From: Warlow, Molly
Sent: Thursday, June 03, 2010 3:46 PM
To: Raabe, Wayne; Swartz, Bruce; Breuer, Lanny A.; Raman, Mythili; Fagell, Steven; Weinstein, Jason; McHenry, Teresa; Robinson, Stewart; [b](6), [b](7); Stemler, Patty; [b](6), [b](7)
Subject: Re: AmCit killed in flotilla incident

[b](5) - AWP, DPP, A-CP

Molly

From: Raabe, Wayne
To: Warlow, Molly; Swartz, Bruce; Breuer, Lanny A.; Raman, Mythili; Fagell, Steven; Weinstein, Jason; McHenry, Teresa; Robinson, Stewart; [b](6), [b](7); Stemler, Patty; [b](6), [b](7)[C]
Sent: Thu Jun 03 13:58:14 2010
Subject: Re: AmCit killed in flotilla incident

[b](5) - AWP, DPP, A-CP

From: Warlow, Molly
To: Swartz, Bruce; Breuer, Lanny A.; Raman, Mythili; Fagell, Steven; Weinstein, Jason; McHenry, Teresa; Raabe, Wayne; Robinson, Stewart; [b](6), [b](7); Stemler, Patty; [b](6), [b](7)
Sent: Thu Jun 03 13:51:03 2010
Subject: Re: AmCit killed in flotilla incident

[b](5) - AWP, DPP, A-CP

From: Swartz, Bruce
To: Swartz, Bruce; Breuer, Lanny A.; Raman, Mythili; Fagell, Steven; Weinstein, Jason; McHenry, Teresa; Raabe, Wayne; Warlow, Molly; Robinson, Stewart; [b](6), [b](7); Stemler, Patty; [b](6), [b](7)
Sent: Thu Jun 03 13:15:27 2010
Subject: RE: AmCit killed in flotilla Incident

[b](5) - AWP, DPP, A-CP
(b)(5) AWP, DPP, A-CP
From: Swartz, Bruce
Sent: Thursday, June 03, 2010 1:06 PM
To: Breuer, Lanny A.; Raman, Mythili; Fagell, Steven; Weinstein, Jason; McHenry, Teresa; Raabe, Wayne
Subject: FW: AmCt killed in flotilla incident

(b)(5) - AWP, DPP, A-CP
(b)(5) AW, DPP, A-CP
McHenry, Teresa

Monday, February 14, 2011 11:44 AM

Swartz, Bruce; Warlow, Molly

Weinstein, Jason

RE: Fiotilla

Follow up

Flagged

Any thoughts on how to respond.

Thanks

T

Referred to State
Referred to State
Attached is the letter we received today from the Center for Constitutional Rights. Feel free to do with it whatever you best see fit.
From: McHenry, Teresa
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2011 1:03 PM
To: Warlow, Molly (b)(6), (b)(7)
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7) (C) Swartz, Bruce; Weinstein, Jason
Subject: FW: DOS meeting re: (b)(6)

Let's discuss
Thks

Referred to State
Thanks

From: McHenry, Teresa
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2011 2:07 PM
To: Warlow, Molly; Weinstein, Jason
Cc: [redacted]; Weinstein, Jason
Subject: flotilla

(b)(5) AWP, DPP
Good morning,

(b)(5) AWP, DPP

I will put a copy of this email in the electronic file as well. Please let me know if you'd like for me to do any addition follow up.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Criminal Division
Human Rights and Special Prosecutions Section
1301 New York Ave., NW Room 244
Washington, D.C. 20005
Phone: (b)(6), (b)(7)
Fax: (b)(6), (b)(7)

From: McHenry, Teresa
Sent: Saturday, March 19, 2011 4:36 PM
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: Gaza flotilla

(b)(5) AWP, DPP
Thanks
T 616-8385
McHenry, Teresa

From: McHenry, Teresa
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2011 9:27 AM
To: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Death of US Cit

From: McHenry, Teresa
Sent: Thursday, June 03, 2010 1:05 PM
To: Raman, Mythili; Swartz, Bruce
Cc: Fagell, Steven; Weinstein, Jason; Brever, Lanny A.; (b)(6), (b)(7); Warlow, Molly; Raabe, Wayne
Subject: RE: Death of US Cit

(b)(5) AWP, DPP, A-CP
From: Raman, Mythili
Sent: Thursday, June 03, 2010 10:53 AM
To: Weinstein, Jason; Breuer, Lanny A.; McHenry, Teresa; Fagell, Steven
Cc: "Teresa, (b)(6)
Subject: FW: Death of US Ct

Teresa, (b)(6): Any initial thoughts?

Referred to FBI

Kevin L. Perkins
Assistant Director
Referred to State
McHenry, Teresa

From: Warlow, Molly
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 9:27 PM
To: Raman, Mythilli; McHenry, Teresa; Swartz, Bruce
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), Weinstein, Jason
Subject: RE: request for meeting with DOJ b(b)(6) in USC/flotilla/gaza matter

(b)(5) AWP, DPP

Molly

From: Raman, Mythilli
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 9:13 PM
To: McHenry, Teresa; Swartz, Bruce
Cc: Warlow, Molly; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), Weinstein, Jason
Subject: RE: request for meeting with DOJ b(b)(6) in USC/flotilla/gaza matter

Teresa or Molly—Did either of you respond to the request for a mtg from (b)(6) who was killed? Not Responsive

From: McHenry, Teresa
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2011 6:07 PM
To: Swartz, Bruce
Cc: Warlow, Molly; (b)(6), (b)(7)(C); Raman, Mythilli; Weinstein, Jason
Subject: request for meeting with DOJ b(b)(6) in USC/flotilla/gaza matter

(b)(5) AWP, DPP
From: McHenry, Teresa
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2010 6:28 PM
To: Raman, Mythili; Swartz, Bruce; Weinstein, Jason
Cc: Warlow, Molly; (b)(5), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: USC/flotilla/gaza

(b)(5) AWP, DPP

Thanks
Teresa
From: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 9:10 PM
To: [b](6), [b](7)(C)
Subject: Re: [b](6) [b](6) about gaza flotilla

Thank you so much[b](6).

Best regards,

[b](6).

Duplicate
U.S. Department of Justice
Criminal Division

MEMORANDUM

TO: Teresa McHenry
Chief, Human Rights and Special Prosecutions Section

FROM: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Trial Attorney, Human Rights and Special Prosecutions Section

SUBJECT: (b)(6)

(b)(5) AWP, DPP

February 24, 2011
MEMORANDUM

TO: [Redacted]

FROM: [Redacted]

SUBJECT: Transfer of documents to possession of the FBI

(D)(5) AWP, DPP
Dear Ms. McHenry,

Please find attached a letter from me, detailing information about public event planned for tomorrow night in New York at which a soldier from the Israel Defense Forces, who was involved in the interception of the Mavi Marmara in international waters on May 31, 2010 during which U.S. citizen(b)(6) was killed after being shot five times, will make a presentation and “speak about his experience at large and will also answer questions about his experiences last May.”

I appreciate your urgent attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Katherine Gallagher

KATHERINE GALLAGHER | SENIOR STAFF ATTORNEY | 666 BROADWAY, 7TH FLOOR, NY, NY 10012 | T: 212-614-6455 | F: 212-614-6499|WWW.CCRJUSTICE.ORG

Attachment:

March 9, 2011
Ms. Teresa McHenry, Chief
U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division
Human Rights and Special Prosecution Section
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001
hsrptips@usdoj.gov
Re: IDF member who boarded Mavi Marmara Speaking in New York March 10, 2011
Dear Ms. McHenry:

(b)(6) killed aboard the Mavi Marmara on May 31, 2010, and (b)(6), Turkish attorneys on February 23rd. We very much appreciate your time and any efforts you are making to seek accountability for the killing of (b)(6).

It has come to our attention that “Amir,” a member of the Israel Defense Forces with the Sea Commando Unit (Shayetet 13), who was reportedly one of the first soldiers to board the Mavi Marmara when it was intercepted in international waters, is scheduled to speak at New York University (NYU) tomorrow evening, Thursday, March 10, from 6:30 pm – 9:30 pm “about his experience at large and will also answer questions about his specific experiences last May.” He will be speaking at Silver Center, 100 Washington Square East, New York, New York, 10003.

For more information see Attachment A,
http://www.facebook.com/event.php?eid=144762982253720&index=1

We hope that this information is useful to you for investigating Amir’s possible involvement in, or as a witness to, the commission of war crimes and other crimes on international waters,
including the killing of (b) (6), as well as the beating of other U.S. citizens, their forced transfer from international waters, and the seizure of their property, including critical video and photographic evidence.

I appreciate your urgent attention to this matter and look forward to your prompt response.

Please feel free to contact me at 212-614-6445 or kgallagher@ccrjustice.org if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Katherine Gallagher
Senior Staff Attorney

cc: Ramazan Aritürk and Uğur Sevgili, Elmadağ Law Office, Istanbul, Turkey
Rachel Lipsey, Research Director, Office of Rep. Paul D. Tonko (NY-21)
February 11, 2011

Ms. Teresa McHenry, Chief
U.S. Department of Justice
Human Rights and Special Prosecution Section
Criminal Division
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Sent via Federal Express and Email (hrstips@usdoj.gov)

Re: Meeting Reque[redacted] killed on the Mavi Marmara on May 31, 2010

Dear Ms. McHenry:

I write on behalf of [redacted] on May 31, 2010. [redacted] will be travelling to the United States later this month for the purpose of meeting with U.S. government officials to discuss his son’s killing and actions that the United States has taken or can take to assist in seeking justice and accountability for his son’s death. [redacted] will be in Washington, D.C. on February 22, 2011 and the morning of February 23, 2011 and would like to meet with you or representatives from your Section to discuss his son’s killing. I note that efforts to secure a meeting for [redacted] with representatives of the United States Embassy in Ankara, Turkey have been unavailing, prompting him to make this trip to the United States. See Enclosure A.

[redacted] seeks to discuss with yourself or representatives of your office various ways your office can assist him in seeking justice for his son’s death, and particularly in relation to criminal prosecutions. Specifically, [redacted] seeks to discuss opening a war crimes investigation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2441 and/or an investigation into [redacted] murder on international waters under the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States (see 18 U.S.C. §§ 7, and particularly sub-sections (1) and (7), 18 U.S.C. § 1111). He also seeks to discuss the ways in which the U.S. DOJ can assist the Turkish prosecutor’s office in the ongoing criminal investigation pending in Turkey.

As you may be aware [redacted] was among the sixteen United States citizens who were part of the Gaza-bound flotilla attacked in international waters on May 31, 2010. At the time of his death [redacted] was shot five times, including twice in the head, once in the back, in the left leg and foot. All of the entry wounds were to the back of his body, except the shot to his face, which was delivered at point-blank range. See Enclosure B. An English-translation of the autopsy report for [redacted] is attached. See Enclosure C.
In addition, United States citizens were beaten, and their property, which includes video and photographic evidence, was seized and appropriated by Israel and has not been returned. The U.S. citizens were forcibly taken from international waters to Israel, including the five U.S. citizens who were on the “Challenger I,” a U.S.-registered vessel, further triggering the jurisdiction of your office as such acts involve crimes falling under the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States. See, e.g., 18 U.S.C. §§ 113, 1201, 2111. The U.S. passengers have urged the State Department to assist in securing the return of their property and safeguarding evidence for a criminal investigation, but those requests for assistance have thus far been largely unavailing. See Enclosure D. Particularly relevant to any criminal investigations your Section might undertake or assist, the evidence which was seized by the Israeli government and which remains in its possession includes the video-camera [redacted] was holding at the time that the Israeli commandos rapped onto the Mavi Marmara.

I appreciate your urgent attention to this matter and look forward to your prompt response. Please feel free to contact me at 212-614-6445 or kgallagher@cerjustice.org if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Katherine Gallagher
Senior Staff Attorney

Encl.  A.  Letters exchanged between the Elmadag Law Office and the Embassy of the United States – Ankara


C.  Autopsy Report for [redacted]

D.  Letter from U.S. passengers, [redacted] to Secretary of State Clinton, October 6, 2010

cc:  Lanny A. Breuer, Assistant Attorney General
     Criminal Division, Department of Justice

     Michelle Bernier-Toth, Director
     Office of American Citizens Services and Crisis Management

     Ramazan Aritürk, Elmadag Law Office, Istanbul, Turkey
Enclosure A
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EMBASSY, ANKARA-TURKEY

Douglas A. SILLIMAN

To: Deputy Chief of Mission

Atatürk Bulvarı 110
Kavaklıdere, 06100 Ankara - Türkiye
nikbag@state.gov

No: HUKMEK-01-10-28

Subject: Formal request for information about whom he was killed on 31 May 2010 by the Israeli attack on Freedom Gaza Flotilla while it was in the international waters.

Date: 7.09.2010

Dear Sir DEPUTY CHIEF OF MISSION

At the outset allow us to introduce ourselves. We, Elmadag Law Office, are the Legal Representatives of each victim of the 31 May Gaza Flotilla Incident who hold Turkish Citizenship. Our role is to pursue the rights of the each victim in accordance with remedies available under international law, inter alia United Nations, International Court of Justice and International Criminal Court, and exhaust every possible international legal remedy.

On the day of May 31 when the aforementioned attack has occurred, 9 civilians were killed with gunfire. Among them one of the deceased people was [b] (6) [b]

[Redacted] His dead body was sent to Turkey after the attack. His autopsy report
prepared by the Forensic Medicine Institute and his family took his body and buried in the city of Kayseri.

Elmadag Law Office is the representatives of his Family for the fact that they were victim and complainant since their son was shot.

Based on the foregoing;

On behalf of the (b) (6) we respectfully request from the authority of the United States Government to act the legal and diplomatic remedies for (b) (6) we therefore request the US Embassy in Ankara to inform us about the aforesaid situation further and have a meeting.

Sincerely,

Att. RAMAZAN ARITÜRK
EK - II: Vekâletname (b) (6) (b) (6) - Adli Tıp Kurumu Başkanlığı
Embassy of the United States of America

Ankara, Ekim 7, 2010

Sayın Avukat Ramazan Artürk,
İstanbul Dünya Ticaret Merkezi
A-1 Blk. N:61 Yoşılıkoy,
İstanbul

Sayın Artürk,
Amerikan Büyükelçiliği Maslahatgözleri Douglas A. Silliman'a göndermiş olduğunuz 1-10-2010 tarihli yazıma cevaben Maslahatgöz adına bu mektubu yazmaktayım.

Göndermiş olduğunuz yazı tarafınıza ulaştırtır.

Saygilarımıla,

Richard Appelaran
Başkonsolos
Amerikan Büyükelçiliği
Ankara
Embassy of the United States of America

Ankara, September 7, 2010

Dear Att. Ramazan Antürk,
Istanbul World Trade Centre
A-1 Block No:61 Yesilkoy,
Istanbul

Dear ARITURK,

I am writing on behalf of the United States Charge d'Affaires Douglas A. Silliman in response to letter he received dated 1/10/210.

We have received your letter.

Regards,
Richard Appleton
Consul General
Embassy of the United States
Ankara
EMBASSY OF THE UNITED STATE, ANKARA-TURKEY

Douglas A. SILLIMAN
Deputy Chief of Mission
Atatürk Bulvari 110
Kavaklıdere, 06100 Ankara - Türkiye

HUKMEK-01-10-39

Reiteration for Formal request for information about the (b) (6) whom he was killed on 31 May 2010 by the Israeli attack on Freedom Gaza Flotilla while it was in the international waters.

29.11.2010

Dear Sir DEPUTY CHIEF OF MISSION

We, Elmadag Law Office, are the Legal Representatives of each victim of the 31 May Gaza Flotilla Incident who hold Turkish Citizenship.

On the day of 31 May 2010 one of the deceased of the consequence of the aforementioned attack was (b) (6). The legal team of our office will go to the USA on the point of legal process for (b) (6)'s case.

It was asked for formal request on the date of 01.10.2010 to have appointment with you on behalf of victim and complaint (b)(6)

We have received your letters indicating that you received our request letter.

Respectfully, We would like to renew our aforementioned request dated 01.10.2010 for meeting with you before we visit the USA.

Sincerely,

Av. Ramazan ARITÜRK
Enclosure B
Human Rights Council
Fifteenth session
Agenda item 1
Organizational and procedural matters

Report of the international fact-finding mission to investigate violations of international law, including international humanitarian and human rights law, resulting from the Israeli attacks on the flotilla of ships carrying humanitarian assistance

Summary

This report was prepared by the fact-finding mission established by the Human Rights Council in resolution 14/1 of 2 June 2010 to investigate violations of international law, including international humanitarian law and human rights law, resulting from the interception by Israeli forces of the humanitarian aid flotilla bound for Gaza on 31 May 2010 during which nine people were killed and many others injured.

The report sets out background information relating to the interception of the flotilla as well as the applicable international law.

The fact-finding mission conducted interviews with more than 100 witnesses in Geneva, London, Istanbul and Amman. On the basis of this testimony and other information received, the Mission was able to reconstruct a picture of the circumstances surrounding the interception on 31 May 2010 and its aftermath. The report presents a factual description of the events leading up to the interception, the interception of each of the six ships in the flotilla as well as a seventh ship subsequently intercepted on 6 June 2010, the deaths of nine passengers and wounding of many others and the detention of passengers in Israel and their deportation.

The report contains a legal analysis of facts as determined by the Mission with a view to determining whether violations of international law, including international humanitarian and human rights law, took place.

The fact-finding mission concluded that a series of violations of international law, including international humanitarian and human rights law, were committed by the Israeli forces during the interception of the flotilla and during the detention of passengers in Israel prior to deportation.

Late submission.
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board the vessels by force. This belief persisted among many less-experienced passengers right up to the moment of the interception, notwithstanding the precedent of the Spirit of Humanity in 2009. Many passengers told the Mission that they did not really believe that the Israelis would attempt to board until the first boats approached the Mavi Marmara on the morning of 31 May.

Preparation and planning on the Mavi Marmara

99. The full realisation that the Israelis were serious about commandeering the flotilla spread through the passengers on the Mavi Marmara during the course of 30 May. There is clear evidence that some people on board the Mavi Marmara, including senior IHH leaders, were prepared actively to defend the ship against any boarding attempt. Video evidence shows a meeting of about 50 to 100 passengers on the ship on 30 May at which the IHH President and a number of other prominent passengers spoke with some bravado about preventing an Israeli takeover of the ship. The pressure of the water hoses was seen being tested on the decks the day before the interception.

100. Following radio communication with the Israeli Navy and the sightings of the Israeli vessels, it became apparent that a boarding of the ship was an imminent reality. Passengers were instructed to put on their life jackets. Although there does not seem to have been a coordinated plan involving all passengers, some individuals grouped together with the intention of defending the ship. There is little evidence of any unified command to coordinate the defence of the ship.

101. During the night of 30 to 31 May, some passengers took electric tools from the ship’s workshop, which was not kept locked and sawed sections of railings into lengths of approximately one and a half metres, apparently for use as weapons. Lengths of metal chains from between the railings were also removed. When the ship’s crew discovered this, the tools were confiscated and locked in the radio room on the bridge. A number of the passengers were also provided with gas masks to counter the effects of tear gas. However, the Mission notes that the ship’s standard fire-fighting equipment would have included breathing apparatus. Furthermore, the fact that some passengers engaged in last minute efforts to fashion rudimentary weapons shortly prior to the interception confirms the findings of the Mission that no weapons were brought on board the ship.

Preparation and planning on the Challenger I, Svendsø, Eleftheri Mesogios and the Rachel Corrie

102. Passengers and crew on the Challenger I underwent training in passive resistance techniques; non-violence and what to do if detained in Israel (including contacting a lawyer before castration). Witnessed said discussions took place in advance amongst the passengers on how to respond to an Israeli attempt to board the ship during which the crew had insisted that there should be no attempts to repel boarders with physical force. The captain and crew opposed a suggestion that access to the bridge should be blocked, as it was feared this would incense the soldiers. The intention, according to witnesses, was to show a symbolic resistance to the soldiers sufficient to demonstrate that the boarding was unwarranted. The planned response to a boarding of the Challenger I was in part informed by the experience of some of the passengers on previous boats which had been intercepted by the Israelis.

103. Witnesses aboard the Svendsø and the Eleftheri Mesogios confirmed similar discussions aboard their vessels. On the Eleftheri Mesogios, it was also decided that water hoses could be misconstrued as weapons and hence should not be used. On the Svendsø, passengers intended to sit on the decks and slow down any attempt to take control of the bridge through passive resistance.
104. The passengers on board the Rachel Corrie agreed and notified the Israelis before boarding that no resistance would be offered to the Israeli forces.

Preparation and planning on the Gaza I and Define Y

105. There is no information to suggest that any specific preparations were made by the crew or passengers of Gaza I and Define Y to defend against a possible boarding.

B. The interception of the Gaza flotilla by the Israeli Navy on 31 May 2010

1. Factual description and findings

106. The Mission found the following facts to have been established to its satisfaction.

(c) Contacts between the Israeli Navy and the vessels of the flotilla

107. The flotilla left the rendezvous point at 1554 hours on 30 May 2010 and proceeded in a south-westerly direction on course 222 degrees. This course was altered to 185 degrees, approximately due south, at 2330 hours upon drawing level with the coast of Israel and in order to maintain a course roughly parallel to the coast. The flotilla maintained a distance of 70 nautical miles from the coast since a NAVTEX (Navigational Telex) advisory had warned that the Israeli military were conducting exercises up to 68 nautical miles from the coast.

108. The first radio contact with the Israeli Navy was at approximately 2230 hours. Each vessel was contacted by the Israeli Navy, one after the other, on Channel 16 and requested to switch to an alternative channel. Each vessel refused to switch in order that the conversation could be monitored by all shipping. The Israeli Navy requested each vessel to identify itself and state its destination. It then warned each vessel, with some variation, that it was approaching an area of hostilities which is under a naval blockade, that the Gaza maritime area is closed to all vessels and that they must change course to deliver their supplies to the Port of Ashdod in Israel. In some of the messages, the captain of each vessel was warned that he would be held personally responsible for any consequences of a failure to comply with the Israeli request. The Israeli Navy’s contacts were similar to those in relation to previous Free Gaza Movement efforts to enter Gaza by sea.

109. In response, the captains of the various vessels stated that their destination was Gaza and the purpose was to deliver humanitarian aid. They also asserted that the Israeli forces did not have the right to order the vessels to change course and that the blockade referred to was illegal. A representative of the Free Gaza Movement spoke to the Israelis on behalf of the whole flotilla, reiterating that the passengers were unarmed civilians delivering humanitarian aid and that none of the ships that should be considered as any form of threat to Israel. At no stage was a request made by the Israeli Navy for the cargo to be inspected. Contacts with the Israeli Navy continued until around 0200 hours when communication equipment was jammed by the Israeli forces, cutting them off to all external communications. However, the vessels in the flotilla were able to maintain contact with one another via handheld two-way radios.

110. In early June 2010, audio recordings were released by the Israeli authorities of apparent exchanges between the Israeli Navy and the Define Y which included insulting references by unknown persons referring to “Auschwitz” and the 11 September 2001 attack on the World Trade Centre in New York. However, the Mission is not satisfied that these recordings are authentic, nor has the Government of Israel made this material available to the Mission for appropriate examination. The Mission was given positive evidence that no such statements were made by anyone involved in communications on the flotilla.
111. The crew and passengers of the six vessels became visually aware of the presence of Israeli naval vessels some time after the initial communications, between 2300 hours and midnight, both through sightings and two-way radio communication between the vessels. The larger Israeli ships and helicopters came into view of the crew of the vessels at approximately 0100 hours on 31 May. Similar sightings were made by other vessels in the flotilla at around the same time.

(b) Events on board the M.V. Mavi Marmara

(i) Initial attempt to board the Mavi Marmara from the sea

112. Israeli zodiac boats made a first attempt to board the Mavi Marmara from the sea shortly before 0430 hours. Several zodiac boats approached the ship at the stern from both the port and starboard sides. The approach was accompanied by the firing of non-lethal weaponry onto the ship, including smoke and stun grenades, tear gas and paintballs. Plastic bullets may also have been used at this stage; however, despite some claims that live ammunition was also fired from the zodiac boats, the Mission is not satisfied that this was the case. The smoke and tear gas were not effective due to the strong sea breeze and later due to the downdraft from helicopters.

113. The Israeli forces attempted to board the ship through attaching ladders to the hull. Passengers engaged in efforts to repel the attempted boarding using the ship's water hoses and the throwing of various items at the boats including chairs, sticks, a box of plates and other objects that were readily to hand. This initial attempt to board the ship proved unsuccessful. It is the view of the Mission that the Israeli forces should have re-evaluated their plans when it became obvious that putting their soldiers on board the ship may lead to civilian casualties.

(ii) Landing of soldiers from helicopters onto the Mavi Marmara

114. Just minutes after soldiers from the zodiac boats had made initial unsuccessful attempts to board, the first helicopter approached the ship at approximately 0430 hours, hovering above the top deck. At this point between 10 and 20 passengers were located in the central area of the top deck, although this number increased as other passengers learned of events on the top deck. The Israeli forces used smoke and stun grenades in an attempt to clear an area for the landing of soldiers. The first rope that was let down from the helicopter was taken by passengers and tied to a part of the top deck and thereby rendered ineffective for the purpose of soldiers' descent. A second rope was then let down from the

---

67 For the purposes of this report, the following terms are used to describe the various decks of the Mavi Marmara: top deck — the roof of the ship where the satellite tower and funnel are located, bridge deck — the deck below the top deck which gives access to the bridge, how deck — lowest open deck with access to the front of the ship, live television broadcasts were made from an open deck area at the back of the bridge deck.

68 It is worth noting that in accordance with the International Maritime Organisation's circular: "Guidance to ship owners and ship operators, shipmasters and crews on preventing and suppressing acts of piracy and armed robbery against ships" of June 2009, the use of water hoses is recommended as a means to prevent an attempted boarding by pirates and armed robbers. IMO Circular Mso.1/Circ.1334, date 23 June 2009, Annex, para. 57 states as follows: "The use of water hoses should also be considered though they may be difficult to train if effective manuevering is also taking place. Water pressures of 80 lb per square inch and above have deterred and repelled attackers. Not only does the attacker have to fight against the jet of water but he also may swamp his inflatable boat and damage engines and electrical systems. Special fittings for training hoses could be considered which would also provide protection for the hose operator. A number of spare fire hoses could be rigged and tied down to be prepressurised in short notice if a potential attack is detected".

---
helicopter and the first group of soldiers descended. The Mission does not find it plausible that soldiers were holding their weapons and firing as they descended on the rope. However, it has concluded that live ammunition was used from the helicopter onto the top deck prior to the descent of the soldiers.

115. With the available evidence it is difficult to delineate the exact course of events on the top deck between the time of the first soldier descending and the Israeli forces securing control of the deck. A fight ensued between passengers and the first soldiers to descend onto the top deck that resulted in at least two soldiers being pushed down onto the bridge deck below, where they were involved in struggles with groups of passengers who attempted to take their weapons. The equipment jacket of at least one soldier was removed as he was pushed over the side of the deck. A number of weapons were taken from the soldiers by passengers and thrown into the sea: one weapon, a 9-mm pistol, was unloaded by a passenger, a former U.S. Marine, in front of witnesses and then hidden in another part of the ship in an attempt to retain evidence.

116. A number of the passengers on the top deck fought with the soldiers using their fists, sticks, metal rods and knives. At least one of the soldiers was stabbed with a knife or other sharp object. Witnesses informed the Mission that their objective was to subdue and disarm the soldiers so that they could not harm anyone. The Mission is satisfied on the evidence that at least two passengers on the bridge deck also used handheld catapults to propel small projectiles at the helicopter. The Mission has found no evidence to suggest that any of the passengers used firearms or that any firearms were taken on board the ship. Despite requests, the Mission has not received any medical records or other substantiated information from the Israeli authorities regarding any firearm injuries sustained by soldiers participating in the raid. Doctors examined the three soldiers taken below deck and no firearm injuries were noted. Further, the Mission finds that the Israeli accounts are inconsistent and contradictory with regard to evidence of alleged firearm injuries to Israeli soldiers that it has to reject it.38

(iii) Deaths of 9 passengers and wounding of at least 30 other passengers

117. During the operation to secure control of the top deck, the Israeli forces landed soldiers from three helicopters over a 15-minute period.39 The Israeli forces used paintballs, plastic bullets and live ammunition, fired by soldiers from the helicopter above and soldiers who had landed on the top deck. The use of live ammunition during this period resulted in fatal injuries to four passengers,40 and injuries to at least 19 others, 14 with gunshot wounds. Escape points to the bridge deck from the top deck were narrow and restricted and as such it was very difficult for passengers in this area to avoid being hit by live rounds. At

38 The Mission has found no evidence of knives being taken on board by passengers except for one traditional ceremonial knife. However, the Mavi Marmara had six kitchens, each of which was stocked with usual culinary knives.

39 In his testimony to the "Turkel Committee, held in Israel on 11 August 2010, Chief of General Staff Ashkenazi refers to one soldier being "shot in the abdomen by one of the activists" and that "in the course of the battle, five soldiers [were] wounded by stabblings, blows and shooting". However, at the urgent debate of the Human Rights Council held during its fourteenth session, on 1 June 2010, the Permanent Representative of Israel stated that passengers "shot two Israeli soldiers". In contrast, in the State's response at the facts of the corpus batare hearing, held on 2 June 2010 (petition HCR 4913/10 before the Supreme Court in Jerusalem, sitting as the High Court of Justice; unofficial translation), no specific reference was made to any Israeli soldiers being shot.

40 Fatih Yaldız, Furkan Doğan, Ibrahim Bilgen and Ali Haydar Bengi.
least one of those killed was using a video camera and not involved in any of the fighting with the soldiers. The majority of gunshot wounds received by passengers were to their upper torso in the head, thorax, abdomen and back. Given the relatively small number of passengers on the top deck during the incident, the Mission is driven to the conclusion that the vast majority were in receipt of gunshot wounds.

118. Israeli soldiers continued shooting at passengers who had already been wounded, with live ammunition, soft baton charges (beanbags) and plastic bullets. Forensic analysis demonstrates that two of the passengers killed on the top deck received wounds compatible with being shot at close range while lying on the ground: Furkan Doğan received a bullet in the face and Ibrahim Altunuz received a fatal wound from a soft baton round (beanbag) fired at such close proximity to his head that parts such as wadding penetrated his skull and entered his brain. Furthermore, some of the wounded were subjected to further violence, including being hit with the butt of a weapon, being kicked in the head, chest and back and being verbally abused. A number of the wounded passengers were handcuffed and then left unattended for some time before being dragged to the front of the deck by their arms or legs.

119. Once the Israeli forces had secured control of the top deck they undertook measures to move down to the bridge deck below in order to take over the ship's bridge and thus take control of the ship. In relation to this operation, a series of shooting incidents occurred centred on the forward doorway which gives access to the main stairwell on the bridge deck. This door is near to the hatch and ladder, which allows access from the top deck to the bridge deck.

120. Israeli soldiers fired live ammunition both from the top deck at passengers on the bridge deck below, and after they had moved down to the bridge deck. At least four passengers were killed,18 and at least nine injured (five with firearm injuries) during this phase. None of the four passengers who were killed, including a photographer who at the time of being shot was engaged in taking photographs and was shot by an Israeli soldier positioned on the top deck above, posed any threat to the Israeli forces. There was considerable live fire from Israeli soldiers on the top deck and a number of passengers were injured or killed whilst trying to take refuge inside the door or assisting other to do so. Wounded passengers were brought into the ship through the stairwell and through the ship's bridge room and were helped downstairs where they could be given some form of medical treatment by doctors and others on board.

121. One witness described the circumstances in which one passenger was killed on the bridge deck:

I saw two soldiers on top of the roof standing there holding their guns down at something on the roof that I couldn't see. There were two guys hidden underneath a walkway on the ship to the right hand side and I was screaming at them not to move. The two passengers were below the soldiers. They could not see the soldiers and the soldiers could not see them while they were hidden under the walkway. Then the guys moved out, making themselves visible as they tried to run towards the metal door. One man made it to open the door and got inside. The other man must have been shot. I think he was shot in the head from the way he looked, he wasn't moving at all. He was 20 or 30 metres away from me. When the second man got shot, the first man opened the door and using it as a shield tried to reach out for the second man. He managed to reach him and was pulling him by his right arm. I couldn't see any blood, but he wasn't moving at all.

18 Cevdet Kılıçar, Cengiz Sungur, Cengiz Akyüz and Çetin Topçoğlu.
122. A group of up to 20 passengers, some holding sticks and rods and wearing gas masks, were located on or around the stateroom inside the ship. One passenger standing just inside the door was shot through the broken porthole in the door by a soldier standing a few metres away on the bridge deck outside.

123. During the shootouts on the bridge deck and as it became apparent that a large number of passengers had become injured, Bu lent Yildirim, the President of HHI and one of principal organizers of the flotilla, removed his white shirt which was then used as a white flag to indicate a surrender. This does not appear to have had any effect and live firing continued on the ship.

124. Israeli forces moved down to the bridge deck and moved rapidly to take over the bridge room towards the front of the ship. The doorway and windows of the bridge room came under fire and the captain ordered that the ship’s engines be cut. Israeli soldiers entered the bridge room through the door and broken window. The crew were made to lie on the ground at gunpoint. The captain remained standing but was held at gunpoint.

(iv) Shootings at the bow deck; the release of the Israeli soldiers and end of the operation

125. During the initial fighting on the top deck three Israeli soldiers were taken under control and brought inside the ship. While some passengers wished to harm the soldiers, other passengers ensured that they were protected and able to receive rudimentary medical treatment from doctors on board. Two of the soldiers had received wounds to the abdomen, One of the soldiers had a superficial wound to the abdomen, caused by a sharp object, which penetrated to the subcutaneous tissue. None of the three soldiers had received gunshot injuries, according to doctors who examined them. All three soldiers were in a state of shock and were suffering from cuts, bruises and blunt force trauma.

126. As the seriousness of incidents on the outer decks became apparent, there was growing concern among some of the flotilla organizers that holding the captured Israeli soldiers may have serious implications for the security of all passengers on board. It was decided that the soldiers should be released and they were taken to the bow of the lower deck. Once on the lower deck two of the soldiers jumped into the sea and were picked up by Israeli boats. The third soldier did not jump and was rapidly joined by Israeli soldiers who came down from the top deck.

127. At least four passengers were injured on the bow of the ship, both before and around the time that the Israeli soldiers were released. At least two passengers received wounds from live ammunition, while others received injuries from soft baton charges, including one doctor who was tending to injured passengers.

128. The Israeli forces stated that the active phase of the Israeli forces operation concluded at 0517 hours, once the ship was under their control and the three soldiers were released. During the 45-50 minute operation, nine passengers were killed, more than 24 passengers had received serious injuries caused by live ammunition and a large number of other passengers had received injuries caused by plastic rounds, soft baton charges (tear gas) and other means.

79 It also appears that the Israeli forces were planning to enter the ship’s cabins in order to locate the three soldiers. In his testimony to the Tulkarm Committee on 11 August 2010, COGS Ashkol said that at the time when the Israeli forces spotted the three soldiers on the bow deck: “The force commander was preparing to rush the passengers’ areas in order to locate the missing soldiers.”

80 As stated by COGS Ashkol in his testimony to the Tulkarm Committee on 11 August 2010.
### Table - Deaths of flotilla participants

#### Deaths occurring on the top deck (roof)

**Fatih Doğan**

Fatih Doğan, a 19-year-old with dual Turkish and United States citizenship, was on the central area of the top deck filming with a small video camera when he was first hit with live fire. It appears that he was lying on the deck in a conscious, or semi-conscious, state for some time. In total Fatih received five bullet wounds, to the face, head, back, thorax, left leg and foot. All of the entry wounds were on the back of his body, except for the face wound which entered to the right of his nose. According to forensic analysis, the bullet entered the wound in his face indicates that the shot was delivered at point blank range. Furthermore, the trajectory of the wound, from bottom to top, together with a vital abrasion to the left shoulder that could be consistent with the bullet exit point, is compatible with the shot being received while he was lying on the ground on his back. The other wounds were not the result of firing in contact, near contact or close range, but it is not otherwise possible to determine the exact firing range. The wounds to the leg and foot were most likely received in a standing position.

**İbrahim Bilgen**

İbrahim Bilgen, a 60-year-old Turkish citizen, from Silifke in Turkey, was on the top deck and was one of the first passengers to be shot. He received a bullet wound to the chest, the trajectory of which was from above and not at close range. He had a further two bullet wounds to the right side of the back and right buttock, both back to front. These wounds would not have caused instant death, but he would have bled to death within a short time without medical attention. Forensic evidence shows that he was shot in the side of the head with a soft bullet round at such close proximity that an entire bean bag and its wadding penetrated the skull and lodged in the brain. He had a further bruise on the right flank consistent with another beanbag wound. The wounds are consistent with the deceased initially being shot from soldiers on board the helicopter above and receiving a further wound to the head while lying on the ground, already wounded.

**Fahri Yalıçık**

Fahri Yalıçık, a 42-year-old Turkish citizen from Adıyaman, received five bullet wounds, one to the chest, one to the left leg and three to the right leg. The chest wound was caused by a bullet that entered near the left nipple and hit the heart and lungs before exiting from the shoulder. This injury would have caused rapid death.

**Ali Heydar Bengü**

According to the pathology report, Ali Heydar Bengü, a 38-year-old Turkish citizen from diyarbakir, received six bullet wounds (one in the chest, one in the abdomen, one in the right arm, one in the right thigh and two in the left hand). One bullet lodged in the chest area. None of the wounds would have been instantly fatal, but damage to the liver caused bleeding which would have been fatal if not stemmed. There are several witness accounts which suggest that Israeli soldiers shot the deceased in the back and chest at close range while he was lying on the deck as a consequence of initial bullet wounds.

#### Deaths occurring on the bridge deck, portside

**Çevdet Kılıçlar**

Çevdet Kılıçlar, a 38-year-old Turkish citizen from Istanbul, was on the Mavi Marmara, in his capacity as a photographer employed by IHH. At the moment he was shot he was standing on the bridge deck on the port side of the ship near to the door leading to the main stairwell and was attempting to photograph Israeli soldiers on the top deck. According to
the pathology report states, he received a single bullet to his forehead between the eyes. The bullet followed a horizontal trajectory which crossed the middle of the brain from front to back. He would have died instantly.

Cengiz Akyüz and Cengiz Songür

Cengiz Akyüz, 41, from Hatay and Cengiz Songür, 46, from İzmir, both Turkish citizens, were injured on the bridge-deck in close succession by live fire from above. They had been sheltering and were shot as they attempted to move inside the door leading to the stairwell. Cengiz Akyüz received a shot to the head and it is probable that he died instantly.

The pathology report shows four wounds: to the neck, face, chest and thigh. Cengiz Songür received a single bullet to the upper central thorax below the neck, shot from a high angle, which lodged in the right thoracic cavity injuring the heart and aorta. Unsuccessful efforts were made by doctors inside the ship to resuscitate him through heart massage.

Çetin Topçuoğlu

Çetin Topçuoğlu, a 54-year-old Turkish citizen from Adana had been involved in helping to bring injured passengers inside the ship that was being treated. He was also shot close to the door on the bridge deck. He did not die instantly and his wife, who was also on board the ship, was with him when he died. He was shot by three bullets. One bullet entered from the top the soft tissues of the right side of the back of the head, exited from the neck and then re-entered into the thorax. Another bullet entered the left buttock and lodged in the right pelvic. The third entered the right groin and exited from the lower back. There are indications that the victim may have been in a crouching or bending position when this wound was sustained.

Deaths and seriously wounded occurring in unknown locations

Nedat Yıldırım

The location and circumstances of the shooting and death of Nedat Yıldırım, a 31-year-old Turkish citizen from Istanbul, remain unclear. He was shot twice in the thorax, once from the front and once from the back. The trajectory of both bullets was from top to bottom. He also received bruises consistent with plastic bullet impact

Wounding of Uğur Süleyman Stilemez (in a coma)

The serious nature of wounds to Uğur Süleyman Stilemez, a 46-year-old Turkish citizen from Ankara, which include at least one bullet wound to the head, leave the victim in a coma in an Ankara hospital. He remains in a critical condition with a serious head injury.

(v) Treatment of Injured on the Mavi Marmara

129. Whilst the Israeli operation was still underway, efforts were made to tend to wounded passengers inside the ship by other passengers, amongst whom were around 15 doctors, nurses and others with medical training, including an ophthalmologist and orthopaedic specialist. Prior to the attack, the doctors had met and agreed to use the ship’s small medical room, but there was no anticipated or preparation for the nature of injuries that transpired. The limited medicine and lack of appropriate equipment made it very difficult to properly treat wounded persons, particularly those who had received live fire injuries and required immediate surgery. By the end of the Israeli operation, more than thirty persons were being treated inside the cabins, primarily in the lower deck in makeshift surgery areas, twenty of whom were in a critical condition.

130. The flotilla organizers and other passengers engaged in efforts to request the Israeli forces to provide the necessary treatment to the wounded persons. One organizer used the ship’s intercom to request assistance in Hebrew and persons also communicated directly
through the cabin windows or by placing signs, written in English and Hebrew, in the ship’s windows. These attempts proved unsuccessful and it was up to two hours before the Israeli forces took out the wounded persons. However, the wounded were required to leave the cabins themselves, or taken outside in a rough manner, without apparent concern for the nature of their injuries and the discomfort that this would cause.

131. The wounded passengers were taken to the front of the top deck where they joined other passengers injured during the operation on the top deck and where the bodies of persons killed during the operation had been left. Wounded passengers, including persons seriously injured with live fire wounds, were handcuffed with plastic cord handcuffs, which were often tied too tightly causing some of the injured to lose sensitivity in their hands. These plastic handcuffs cannot be loosened without being cut off, but can be tightened. Many were also stripped naked and then had to wait some time, possibly as long as two-three hours, before receiving medical treatment. Medical treatment was given to a number of wounded persons on the top deck by the Israeli forces.76

132. Over several hours the wounded passengers were then airlifted by Israeli forces helicopters from the ship to hospitals in Israel.77 However, some of the wounded remained on board the Mavi Marmara, at least one of whom had injuries caused by live ammunition and did not receive appropriate medical treatment until after the ship’s arrival at the port of Ashdod in Israel many hours later.

(vi) Search and initial detention of Mavi Marmara passengers

133. All other passengers on the Mavi Marmara were taken one by one from the cabin areas and onto the external deck areas and were searched. The vast majority of passengers, including the ship’s captain and crew, were then handcuffed with plastic handcuffs and forced to kneel on the various decks for some hours. Some women, elderly men and persons from Western countries were not handcuffed, or were temporarily handcuffed and then uncuffed after a relatively short period of time and were then permitted to sit on the benches. Most of those kneeling were drenched by water from the blades of the helicopter and were thus also in wet clothing throughout this period and were very cold. Other passengers exposed on open decks received serious sun-burn to their skin as a result of many hours exposure. Medical reports show that at least 13 passengers received first-degree burns as a consequence. During the course of the 12-hour journey to the port of Ashdod in Israel, the passengers were brought inside the ship and allowed to sit on the available seating.

134. In the process of being detained, or while kneeling on the external decks for several hours, there was physical abuse of passengers by the Israeli forces, including kicking and punching and being hit with the butts of rifles. One foreign correspondent, on board in his professional capacity, was thrown on the ground and kicked and beaten before being handcuffed. The passengers were not allowed to speak or to move and there were frequent instances of verbal abuse, including derogatory sexual remarks about the female passengers. Passengers were denied access to toilet facilities or made to wait for lengthy periods before being escorted to the toilet and then forced to use the toilet with Israeli soldiers watching and while handcuffed. Some passengers were in serious discomfort as a result, while others used makeshift receptacles, such as plastic bottles and others still were

76 According to the testimony of CCSA Ashkenazi to the Turkel Committee on 11 August 2010, field surgeries were performed on 13 passengers on board the ship.
77 Also according to the above testimony, 31 wounded passengers and 7 wounded Israeli soldiers were airlifted from the ship utilizing “approximately 40 helicopter evacuations” and all wounded had been evacuated by 1230 hours.
forced to urinate on themselves. The Israeli forces also employed dogs and some passengers received dog-bite wounds. Some witnesses who suffer from chronic medical conditions, such as diabetes or heart conditions, were not provided access to their required medicines, which were taken by Israeli soldiers.

155. The manner in which plastic handcuffs were attached to the wrists of passengers caused severe pain and discomfort. There was widespread release of the handcuffs by the Israeli soldiers who tightened the plastic handcuffs to an extent that caused pain, swelling, a loss of blood circulation in the hands and the loss of sensitivity in their hands and fingers. Most passengers who requested that the handcuffs be loosened were ignored or it resulted in the handcuffs being further tightened. A number of passengers are still experiencing medical problems related to the handcuffing three months later and forensic reports confirm that at least 54 passengers had received injuries, transverse abrasions and bruises, as a result of handcuffing on board the Mavi Marmara.

(c) Events aboard the Challenger 1

156. Passengers and crew on the Challenger 1, the smallest and fastest vessel in the flotilla, were able to witness the first moments of the assault on the Mavi Marmara. Once it became apparent that the Israelis intended to commandeer the ships, the decision was made for the Challenger 1 to accelerate out of the formation of the flotilla to allow more time for the journalists aboard to transmit news of the assault to the outside world via the boat’s satellite Internet connection, which remained in operation, but also in the hope that at least one boat might still be able to reach Gaza. The boat was chased by one of the Israeli corvette boats which it was unable to outrun. Eventually the sailors on the ship were able to reach the Israeli boat and send its crew back on their way. The ship was then able to continue its journey. A large number of Israeli troops boarded the boat, but due to the strong resistance offered by the passengers, the ship was successfully able to escape.

157. The boat was intercepted by two Israeli boats and a helicopter. Passengers on the board said that at least one stun grenade was launched at the boat by the Israelis before they attempted to board. Passengers on the decks had decided in advance to employ passive resistance techniques to resist symbolically the Israeli soldiers boarding the boat. The passengers stood unarmed side by side blocking the path of the soldiers. Soldiers opened fire with paintballs and rubber bullets as they boarded, hitting and injuring one woman in the face with either a plastic bullet or a paintball. Another woman was bruised on her back by rubber bullets.

158. Once on board, the soldiers moved to take control of the fly bridge. Passengers attempting to access were forcibly removed. On entering the fly bridge, the soldiers were met with no resistance, but a female journalist sustained burns on her arms from an electroshock weapon fired by an Israeli soldier. Witnesses said that the primary concern of the soldiers seemed to be the confiscation of photographic equipment and media.

159. The passive resistance offered by the passengers was met with force. One woman’s head was hit against the deck of the boat and then stepped on by an Israeli soldier. Passengers were handcuffed very tightly with plastic ties behind their backs, while the woman injured in the face was left unattended.

140. Several passengers said that it was clear that the Israeli soldiers knew who was on board as they referred to some passengers by name. A plasticized booklet recovered from a soldier on the Mavi Marmara, identified specific passengers on several boats with names and photographs, including on the Challenger 1.

141. One crew member observed that the soldiers were very young, seemed frightened and that were initially poorly organized. Soldiers behaved aggressively from the outset towards the passengers. Passengers were handcuffed with plastic ties and denied access to the toilet. One elderly man was obliged to urinate in his clothes because he was refused access to the toilet. There was an attempt to forcibly eject one woman from the boat into
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EXTERNAL EXAMINATION

It has been seen that the naked body wrapped up in a sheet and taken out of the brown wooden coffin
on which there is a label numbered 3/0584, has been washed up, that it had a white plastic label with
some notes in Hebrew and a paper label with yellow color reading “DVI ISRAEL POLICE – Dental
Examination” on its left hand wrist, with red color reading “DVI ISRAEL POLICE - Fingerprinted”,
with green color reading “DVI ISRAEL POLICE – Sampled for DNA”, and with white color reading
“DVI ISRAEL POLICE – External Examination”, and with blue color reading “DVI ISRAEL
POLICE – Photographed” on his right hand wrist, and a plastic label reading “584” on him and that it
had a plastic label reading "584" on its right ankle.
In the examination held under scope, a double fragment fracture of the left cruris and metallic parts
with a diameter of 0.1-0.3 mm at that site, a metallic part of 0.1x0.3 under the skin to the left of
thorax, and an oval metallic object with a diameter of 1.5-2 cm at the level of his left ankle were observed.

In the circumcised male body with a fair complexion, brown eyes, short brown hair, brown-red moustache-beard, at the age 18-20, with a height of 1.71 m, a weight of 64 kg; it has been observed that rigor mortis has passed, that livor mortis have been formed at locations of the back of body not subject to compression, and that ridding has started at the lower quadrants of the abdomen in the form of yellow green color change.

It has been observed that
1. There was a firearm bullet entrance wound with a diameter of 0.7 cm, with abrasion ring around and with flesh wound of 0.6x0.5 cm to the frontal lower section, a flesh wound of 0.6x0.5 cm to the front lower section of the same, and oval powder impresse distributed at a longitudinal axis of 7 cm under the right eye,
2. There was a firearm bullet exit wound to the left frontal back in the form of + at dimensions 3x2.5 cm at a distance of 1 cm to the midline,
3. There was a firearm bullet exit hole having a diameter of 1 cm in the form of tear 1.5 behind the left ear, and a flesh wound of 0.5 cm near the auricle, on the same line,
4. There was a firearm bullet entrance wound on the right occipital, with a diameter of 0.8 cm with abrasion ring but without shot residues around, 7 cm posterior to the ear,
5. There was a firearm bullet entrance wound with a diameter of 0.8 cm with abrasion ring but without shot residues around, at the paravertebral region aligned at 4th-5th rib level on the median line of the back,
6. There was a firearm bullet exit wound on the right arm 1/3 lower external lateral plane, firearm bullet exit wound, to the left of the chest, in line with the frontal armpit line and the 5th rib, and 4x1 cm bruise with a flesh wound under that,
7. On the left foot, 5th finger distal interior, there was a flesh wound of 2x1 cm due to passing of the firearm bullet, and at the bottom of the 4th finger to the bottom external side, in the form of a split of 0.6 cm, a firearm bullet entrance wound without shot residues,
8. There was a firearm bullet exit wound in the form of star-like laceration with a diameter of 0.7 cm, 2 cm posterior to the origin of the 4th finger of the left foot base,
9. In left cruris lateral, 1/3 median, there was a firearm bullet entrance wound having a diameter of 0.7 cm, with abrasion ring but without shot residues around,
Within the left eye internal side, there were bruises on the upper and lower eyelids, there was a purple colored bruise at left cruris 1/3 medium frontal side and internal side with a diameter of 6 cm, also at left cruris distal to the internal side, upper section of internal malleol, there was a purple colored bruise with a diameter of 5 cm, and a flesh wound of 05x0.3 cm in the middle of the same, and also in that region a foreign body that might be a bullet was found under the skin through palpation, and that a
purple colored bruise of 6x4 cm on the medial left shoulder posterior side with a flesh wound of 1 cm in diameter at one end.

Anal examination showed no macroscopic pathologic characteristic apart from postmortem dilatation. Both hands were determined to involve black-pink-red ink stains on the palmar side.

INTERNAL EXAMINATION

THE HEAD WAS OPENED: The scalp was removed. The regions under the firearm entries under the scalp was found to have hemorrhage. Both temporal muscle groups have hemorrhage, and an entry defect of the firearm bullet without soot, 2 cm below the lambdoid suture to the right occipital right side in the calvaria, and a linear fracture line going 3 cm leftward and upward from that point, a firearm bullet exit defect with external impresses on the outer lamina with a dimension of 2.5x3cm at a distance of 1 cm to the coronal suture in frontal left medium, that one of the fracture lines originating from that point goes 2 cm to the back and comes to an end, that the second goes to the front, that a fracture line generated by the entry defect of the firearm bullet no 1 and coming from the firearm bullet exit wound described in the right frontal bone comes to an end at the point of intersection of such fracture line were observed. It was observed that there was a 2x1 cm firearm bullet exit defect on the posterior left temporal bone, and a multiple fragmented fracture on the squamous bone the, that the broken bone parts showed an elevation of 2-3 mm.

The skull was opened using the fracture lines, the brain and cerebellum were removed, weighed as 1493 gr. There are widespread subarachnoidal hemorrhage on the cerebellum surfaces and intense tissue destruction in the base of skill and left hemisphere, and intense tissue destruction was observed along the firearm bullet trajectory in their sections. Widespread fracture lines were observed in the entire base of skull, with multiple fragmented fractures in the left medial cranial cavity and the frontal cranial cavity.

In examination of the orbita, nose and mouth cavities, no macroscopic pathologic characteristics were observed apart from blood smear.

THE CHEST WAS OPENED: Sternal valve was removed. Both lungs were found free. 600 cc blood was emptied from the left chest cavity. No free liquid or blood was detected in the right chest cavity. Pericardial fluid of usual amount and appearance was found in the pericardial cavity.

The heart was resected, weighed as 285 gr. Anatomic pathology was not monitored. Coronary arteries were found open. Left ventricle wall thickness was measured 1.3 cm, right ventricle wall thickness as 0.3 cm, aorta valve periphery as 6.8 cm, mitral valve periphery as 9 cm, pulmonary valve periphery as 6.3 cm, tricuspid valve periphery as 11.7 cm. No macroscopic pathologic characteristics were observed on the heart valve, cavity and wall tissues and myocardium sections thereof.

The lungs were resected, the right lung was weighed as 285 gr, and the left lung 295 gr. There was a hole due to the passing of the firearm bullet on the lower lobe upper posterior left lung and between upper-lower lobes to the front, and intense intra-tissue bleeding along the trajectory, but no
macroscopic pathologic characteristics were observed in the other areas of the left lung and the right lung.

Macroscopic pathologic characteristics were not observed in mediastinal structures.

A fracture was found due to the passing of a firearm bullet from the left 5th rib frontal axillary line and left 4th rib paravertebral line. In the examination held during the scopy, the tiny part of metal observed near the left of thorax close to the exit wound could not be found in spite of all searches.

In examination of the neck organs, hyoid bone, thyroid cartilage and neck vertebrae were found intact.

No macroscopic pathologic characteristics were observed in the trachea and esophagus.

THE ABDOMEN WAS OPENED: No free fluid or blood was observed in the abdominal cavity.

100 cc semi digested food content was found in the stomach.

The large and small intestines showed no macroscopic pathologic characteristics.

The liver was resected; weighed as 1157 gr. Its surfaces were smooth and no macroscopic pathologic characteristic was observed apart from the pale appearance at its sections.

The spleen was weighed 162 gr. Its surface and sections showed no macroscopic pathologic characteristics.

The right kidney was weighed 141 gr, left kidney 135 gr. There are fetal lobulation on the surfaces of both kidneys, and their sections showed no macroscopic pathologic characteristics.

The pelvis wall and soft tissue showed no macroscopic pathologic characteristic.

The bladder was found full.

When the left crusis was dissected, widespread hematoma and tibia as well as multiple fractures on the fibule covering 1/3 under crusis were found. The tiny metal fragments detected during in the left crusis could not be found despite all searches. During the external examination, when an incision was made to the bruise site described in the left crusis distal in the external examination, one bullet with rifling marks with deformed jacket, which is partially lost, from within the muscular tissue behind the internal malleol.

The following were found out:

That the firearm bullet entering from the spot described in no 1 in the external examination broke the frontal bone and entered the skull, passed through the brain tissue in its course right to left and front to the back, broke the occipital bone, and left the body from the spot described in no 3 in the external examination.

That the firearm bullet entering from the spot described in no 4 in the external examination broke the occipital bone and entered the skull, passed through the brain tissue in its course right to left and downward, broke the frontal bone, and left the body.

That the firearm bullet entering from the spot described in no 5 in the external examination entered the thoracic cavity in its course left to right, slightly downward, and back to the front, broke the 4th rib from below the paravertebral line, entered the left lung from the lower lobe posterior superior and
exited at the front from the interlobar region, broke the 5th rib from the left frontal axillary line, and left the body,

That the firearm bullet entering at the place described in no 7 in the external examination left the body passing through soft tissue from the spot described in no 8 in the external examination, without any bone fracture,

That the firearm bullet entering at the spot described in no 9 in the external examination caused multiple fractures in the tibia and fibula in its course inward and downward, and remained within the soft tissue at inner malleol upper section.

On examination of the firearm bullet entrance wounds, no subcutaneous shot residue was detected.

Blood sample, nail sample and bone sample were taken with FTA to be kept to do DNA profiling when necessary.

Skin samples from the skin around the entrance wounds were sent to Physics Specialization Office to seek shot residues (nitrite-nitrate)

2 blue colored, plastic bags stated to involve clothes were sent to Physics Specialization Office for examination.

Biopsy was made from the heart, lung, liver, kidney, cerebellum, peduncle and the brain for histopathological examination when necessary.

Samples of blood, urine, fat tissue, as well as the stomach and intestines along with its contents were taken for systematic toxicological analysis and seeking alcohol, soporific-narcotic, and skin wipe samples were taken to seek explosive products; and were sent to Chemistry Specialization Office.

One bullet obtained was sent to Physics Specialization Office for definition and determination of the type of the shooting weapon.

In the letter by Physics Specialization Office dated 10 June 2010; it was specified that the persons were cleaned (washed) to whom the skin and subcutaneous tissue parts of 4 boxes 38272 (1599), 2 boxes 38303 (1601), 2 boxes 38249 (1593), 6 boxes 38269 (1598), 5 boxes 38266 (1597), 4 boxes 38254 (1594), 1 box 38259 (1595), 1 box 38304 (1600), 5 boxes 38253 (1596) belong to, and thus that they were returned to the Morgue Specialization Office without examination,

In the report dated 16 June 2010 and numbered 6150 by the Physics Specialization Office, the following sent for examination,

1- On the coat with a hood in gray color outside and beige color inside;
A- One with a diameter of 0.6 cm on the back side right upper side area (12 cm below the shoulder seam, 14 cm to the right arm body seam),
B- One with a size of 2x2 cm in "T" shape at the front side left edge medium area (10 cm below the armpit joint, 26 cm to zipper edge),
2- On the sweat pants with the expression "ADIDAS" on the black colored left pocket;
One with a diameter of 0.6 cm on the back side left leg lower edge external area (22 cm to the lowest part of the trousers, 1.5 cm to the external side seam),
3. Black colored "ADIDAS" brand shoes; One with a diameter of 0.6 cm to the upper left end of the external side on the left shoe,

4. It has been recorded in relation with the holes on the coat with hood gray outside and beige inside, the sweat pants with the expression "ADIDAS" on the black colored left pocket, and the black colored shoes with "ADIDAS" brand; and around the holes,
   A- In the physical examination held visually and using a magnifying glass; burn, searing and powder soot WERE NOT OBSERVED,
   B- In the chemical examination held using improved grease indicator, powder residues WERE NOT DETECTED,
   5- A deformed bullet with broken jacket, having a diameter of 9 mm pertained to cartridges produced for weapons having a diameter of 9x19 mm,
Consequently in the examination;
1- It has been recorded in relation with the holes on the coat with hood gray outside and beige inside, the sweat pants with the expression "ADIDAS" on the black colored left pocket, and the black colored shoes with "ADIDAS" brand; and around the holes,
2- According to the physical and chemical findings obtained, the shots causing entrance holes on the clothes;
   A- were not fired at ranges IN CONTACT, NEAR CONTACT or CLOSE-RANGE, B- However, according to the actual findings, there is no way to determine the actual firing range,
3- One (1) bullet having a diameter of 9 x 19 mm, have been fired from automatic or semi-automatic gun with corresponding diameter and type,
In the report by Chemistry Specialization Office dated 15 June 2010 and numbered 02/10-38269/2781; the substances of the sought systematic were not found out as a result of the systematic toxicological analysis held on the internal organs, stomach and the fat tissue, there was trimethobenzamide in the internal organs, no Ethanol and methanol methanol was found in the blood, soporific-anesthetic substances of the sought systematic were not found out, 168.8 mg/mL trimethobenzamide was found, soporific-anesthetic substances of the sought systematic were not found in the urine, Trimethobenzamide was found, explosive substances of the sought systematic (2-Amino-6-Nitrotoluene; 2,6-DNT; TNB; HMX; 4-Amino-2,6-Dinitrotoluene, RDX; Nitrobenzene, 1,2-DNB; 2,4-DNT) were not detected in the skin wipe.

RESULT
The following opinions were reached:
In view of the information and findings given above, obtained with the autopsy and examinations held by the Morgue Specialization Office of the Forensic Medicine Authority on 03 June 2010 over the
body (5) (6), reported to have died in the incident that occurred onboard Mavi Marmara Ship on 31 May 2010:

1. In the report by Chemistry Specialization Office; the substances of the sought systematic were not found out as a result of the systematic toxicological analysis held on the internal organs, stomach and the fat tissue, there was trimethobenzamide in the internal organs, no ethanol and methanol methanol was found in the blood, soporific-anesthetic substances of the sought systematic were not found out, 168.8 ng/mL trimethobenzamide was found, soporific-anesthetic substances of the sought systematic were not found in the urine, Trimethobenzamide was found, explosive substances of the sought systemic (2-Amino-6-Nitrotoluene; 2,6-DNT; TNB; HMX; 4-Amino-2,6-Dinitrotoluene, RDX; Nitrobenzene, 1,2-DNB; 2,4-DNT) were not detected in the skin wipe.

2. According to the report by Physics Specialization Office; since it was specified that the people to whom the skin and subcutaneous tissue samples belong to were cleaned (washed), no examination was held, upon the examination of the holes on the coat with a hood gray inside and beige outside, the sweat pants with the expression “ADIDAS” on the left pocket, and the black colored “ADIDAS” brand shoes, according to the physical and chemical findings obtained, the discharges causing the entrance holes on the clothes were not fired at ranges in contact, near contact or close-range, however it is not possible to determine the actual firing range, one (1) cartridge having a diameter of 9x19 mm was fired from an automatic or semi-automatic weapon with corresponding diameter and type,

3. The active ingredient of the antiemetic drug found in the blood (16.8 ng/mL Trimethobenzamide) was not at toxic levels, but it was at therapy level,

4. 5 (five) firearm bullets hit the body of the person, and the injuries caused by the bullets described in no 1, 4 and 5 in the external examination were individually lethal, and the others were not fatal,

5. According to the firearm bullet entrance wound, skin and subcutaneous findings, it was found out in the external examination that the discharges causing the wounds described in item 1 were close, that the discharge constituting the wound described in no 4 was a distant range one (at a range of 35-45 cm for short barrel weapons, and 75-100 cm for long-barrel weapons), that since the other discharges hit the sites with clothing, according to the report of the Physics Specialization Office; they were not fired in contact, near contact or close firing range, however, it is not possible to determine the actual firing range in view of the current findings; and that it is unknown whether the examined clothing is the top clothing of the person at the time of the incident,

6. From the body, 1 (one) bullet with deformed appearance, with a partially lost jacket, was obtained with rifling marks thereon,

7. The death of the person is due to a wound by a firearm bullet causing fractures of the skull, rib and limb bones entailing internal bleeding, cerebral hemorrhage, brain tissue destruction.

Spec. Dr. Mehmet Özbay Spec. Dr. İbrahim Üzün Dr. Gözde Şirin

DOJCrimDiv0063
To: İstanbul Chief Public Prosecutor's Office

Assoc. Prof. Dr. C. Haluk Ince
Head of Forensic Medicine Institution
17 June 2010

(Seal and Signature)

Bakırköy Public Prosecutor
MA/ST

Note: The report was sent by UYAP system.
Enclosure D
October 6, 2010

The Honorable Hillary Clinton,
Secretary of State
United States Department of State
2201 C St NW
Washington, DC 20037

Dear Secretary Clinton,

We, Americans who were aboard the three passenger ships of the Gaza flotilla over four months ago and who witnessed the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] on the Mavi Marmara, are appalled at the lack of any visible, active effort on the part of any agency in our government to conduct and release an independent investigation of the killing of one and assaults on other Americans by a foreign military force, the Israeli commandos. We are also angered by lack of any concerted effort by the State Department to secure our possessions. We appreciate the efforts of junior and mid-level officials, but without the full weight of the senior leadership of the State Department, the Israeli government will continue to ignore our concerns.

Although our own government has apparently done nothing, the September 27, 2010, report of the Fact Finding Mission to the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) revealed there is clear evidence that [REDACTED] and four Turkish citizens were murdered, execution-style with gunshot to their heads, by Israeli commandos and four other unarmed passengers were killed with gunshot to other parts of their bodies.

The report reveals that [REDACTED] was filming with a small video camera on the top deck of the Mavi Marmara when he was shot twice in the head, once in the back, in the leg and foot, at point blank range while lying on the ground. The report says Furkan had apparently been "lying on the deck in a conscious or semi-conscious, state for some time" before being shot in the face. The forensic evidence of "tattooing around the wound in his face," according to the report indicates that the shot was "delivered at point blank range." The report describes the forensic evidence as showing "the trajectory of the wound, from bottom to top, together with a vital abrasion to the left shoulder that could be consistent with the bullet exit point, is compatible with the shot being received while he was lying on the ground on his back."

We want to know if the United States has investigated [REDACTED], and if so, what are the results.
Protecting Israeli actions, particularly the complete reliance on its reports on the acts of its own military at the expense of American citizens, is an absolute dereliction of the responsibilities of our government. The State Department has allied itself with Israel, ignoring its responsibilities to its own citizens. We have been abandoned by our own government, and we demand an investigation of why and how the Department of State and the American Embassy in Tel Aviv have totally failed in their responsibilities to us.

Finally, if the Israeli government insists that we pay for the eventual return of our property, we expect that the United States government will deduct the costs from the $3 billion given to it each year.

Signed:

Ann Wright

Ann Wright has been authorized to sign this letter on behalf of the following American citizens and the father of an American citizen:

(b) (6)

Huwaida Arraf
Scott Hamann
Janet Koburn
Paul Larudee
Isa Lee
Fatima Mohammadi
Joe Mendons
Fiachra Ó Lusin
Edward Peck
David Schmererhorn
Kathleen Sheetz
Gene St. Ong
Ann Wright
CC: Inspector General
US Department of State
United States Department of State
2201 C St NW
Washington, DC 20037

CC: Michelle Bernier-Toth
Director, Office of American Citizens Services and Crisis Management
United States Department of State
2201 C St NW
Washington, DC 20037

CC: Jake Walles,
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs
2201 C St NW
Washington, DC 20037

CC: Katherine Gallagher
Senior Staff Attorney
Center for Constitutional Rights
666 Broadway 7th floor
New York, NY
10012
(b) (6)

Attachment A
Aboard the Turkish Flotilla: A Firsthand Account

Share · Public Event

Time: Thursday, March 10 · 7:30pm - 9:30pm

Location: The Silver Center, Room 207
100 Washington Square East
New York, NY

Created By: Israel Peace Week at NYU-Deborah Bessner

More Info: Birthright Israel NEXT is bringing an extremely unique opportunity to the NYU community to hear from Amir, an IDF Navy Seal who was one of the first soldiers to board the Mavi Marmara ship during the Turkish Flotilla incident of last May.

Amir will speak about his experience at large and will also answer questions about his specific experiences last May.

ALSO, EXCLUSIVE firsthand footage taken aboard the ship will be shown.

Dinner will be served!

*IMPORTANT*: Registration is REQUIRED for this event and will close on Thursday at 5:00 pm. The link to register is here:

https://app.e2ma.net/app/view/Join/signupId:768881/accId:9463

Co-Sponsored by StandWithUS

Brought to you by Birthright Israel NEXT

Wall

Export · Report Event

Facebook © 2011 · English (US)

Chat (30)
Attacked is a summary of the Gaza Flotilla Incident.

Great. Thanks.

Attached is the general background blurb.