1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MAYER BROWN LLP Matthew H. Marmolejo (CA Bar No. 2429 mmarmolejo@mayerbrown.com 333 S. Grand Avenue 47th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503 Telephone: +1.213.229.9500 Ori Lev (DC Bar No. 452565) (pro hac vice) olev@mayerbrown.com 1999 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: +1.202.263.3000 Facsimile: +1.202.263.3300 VINSON & ELKINS LLP Stephen M. Medlock (VA Bar No. 78819) (pro hac vice) smedlock@velaw.com 2200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Ste. 500 V	
11	Washington, DC 20037 Telephone: +1.202.639.6500	
12	Facsimile: +1.202.879.8939	
13	CENTER FOR GENDER AND REFUGEE	
14	Melissa Crow (DC Bar No. 453487)	
15	(pro hac vice) crowmelissa@uclawsf.edu	
16 17	1121 14th Street, N.W., Suite 200 Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: +1.202.355.4471 Facsimile: +1.415.581.8824	
18	Additional Attorneys for Plaintiffs Listed	
19	on Next Page	
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
21	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
22	AL OTRO LADO, INC., et al.,	Case No.: 3:23-cv-01367-AGS-BLM
23	Plaintiffs,	Hon. Andrew G. Schopler
24	V.	PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF
25	ALEJANDRO N. MAYORKAS, et al.,	SUPPLEMENTAL FACTS
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		

```
1
     MAYER BROWN LLP
     Michelle N. Webster (DC Bar No. 985265)
 2
     (pro hac vice)
     Mwebster@mayerbrown.com
 3
     1999 K Street, N.W.
     Washington, DC 20006
 4
     Telephone: +1.202.263.3000
     Facsimile: +1.202.263.3300
 5
     Matthew E. Fenn (NY Bar No. 5391149)
     (pro hac vice)
 6
     Mfenn@mayerbrown.com
     71 S. Wacker Dr.
 7
     Chicago, IL 60606
     Telephone: +1.312.782.0600
 8
     VINSON & ELKINS LLP
 9
     Evan Miller (DC Bar No. 219310)
     (pro hac vice)
10
     emiller@velaw.com
     Nataly Farag (DC Bar No. 90006516)
11
     (pro hac vice)
     nfarag@velaw.com
12
     Alex Rant (DC Bar No. 1780786)
     (pro hac vice)
13
     årant@velaw.com
     Rami Abdallah E. Rashmawi (DC Bar No. 1780184)
14
     (pro hac vice)
     rrashmawi@velaw.com
     2200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Ste. 500 W
15
     Washington, DC 20037
     Telephone: +1.202.639.6500
Facsimile: +1.202.879.8939
16
17
18
     CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
     Baher Azmy (NY Bar No. 2860740)
19
     (pro hac vice forthcoming)
     bazmy@ccrjustice.org
     Angelo Guisado (NY Bar No. 5182688)
20
     (pro hac vice forthcoming)
21
     aguisado@ccrjustice.org
     666 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10012
22
     Telephone: +1.212.614.6464
23
     Facsimile: +1.212.614.6499
24
     AMERICAN IMMIGRATION COUNCIL
     Gianna Borroto (IL Bar No. 6305516)
25
     (pro hac vice)
     gborroto@immcouncil.org
Katherine Melloy Goettel (IA Bar No. 53821)
26
     (pro hac vice)
27
     kgoettel@immcouncil.org
     Suchita Mathur (NY Bar No. 5373162)
28
     (pro hac vice)
```

Plaintiffs submit the attached declarations and this notice of supplemental facts describing events that occurred after the filing of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Dkt. 39). These supplemental facts support Plaintiffs' claim that CBP has a policy of turning back asylum seekers who are in the process of arriving at Class A ports of entry on the U.S.-Mexico border ("POEs") and confirm that the policy continues to be enforced against individuals arriving at the border.

On August 17, 2023, Defendants provided appointments for Individual Plaintiffs and their family members to present at the San Ysidro POE to be inspected and processed. Plaintiffs Luisa Doe, Somar Doe, and Guadalupe Doe and their family members were scheduled to present at the PedWest Entrance to the San Ysidro POE on August 18, 2023, at 8 p.m. Ex. 1 ¶ 4; Ex. 2 ¶ 2. Plaintiffs Diego Doe, Laura Doe, and Michelle Doe and their family members were scheduled to present at the San Ysidro POE on August 19, 2023, at noon. Ex. 1 ¶ 15; Ex. 3 ¶ 2. Defendants' counsel confirmed these appointments and assured Plaintiffs' counsel that no additional documentation was needed. Ex. 1 ¶ 4.

On August 18, 2023, around 7:30 p.m., Plaintiffs Luisa Doe, Somar Doe, and Guadalupe Doe and their family members arrived at the San Ysidro Chaparral (Ped West) POE. Ex. 1 ¶ 5. They were accompanied by Nicole Ramos, the Border Rights Project Director of Al Otro Lado. *Id.* ¶¶ 2, 5. When they presented themselves, CBP refused to inspect and process them despite Defendants' counsel's agreement and assurances that they would be processed. *Id.* ¶ 6; Ex. 2 ¶ 4.

After CBP turned back those Plaintiffs and their family members at the PedWest entrance to the San Ysidro POE, Ms. Ramos accompanied them as they walked to the PedEast entrance to the San Ysidro POE, a distance of approximately one kilometer. Ex. 1 ¶ 8. At the PedEast entrance, a CBP officer turned back the Plaintiffs again, after consulting with a supervisor. *Id.* ¶ 9. The CBP officer advised the Individual Plaintiffs that they had to return to the PedWest entrance to the San Ysidro POE to be inspected and processed. *Id.*; Ex. 2 ¶ 5.

Plaintiffs, accompanied by Ms. Ramos, then returned to the PedWest Entrance to the San Ysidro POE. Ex. 1 ¶ 10. When they arrived, a Mexican private security guard stationed near the POE told Plaintiffs and their families that no one was available to take them to the U.S. side of the POE, so they would have to return the next day. *Id.* Ms. Ramos then called the CBP supervisor telephone line. *Id.* ¶¶ 11-12. CBP confirmed that no one was available to escort the families from the Mexican side to the U.S. side of the POE and advised that Plaintiffs should return to the PedEast entrance to the San Ysidro POE. *Id.* ¶ 12.

Pursuant to these instructions, Plaintiffs and their family members returned to the PedEast entrance to the San Ysidro POE. *Id.* ¶ 13. Initially, a CBP officer indicated that they would need to return to the PedWest Entrance to the San Ysidro POE. *Id.* However, after Ms. Ramos explained that a CBP supervisor had directed them to return to the PedEast entrance to the San Ysidro POE, CBP finally inspected and processed the Plaintiffs. *Id.* ¶¶ 13-14; Ex. 2 ¶ 7. This ordeal took nearly four hours. Ex. 1 ¶ 14.

The next morning, August 19, 2023, counsel for Plaintiffs communicated with counsel for Defendants about the events of the previous night. Plaintiffs' counsel asked Defendants' counsel to communicate with CBP officials at the POE to ensure the same issues did not recur when three additional plaintiffs and their family members had appointments at noon that day. Defendants' counsel relayed that CBP officials at the San Ysidro POE were made aware of plaintiffs' appointments and did not anticipate any issues.

Shortly before their appointments at noon, Ms. Ramos accompanied Plaintiffs Diego Doe, Michelle Doe, Laura Doe and their family members to the PedWest Entrance to the San Ysidro POE. Ex. 1 ¶ 15; Ex. 3 ¶ 2. CBP refused to process the Plaintiffs and directed them to go to the PedEast Entrance to the San Ysidro POE because that is where CBP processes "special cases." Ex. 1 ¶ 16.

After being turned back at the PedWest entrance to the San Ysidro POE, the Plaintiffs and their family members then made the one-kilometer walk to the PedEast entrance to the San Ysidro POE. Ex. 1 ¶ 17. At the PedEast entrance to the San Ysidro POE, CBP again refused to process Plaintiffs, directing them to return to the PedWest Entrance to the San Ysidro POE. *Id.* After Ms. Ramos intervened, a CBP supervisor confirmed that the Plaintiffs would have to return to the PedWest entrance to the San Ysidro POE for processing. *Id.* ¶¶ 17-18; Ex. 3 ¶ 4.

Following their return to the PedWest entrance to the San Ysidro POE, the Plaintiffs and their families were eventually processed. Ex. 1 ¶ 19. This ordeal took about 2 ½ to 3 hours. *Id.* ¶ 20.

23cv01367