



DAVID N. KELLEY
United States Attorney for the
Southern District of New York
Attorney for the United States of America
By: DAVID S. JONES (DJ-5276)
Assistant United States Attorney
86 Chambers Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10007
Tel. (212) 637-2739
Fax (212) 637-2686

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH OF SUDAN, : REV. JOHN SUDAN GADUEL, NUER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES IN : U.S.A., STEPHEN KUINA, FATUMA NYAWANG GARBANG, and DANIEL WOUR : CLUOL, on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

01 Civ. 9882 (DLC)

- v. -

TALISMAN ENERGY INC., REPUBLIC OF THE SUDAN,

Defendants.

## STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The United States of America, by its attorney, David N. Kelley, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, respectfully submits this Statement of Interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 517. Specifically, by this submission the United States respectfully informs the Court (1) of concerns expressed by the United States Department of State as to the effect of the

(188

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "The Solicitor General, or any officer of the Department of Justice, may be sent by the Attorney General to any . . . district in the United States . . . to attend to any [] interest of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 517.

above-referenced matter on this Nation's foreign affairs, especially in light of the Government's understanding that Canada's judiciary is equipped to consider claims such as those raised here; and (2) of concerns expressed by the Government of Canada about the exercise of extraterritorial jurisdiction by this Court over the Canadian defendant Talisman Energy Inc. in this matter, which the Government of Canada states, among other things, frustrates its policies <u>vis a vis</u> Sudan.

The views of the United States Department of State are set forth in a letter from U.S.

Department of State Legal Adviser William H. Taft, IV, to the Honorable Daniel Meron,

Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division, U.S. Department of Justice, dated

February 11, 2005, and annexed hereto as Exhibit A (along with that letter's enclosure, a

diplomatic note from the Government of Canada to the United States Department of State dated

January 14, 2005). As the Supreme Court has directed, it is appropriate for this Court to give

these concerns great weight "as the considered judgment of the Executive on a particular

question of foreign policy." Republic of Austria v. Altman, 124 S. Ct. 2240, 2255 (2004).

As the Supreme Court has noted, in cases, like this one, arising under the Alien Tort

Statute ("ATS"), 28 U.S.C. § 1350, a court must act cautiously and "with a restrained conception
of its discretion" in both recognizing ATS claims and in extending liability. Sosa v. AlvarezMachain, 124 S. Ct. 2739, 2761-64, 2766 n.20 (2004). Thus, the Supreme Court has instructed
federal courts to refrain from taking an "aggressive role in exercising a jurisdiction that remained
largely in shadow for much of the prior two centuries," id. at 2762-63, and, in particular, has
noted that "the potential implications for the foreign relations of the United States of recognizing
such causes should make the Courts particularly wary of impinging on the discretion of the
Legislative and Executive Branches in managing foreign affairs." Id. at 2763. The Court also

directed that federal courts consider the "practical consequences" of recognizing causes of action under the ATS; the Court also endorsed possible consideration of whether a claimant should be required to exhaust available remedies elsewhere before seeking relief in a United States federal district court. 124 S. Ct. 2766 & n. 21.

As noted in the State Department's letter, we understand that this suit raises the question, among other issues, of whether claims of "aider and abetter" liability may be brought under the ATS. Because we wish to provide the Court with the timeliest possible communication of the views of the State Department and the Government of Canada, we do not undertake a full briefing of "aider and abetter" liability herein. The United States has, however, recently briefed that issue in its "Supplemental Brief for the United States of America, as Amicus Curiae," dated August 25, 2004, in the case John Doe I v. Unocal Corp., Nos. 00-56603, 00-56628 (9th Cir.). That brief, which relies primarily on statutory, regulatory, and Supreme Court authority that is fully applicable in this Court, is respectfully annexed hereto as Exhibit B for the Court's convenience and reference to the extent the Court finds it helpful.

Dated:

New York, New York March 15, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID N. KELLEY
United States Attorney
Attorney for the United States of America

By:

DAVID S. JONES (DJ-5276) Assistant United States Attorney 86 Chambers Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor New York, New York 10007 Telephone: (212) 637-2739

## Of Counsel:

DAVID P. STEWART
Assistant Legal Adviser
Office of Diplomatic Law and Litigation
Office of the Legal Adviser
United States Department of State
2201 C Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20520