from the jury, and they've asked: Can we have a
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

definition of "scope of employment" or a bit more clarification of what that term means in this case/context? And I've given you a proposed supplementary instruction. So let me hear the plaintiffs' sense of that. MS. MAHLER-HAUG: Your Honor, Alex Mahler-Haug for plaintiffs. Plaintiffs submit that while the supplementary Instruction Number 2 does provide the jury with some additional useful information, it's missing some key instruction that will allow the jury to sort through the facts at issue in this case. Plaintiffs submit that the jury should be instructed that an act is within an employee's scope of employment, even if that conduct is unauthorized, as long as it was foreseeable in light of the employee's duties. that's consistent with this initial instruction about the conduct being the same general nature. That's also a point from this Court's 2009 opinion in this case, so it does reflect law of the case in that instance. And that additional instruction would also be consistent with the Fourth Circuit's definition of scope of employment, that an act is within the scope if it concerns a matter generally entrusted to the employee by the company, even if the company did not actually authorize or direct a particular act.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So plaintiffs would submit that this Court provide some additional information to the jury as part of its supplementary instruction to ensure that it is complete and consistent with precedent. THE COURT: Then I think what I should simply do is reread Instruction 26, because that language is there. That is the last sentence: Conduct is within the scope of employment if it is of the same general nature as that authorized or incidental to the conduct authorized by the corporation. That's right from the Fourth Circuit. MS. MAHLER-HAUG: And plaintiffs would submit that an additional explanation, which the jury has requested, is that conduct that is foreseeable in light of the duties entrusted to the employee by the company falls within the scope of employment. So that would provide additional elaboration to give the jury a sense of how to apply the law in these situations. THE COURT: All right. Let me see from Mr. O'Connor. MR. O'CONNOR: Thank you, Your Honor. We think the instruction as written is appropriate as far as it goes. It pulls straight from the Estate of Alvarez, which is a decision this year which the Fourth Circuit established guidance regarding scope of employment. The one comment that we have is consistent with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
the Court's supplemental instruction on borrowed servant, we
think it would be appropriate to remind the jury that the
plaintiffs in this case have the burden of proof, as the
Court reminded the jury that we have the burden of proof
with the supplemental borrowed servant instruction.
          THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring the jury in.
          THE CSO: Yes, Judge.
                (Jury present at 3:55 p.m.)
          THE COURT: You all may have a seat.
          Ladies and gentlemen, you've sent us the following
question, and, again, this shows how carefully you're
thinking about the case. And the question is: Can we have
a definition of "scope of employment" or a bit more
clarification of what that term means in this case/context?
          I, first of all, want to remind you about Jury
Instruction 26, which is the general corporate
responsibility for employee conduct. That's the instruction
that I think you have some questions about. So just to
repeat what that says: A corporation is liable under the
law for the acts of its employees, agents, directors and
officers performed within the scope of their employment.
Conduct is within the scope of employment if it is of the
same general nature as that authorized or incidental to the
conduct authorized by the corporation.
         Now, I'm giving you Supplementary Instruction
                                                          12
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number 2, which you will have with you. We'll make some copies for you for the jury room. Whether conduct is within the scope of employment depends upon whether the conduct is of the same general nature as that authorized or incidental to the conduct authorized by the corporation. This is a fact-bound issue that requires a jury to look at all the facts and circumstances in evidence to determine whether the conduct of an employee, which results in injury to another, is sufficiently related in time, place and causation to the defendant's duties to be attributable to the employer's business. For example, a real estate company hires a real estate agent to show a house that is on the market. showing a potential buyer around the house, the agent injures a buyer's foot when closing a bedroom door. agent is acting within the scope of his employment as the agent of the real estate company. On the other hand, if the agent showed a potential buyer pornographic pictures during the walk-through causing the buyer emotional distress, the agent would be acting outside the scope of his employment as an agent of the real estate company. I hope that example helps you. Just as a reminder, the burden is on the plaintiff to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the CACI employees were acting within the scope of their

```
1
     employment for the corporate defendant in order for the
 2
     defendant to be liable. All right.
 3
               Anything further counsel want the Court to address
 4
     on this?
 5
               MR. O'CONNOR: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 6
               MR. FARIDI: Not at the moment, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. Then, ladies and
 8
     gentlemen, we'll have some copies of this additional
 9
     instruction made for you, and we'll let you go back to your
10
     deliberations.
11
               Thank you. We'll recess court.
12
                    (Jury not present at 3:58 p.m.)
13
                         (A recess was taken.)
14
               THE COURT: All right. We'll bring the jury back
15
          I know they've ordered lunch for tomorrow, so they're
16
     planning on being here tomorrow.
17
               MR. FARIDI: Your Honor, before the jury comes
18
     in --
19
               THE COURT: Yes.
               MR. FARIDI: -- can I just make one note?
20
21
               THE COURT: Yes.
22
               MR. FARIDI: During the last session, Your Honor,
23
     I think you instructed the jury that plaintiffs bear the
24
     burden on establishing that the conduct of the CACI
25
     interrogators was within the scope of the employment.
                                                                14
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
I want to lodge a quick objection to that.
think our view of the law, Your Honor, is that the only
thing that we need to demonstrate is that the interrogators
were employed by CACI. The burden then shifts over to CACI
to establish that the acts that they perpetuated were not
within the scope of the employment. So I just wanted to
make a record of that.
          THE COURT: All right. That's fine.
          Let's bring the jury in.
          THE CSO: Yes, Judge.
                (Jury present at 6:00 p.m.)
          THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I
know it's been another long day for your all, and you've
ordered lunch for tomorrow, so you're coming back tomorrow.
And I think we're able to accommodate your lunch request.
          Again, I want to commend you for working so
diligently on this case, and, again, you've asked very good
questions. I have a full docket in court tomorrow morning,
so there may be a slightly longer delay in answering any of
your questions, but we will get them answered as quickly as
possible.
          Please remember my standard cautions to avoid any
coverage of this case. There is definitely some media
coverage, so please avoid it. Don't discuss the case with
anyone, don't have any private conversations with each
                                                          15
```

```
1
    other. You've been behaving beautifully as jurors, so just
 2
    keep up the good work. It's warm out there, but at least
 3
    bright and sunny, so get yourselves a break, and we'll see
    you back here tomorrow morning. I assume the same schedule
 4
 5
    now, the 9:30 start time for tomorrow?
 6
              THE FOREPERSON: Yes. 9:30 start time tomorrow.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. And lunch at 1:00 and then sort
 8
    of the mid-morning and mid-afternoon breaks that you've been
 9
    doing? Great.
10
              All right. Folks, we'll let you go home for the
11
    evening, and we'll recess for the day. We will be in court
12
    tomorrow morning at 9:00 on unrelated matters just for
    counsels' purposes.
13
14
                (Proceedings adjourned at 6:03 p.m.)
15
                 _____
16
    I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
17
    transcription of my stenographic notes.
18
                                 Stephanie Austin
19
20
                              Stephanie M. Austin, RPR, CRR
21
22
23
24
25
                                                             16
```