

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 09, 2010 11:48 AM  
**To:** Greenberg, Randi L  
**Subject:** RE: Speaker Pelosi Meeting Notes - DRAFT

Thanks. Please provide the citation for the appropriations language, i.e. report number and section, and the original plan that went to the hill. If an updated plan has been submitted to the committee, please include that also.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

*Office of Congressional Relations*  
*U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement*

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

**From:** Greenberg, Randi L  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 09, 2010 11:36 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** Re: Speaker Pelosi Meeting Notes - DRAFT

I don't think so...will ask our Chief of Staff.

To clarify - do you want the original, or the updated version if it's final and approved for sharing?

Randi Greenberg  
Branch Chief, Communications & Outreach  
Secure Communities, ICE

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(Apologies for any typos as I am sending this from my BlackBerry)

---

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**To:** Greenberg, Randi L  
**Sent:** Wed Jun 09 11:35:41 2010  
**Subject:** RE: Speaker Pelosi Meeting Notes - DRAFT

Randi

Per (b)(6), "Is there anything private/protected about the strategic plan?"

(b)(7)

We are inclined to provide the report because the staff has access to the language.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

*Office of Congressional Relations*  
*U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement*

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

**From:** Greenberg, Randi L  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 08, 2010 10:05 AM  
**To:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) Rapp, Marc A; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

11/16/2010

ICE FOIA 10-2674.0011656

**Subject:** RE: Speaker Pelosi Meeting Notes - DRAFT

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

My edits are below (questions in blue and responses in green). Additionally, I took notes on a different get-back than what you captured. Please let us know what OCR would like to provide. I believe they asked for the initial language in the appropriations, not for the Strategic Plan itself.

Thanks,

Randi Greenberg

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 08, 2010 9:34 AM

**To:** Rapp, Marc A; Greenberg, Randi L (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Cc:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

**Subject:** Speaker Pelosi Meeting Notes - DRAFT

Below are my notes from yesterdays meeting with the staff of Speaker Pelosi. Please add anything you feel should be included.

To ensure understanding, 50% of ICE removals are Criminal Aliens? Yes, up from about 34% at the same time last year.

Are juvenile offenders captured through this process? **No**

Why is the program not deployed along the northern border? **Based upon modeling, there is not enough population density of Criminal Aliens to support deployment along the northern border at this time. Deployment has been based upon the priority of areas where there is a high concentration of criminal aliens, and a stated SW Border initiative by Secretary Napolitano. Our goal is to deploy nationwide by 2013.**

Does this process allow for LE to pre-screen offenders using mobile handheld fingerprint devices? **No. The program is focused on specific booking locations. CJIS works with the state and locality to identify/designate those sites by a specific coding number unique to that location.**

Can a copy of the SC strategic plan be provided to the staff? **Yes, we will get that to you. (Randi can you get that to me electronically)[rgl]**

RG – I don't remember that question. I thought they asked, "Did the initial appropriations provide statutory authority to establish your office"?

Yes – the initial appropriations was based upon the submission of a strategic plan that was accepted and subsequently appropriated. We can provide the initial language in the appropriations bill. OCR – please clarify what ICE should provide, the initial Strategic Plan which is out of date and has been subsequently updated, a more recent version of the strategic plan, or the actual language from the initial appropriation?

Just to confirm, criminal prints are taken at the time someone is charged with a crime?

Yes – prints are taken at the time someone is arrested/booked in accordance with local procedures, which do not change as a result of this initiative.

Are there any plans to expand beyond the use of fingerprints, for example, using DNA?

No – our capabilities and plans do not extend beyond the use of fingerprints.

Please explain the outreach process? **After ICE enters into an MOA with a state we work with the state to establish a deployment plan for that state. WE then go out and invite LE entities that will be impacted by the program to attend information sessions where we explain the program and how it works. We do not move forward on activating the program in a jurisdiction unless an official from that jurisdiction has participated in an outreach session. In the case of California, Cal Doj has had representatives attend all of the outreach sessions.**

11/16/2010

ICE FOIA 10-2674.0011657

Please explain the Opting Out process? Currently, a jurisdiction can ask not to receive the immigration related response message. However, that message would still go to the local ICE office and if warranted that office could take action. However, to Opt Out of not having prints from a jurisdiction run for immigration status is a discussion between the jurisdiction and the state SIB. In the case of California it would be with Cal Doj. However, in a recent letter from the State Attorney General Cal Doj has stated that all prints will be checked against the immigration database.

Santa Clara has requested to Opt-out, but then AG Brown issued a memo stating that is not an option for California jurisdictions. Is there any way to resolve the request?  
As the State is the determining factor, ICE defer's to AG Brown's decision memo.

What would happen to a person arrested, with no criminal background, but they are in violation of a Visa Overstay? If the persons prints are submitted and it is determined they are in violation, they could be placed into removal proceedings if the local ICE office has the resources available at the time to pursue that case. However, the ICE priority at this time is on Criminal Aliens.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

*Office of Congressional Relations*  
*U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement*

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

---

I can get you this, though I don't remember the question being asked? I thought the question was specific to what exact language was in the initial appropriations?