SOUT	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT HERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	x	
DAVI	D FLOYD, et al.,	
	Plaintiffs,	
	V.	08 CV 1034(S
CITY	OF NEW YORK, et al.,	
	Defendants.	
	x	
		New York, N. April 18, 20 10:08 a.m.
Befo	re:	
	HON. SHIRA A. S	CHEINDLIN,
		District Jud
	APPEARAN	CES
	OCK LEVINE & HOFFMAN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
	JENN ROLNICK BORCHETTA JONATHAN MOORE	
COVI	NGTON & BURLING, LLP	
BY:	Attorneys for Plaintiffs KASEY MARTINI	
	GRETCHEN HOFF VARNER	
	ERIC HELLERMAN	
	BRUCE COREY	
CENT	ER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
DIZ	DARIUS CHARNEY	
BY:		
BY:	SUNITA PATEL	
BI:		
BI:	SUNITA PATEL	

1		APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2		
2	MICH.	AEL A. CARDOZO
3		Corporation Counsel for the City of New York
3		Attorney for Defendants
4	BY:	HEIDI GROSSMAN
4		BRENDA E. COOKE
5		JOSEPH MARUTOLLO
5		MORGAN D. KUNZ
6		SUZANNA PUBLICKER
6		LINDA DONAHUE
7		LISA M. RICHARDSON
7		JUDSON VICKERS
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

D4i9flo1 Giacona - direct (Trial resumed) 2 SCOTT GIACONA, resumed. 3 THE COURT: Good morning everyone. Please be seated. 4 MS. PATEL: Your Honor, there was a document that I 5 failed to admit through the witness that I can do now or I can 6 do it on redirect. 7 THE COURT: Go ahead. Let's see if there's an 8 objection. 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 10 BY MS. PATEL: 11 Q. Officer Giacona, you testified yesterday that you effected 12 two arrests, correct? 13 A. When, ma'am? Q. On August 20, 2008. 14 15 A. Oh, yes. Sorry. 16 Q. And this is NYC-2-25078 through 80 which is a portion of 17 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 166. I'm showing you the command log. 18 Am I correct that this is the log for those two 19 arrests on August 20, 2008? 20 A. It looks like it, yes. 21 MS. PATEL: And so I would seek to admit this for all 22 purposes, your Honor. 2.3 MR. KUNZ: No objection to that page. 24 THE COURT: Are we marking it as 166A or something? 25 MS. PATEL: Let's do it as CL and make sure that we --SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo1 Giacona - direct THE COURT: CL? MS. PATEL: For command log. 2 3 THE COURT: 166CL is admitted. 4 MS. PATEL: And this is the date here. 5 THE COURT: Okay. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 166CL received in evidence) 6 MS. PATEL: I would just seek to admit all three pages 7 8 because they give a chronology of time. 9 THE COURT: That's fine. All three pages. 10 MS. PATEL: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Kunz. 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. KUNZ: Q. Officer, you recall yesterday we were talking about an incident that happened on August 20 of 2008? 14 15 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And you were asked by Ms. Patel what vehicle you were 18 driving that day. 19 Do you recall that? 20 A. Yes. Q. And what vehicle were you driving? 21 A. I believe it was RMP 8098. 22 2.3 Q. And I believe you said that was a black Crown Victoria? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Are black Crown Victorias common police cars? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Giacona - cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Could you explain to the court a little bit about that.
- 3 A. There's certain vehicles that I guess the department
- 4 purchases and they purchase in bulk so there's like a lot of
- 5 Crown Vics. Now I believe there's a lot of like Ford Fusions,
- those are the new ones that they're using. But they buy a lot
- of vehicles, similar vehicles and use the same vehicle.
- 8 Q. So when you worked in Brooklyn South gang squad was black
- 9 Crown Victoria -- was a black Crown Victoria a common vehicle
- 10 for the Brooklyn South gang squad?
- 11 A. I don't remember actually. I think we had a couple of them
- 12 but I'm not a hundred percent sure.
- 13 Q. And around the time of August 20, 2008 you were assigned to
- work in the 120th precinct in Staten Island?
- 15 A. Yes.

19

25

- 16 Q. Was a black Crown Victoria a common police vehicle in the 120th precinct?
- 18 A. Yes, I would say.
 - MS. PATEL: Objection. I don't think he has
- foundation for that knowledge if he worked in Brooklyn South
- 21 gang squad and he said he doesn't know if it was a common
- 22 vehicle in Brooklyn South gang squad. I don't think he can
- 23 testify about the 120th precinct because it's a completely
- 24 different borough.

THE COURT: Were you there? How long were you there? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Giacona - cross 1 THE WITNESS: We were temporarily assigned there for a 2 couple of months, I would say. 3 THE COURT: Well in the couple months you were there 4 did that seem to be the car that was most common? 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know about most common but it 6 was, yeah, there was a couple of them, I guess. THE COURT: A couple of them, I guess. I don't know 7 8 that that means common. So I'm going to sustain the objection. 9 Q. Well I guess the question then is so you were driving a 10 black Crown Vic, were there other black Crown Victorias that 11 were being driven around by police officers in the 120th 12 precinct during that time? 13 A. I would say yes. 14 MS. PATEL: Your Honor, I would just state the same 15 objection. He doesn't report to roll call at 120th precinct. 16 He's also testified that he doesn't remember. 17 THE COURT: All he did was ask: Were there other 18 black Crown Victorias that were driven around by police 19 officers in the 120th precinct? 20 You would see that on the street, wouldn't you? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 22 THE COURT: All right. Well I'm not -- overruled on 2.3 that objection. 24 Q. Now, you were also asked on direct yesterday about a 25 comment that you made to the CCRB when you said that you stop SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Giacona - cross

- 1 and talk to people a lot in your work.
- 2 Can you explain to the court what you meant by that 3 statement.
- 4 A. I guess on a day-to-day basis I stop and talk to civilians
- 5 all the time, gather information, see what's going on in the
- 6 neighborhood, see if there's any problems, anything like that.
- 7 Q. And are those forcible, reasonable suspicion based stops?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. And do you find interacting with the community and asking
- about problems, is that an effective police tool?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. What level of suspicion do you need -- I'm sorry.
- 13 Withdrawn.
- 14 If you conduct a forcible stop of someone do you have
- 15 to fill out any paperwork?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What paperwork?
- 18 A. UF 250 and I believe you have to make memo book entries.
- 19 Q. And what was your practice in regard to UF 250s, if you
- 20 conducted a stop, did you fill them out?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And what level of suspicion do you need to forcibly stop
- and question someone?
- 24 A. Reasonable.
- 25 Q. What do you do if someone asks you for your shield number? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Giacona - cross

- 1 A. I give it to them.
- 2 Q. Does that happen?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Now I believe you testified that on August 20, 2008, and we
- 5 just looked at some paperwork in regard to that, you conducted
- 6 at arrest at about 6:40 p.m.?
- 7 A. I believe so, yes.
- 8 Q. Do you remember where those arrests took place?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. I'm going to show you this document. I guess it's in
- 11 evidence. So I'll put it on the screen.
- 12 Can you read that arrest location?
- 13 A. It looks like Brighton and York Avenue.
- 14 Q. Is that in the 120th precinct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. How far is that from the actual precinct itself?
- 17 A. A mile maybe, maybe three-quarters of a mile. Not that
- 18 far.
- 19 Q. So prior to conducting that arrest or those arrests, did
- you forcibly stop and question anyone?
- 21 A. Not that I remember, no.
- 22 Q. And had you forcibly stopped and questioned someone, would
- 23 you have filled out the paperwork that you testified to before?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. At any point on that day, on August 20, 2008 -- actually SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Giacona - cross 1 withdrawn. 2 I'm going to show you an excerpt from Plaintiffs' 3 Exhibit 166 which is in evidence. 4 MS. PATEL: Your Honor, I object to this photograph 5 being shown in court. It has only been introduced as a part of a larger file for notice purposes. And this witness cannot 6 authenticate or even talk about the photograph in any way. 7 8 It's not clear -- it's not a clear photograph at all. And it's 9 very -- it's misleading and prejudicial. 10 MR. KUNZ: It's a photograph that Mr. Downs -- that 11 the CCRB took of Mr. Downs. He's demonstrating what he looked 12 like on the day when he says the stop happened. 166, the CCRB 13 file, is in evidence. I don't see why we couldn't --MS. PATEL: I would also note that this witness has 14 15 said he doesn't recall the stop, he doesn't recall Leroy Downs. 16 He was shown a photograph of Leroy Downs, didn't recognize him. 17 I just think this is just very prejudicial. 18 THE COURT: Why is it very prejudicial if it's part of 19 a file in evidence? It's in evidence in the case now. 20 MS. PATEL: It's a misleading photograph. THE COURT: Why is it misleading? What does that 21 22 mean? It's not a good photograph? It's not a flattering 2.3 photograph? What does that mean, it's misleading? 24 MS. PATEL: My belief -- what I think is going to 25 happen right now is he's going to be shown a photograph and SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Giacona - cross D4i9flo1 1 asked a hypothetical about --2 MR. KUNZ: That's not my intention. I'm going to ask 3 if he recognizes the man in the photograph and if he ever 4 stopped him. 5 MS. PATEL: As long as the photograph is not going to be put into evidence for a purpose other than notice, which is 6 7 what it's in for right now, I don't have any objection. 8 THE COURT: I don't know what you mean by it's purpose 9 other than notice. It's in the record. It's in the file. 10 MS. PATEL: Meaning for the truth of what it depicts. 11 THE COURT: I don't understand. 12 It's Mr. Downs, isn't it? 13 MR. KUNZ: Yes. 14 I think honestly we'll have to revisit this issue with 15 Mr. Downs because I intend to authenticate this -- if further 16 authentication is needed, I absolutely --17 THE COURT: I don't know why it's needed. 18 Are you contesting that it's Mr. Downs? 19 MS. PATEL: No, your Honor. THE COURT: I don't understand this whole 20 21 conversation. Go ahead. 22 BY MR. KUNZ: 2.3 Q. Do you recognize this man? 24 A. No. 25 Q. Have you ever conducted a reasonable suspicion stop of this SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo1 Giacona - cross

- 1 man?
- 2 A. No. I don't think so.
- 3 Q. On August 20 of 2008 at any point during your tour either
- 4 before the arrests that you made or after the arrests that you
- 5 made, were you ever driving and leaving the police precinct
- 6 when someone started to yell to you, "I have your license
- 7 plate"?
- 8 A. Not that I remember, no.
- 9 Q. While you were processing the arrests at the precinct that
- 10 night, did anyone come up to you and ask you for your shield
- 11 numbers?
- 12 A. Not that I remember.
- 13 Q. Were you disciplined at all for anything in relation to
- what happened on August 20, 2008?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What was the discipline?
- 17 A. I believe they took five vacation days.
- 18 Q. And what was the loss of vacation days for?
- 19 A. Improper memo book entries, I believe.
- 20 Q. In preparing for this case you've had an opportunity to
- 21 review a CCRB statement that Mr. Leroy Downs made; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Having reviewed that CCRB statement, did you conduct a stop
- at all similar to what Mr. Downs described?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Giacona - cross

- 1 A. No, not that I remember.
- 2 MR. KUNZ: No further questions at this time, your

3 Honor

- 4 THE COURT: Okay. Anything further of this witness?
- 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MS. PATEL:
- 7 Q. You just testified that you were disciplined following the
- 8 August 20, 2008 incident, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.

19

- 10 Q. For failing to put something in your memo book?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q. What did you fail to put in your memo book?
- 13 A. According to CCRB I guess or whatever their investigation,
- 14 they found that I did this stop that I don't remember or have
- 15 any knowledge of. So when you do a stop, you have to fill out
- 16 the 250. And then you have to make a memo book entry in your
- $17\,$ $\,$ memo book of the 250. So I never made the entry because I
- 18 don't remember doing the stop. So that's -- I guess that's
 - what I was disciplined for, not having memo book entries for
- 20 the stop that I don't remember doing.
- 21 Q. So you were disciplined for failing to include a memo book
- 22 entry related to the stop of Mr. Downs, correct?
- 23 A. Apparently, yes.
- MS. PATEL: Okay. Thank you.
- THE COURT: Nothing further of the witness?

 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

```
D4i9flo1
                              Giacona - redirect
              MS. PATEL: One moment, your Honor.
 2
              (Pause)
 3
              MS. PATEL: That's it, your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: Thank you. We're all set?
 5
              MR. KUNZ: Nothing further.
              THE COURT: Okay. We're all set.
 6
              THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
 7
 8
              (Witness excused)
9
              MR. CHARNEY: Your Honor plaintiffs call Terry Riley.
10
       TERRENCE RILEY,
11
          called as a witness by the Plaintiffs,
12
          having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
13
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
     BY MR. CHARNEY:
15
     Q. Is it Inspector Riley?
16
     A. That's correct, sir.
17
     Q. Good morning, Inspector Riley. You joined the police
18
     department in about 1990; is that correct?
     A. That's correct. October 15 of 1990.
19
20
     Q. Your current rank is inspector?
     A. Yes, sir.
21
22
     Q. And are you currently assigned to the special projects
     section of the office of management analysis and planning?
23
24
     A. No, sir.
25
     Q. What is your current?
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

- 1 A. Currently I'm assigned as the commanding officer of the
- 2 resource analysis section.
- 3 Q. Is the special projects section part of the resource 4 analysis section?
- 5 A. No, it is not.
- 6 THE COURT: Did you once hold the title he first

7 mentioned?

- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- 9 THE COURT: When did it change?
- 10 THE WITNESS: It changed in August of 2010.
- MR. CHARNEY: Thank you, your Honor.
- 12 $\,$ Q. So you were working in the special projects section in
- 13 2007; is that correct?
- 14 A. That's correct, sir.
- 15 It's not a section. It's a unit. It's a smaller subdivision.
- 17 Q. I'm sorry. A unit.
- 18 And so you were working there at the time that the
- 19 RAND Corporation was doing their study on the NYPD's
- 20 stop-and-frisk practices, right?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And who was your direct supervisor at that time?
- 23 A. At that time it was -- special projects is odd. Really you
- 24 report to whatever higher ranking person asks you to do
- 25 something. So primarily it would be Chief Donahue or SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

- 1 inspector -- I'm sorry, Deputy Commissioner Michael Farrell.
- 2 Q. And Chief Donahue was the commanding officer of the office
- 3 of management analysis and planning; is that right?
- 4 A. At that time he held my current position. So he was the
- 5 commanding officer of the resource analysis section.
 - Q. Now he's the commander of OMAP?
- 7 A. Correct, sir.

6

- 8 MR. CHARNEY: For everybody's benefit, I'm going to
- 9 refer to the office of management analysis and planning as OMAP
- 10 so that we can move this along.
- 11 Q. Is that okay with you?
- 12 A. Yes, sir. That's accurate and I understand.
- 13 Q. And Deputy Commissioner Farell is the deputy commissioner
- of strategic initiatives, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And so OMAP falls under his purview?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. So in 2007 when you worked in the special projects unit,
- one of the special projects you worked on was the RAND
- 20 Corporation study, right?
- 21 A. Yes. That is correct.
- 22 Q. And your role in the -- in developing the RAND report or
- 23 working on that project was as the project coordinator; is that
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yes, sir. That's right.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

1 Just to be clear though there's two RAND reports.

- 2 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 3 So there were two RAND reports. There is the
- 4 stop-and-frisk report, correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And then there was a report done on the firearms training
- 7 that the police department uses?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And both of those were conducted in 2007; is that right?
- 10 A. To the best of my recollection, yes.
- 11 Q. And so you were the project coordinator for both of those
- 12 reports?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And one of your responsibilities as the project coordinator
- 15 included making sure that the RAND researchers got paid for
- 16 their work, correct?
- 17 A. I would process the invoices, yes.
- 18 Q. And that's because RAND, of course, didn't do these studies
- 19 for free, right?
- 20 A. That is correct, sir.
- 21 Q. RAND was hired as an independent contractor to perform both
- of these studies?
- 23 A. Yes, they were.
- 24 Q. And for the study, the stop-and-frisk study, they were paid
- 25 more than \$120,000, correct?

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct A. I do not recall how much they were paid. Q. Do you have any reason to think that number, \$120,000 is 3 not right? 4 A. No, sir. 5 Q. And, in fact, it could have been more than that? 6 A. I do not know. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you a document to see if it helps 7 8 refresh your recollection. I have a copy for the defendants. 9 I'm going to show you this. If you could just turn to 10 the last page and, actually, just the last paragraph. 11 THE COURT: What did you just show him? 12 MS. GROSSMAN: Your Honor, this is --13 THE COURT: Excuse me. 14 MR. CHARNEY: It's a New York Times article. I'm 15 not --16 THE COURT: I understand. 17 Did you mark it as something? 18 MR. CHARNEY: I'm not trying to move it into evidence. 19 THE COURT: Doesn't matter. 20 MR. CHARNEY: I will mark it as Plaintiffs' -- what 21 are we up to? 22 THE COURT: 469, I think. 2.3 MS. MARTINI: 481. 24 Your Honor, we had to mark exhibits for the deposition designations. 25

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

1 THE COURT: I thought I was paying attention to the 2 numbers.

481 for identification. Be sure to put a little sticker on it later.

MR. CHARNEY: Yes, and again I'm --

THE COURT: I know you're not.

- Q. So I just wanted you to look at the last paragraph and tell me does that refresh your recollection whether or not RAND was paid at least \$120,000 to do the stop-and-frisk report?
- 10 A. Sir, I believe to the best of my recollection it may have
- 11 been the firearms and the stop, question and frisk because
- 12 stop, question and frisk was -- it was an addendum to the
- 13 larger contract, but.
- 14 Q. So you're not aware that for the firearms study RAND was
- 15 actually paid more than half a million dollars?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. Okay. So your testimony is you don't know if the \$120,000
- 18 figure was for both studies or just for the stop and frisk
- 19 study?

3

4

5

6

- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. Now another responsibility you had as the project
- 22 coordinator for the RAND -- I'm just going to focus on the
- 23 stop-and-frisk study -- for that study was to provide the RAND
- 24 researchers with any data they requested from the NYPD or at
- 25 least to put them in touch with the NYPD personnel who could SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

- 1 get them that data, correct?
- 2 A. Yes, sir. That is correct.
- 3 Q. And is it correct that you first learned that RAND was
- 4 going to do this stop-and-frisk study in or around March of
- 5 2007?

16

18

- 6 A. Yes. The very end of February or the very beginning of
- 7 March 2007. That's correct.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q}}.$ And that is, in fact, when RAND executed the contract to do
- 9 the study, right?
- 10 A. I'm not certain when they executed it.
- 11 Q. I'm going to show you a document again just to refresh your
- 12 recollection. See if that helps you -- this helps you recall
- 13 the date around which --
- 14 THE COURT: Again, you have to put a number so --
- 15 anything you show him, a number.
 - MR. CHARNEY: I guess I'll mark this as 482.
- 17 THE COURT: For identification only.
 - MR. CHARNEY: Just for identification only.
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 contract was signed in March of 2007?
- 22 THE COURT: What is the document you're showing him?
- 23 MR. CHARNEY: I'm sorry. I'm showing him a copy of
- 24 the agreement between RAND and the --
- THE COURT: Okay.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. It was signed both by RAND and the foundation in March of 2007.

- Q. And by foundation, you mean the New York Police Foundation, 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the New York Police Foundation is affiliated with the police department, right?
- 8 A. No, sir. I don't think that's accurate. It's actually an
- 9 independent foundation.
- 10 Q. But one of their jobs or I'm sorry one of their missions is
- 11 to actually fund research that will be used to benefit the New
- 12 York police department?
- 13 A. It is to support research initiatives; one of the tasks,
- 14 yes.
- 15 Q. And, again, I'm going to hand this back to you. And
- 16 your -- is your recollection that this agreement between the
- New York police department -- or the New York Police Foundation
- 18 entered this agreement on behalf of the police department? Is
- 19 that your recollection?
- 20 A. Yes, sir. That is correct.
- 21 Q. I'll take that back since we're not putting it into
- 22 evidence.
- 23 Actually, you know what, we'll leave it here for a
- 24 second because I might ask you some more questions.
- So with respect to the study, the RAND study, you said SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

1 that you first learned that RAND was going to do it around

- 2 February or March of 2007, right?
- 3 A. Very end of February, early March, yes.
- 4 Q. And in February of 2007 the New York police department
- 5 delivered a year's worth of stop-and-frisk data to the city
- 6 council for 2006, right?
- 7 A. I am not certain of the exact date that data was delivered
- 8 to the council but data was delivered to the council in early
- 9 2007.
- 10 Q. And isn't it true that since 2001 the police department has
- 11 been required under city law to provide stop-and-frisk data on
- 12 a quarterly basis to the city council?
- 13 A. Sir, I understand what you're referring to but I do not
- 14 know when it started.
- 15 Q. Okay. And when did you join OMAP?
- 16 A. I joined OMAP in 2000 -- when I returned from a
- 17 scholarship. So it would be about 2005.
- 18 Q. Okay. And at the time that you returned in 2005, were you
- 19 aware that under city law the police department was supposed to
- 20 provide stop-question-and-frisk data to the city council on a
- 21 quarterly basis?
- 22 A. No. That is something that I came to learn as being
- 23 someone that worked in OMAP.
- Q. And when did you learn that?
- 25 A. I don't remember the exact time.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

 ${\tt Q.}$ Well let me ask you this. The responsibility -- the entity

- 2 within the police department that was responsible for providing
- 3 this data to the city council was the resource analysis section of OMAP, correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And you oversaw the resource analysis section or at least
- 7 that team within the resource analysis section of OMAP that
- 8 provided this data to the police department, right?
- 9 A. No, sir. That's not correct.
- 10 Q. Do you remember being deposed in this case?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And you swore to tell the truth, right, during that
- 13 deposition?
- 14 A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
- 15 Q. I'm going to hand you a copy of your deposition. This
- is -- I'm going to direct you to turn to page 46, line 21.
- 17 I'm sorry. The date -- thank you, Ms. Borchetta.
- 18 Do you recall that your deposition was held August 24,
- 19 2009. If you want to look at the first page of the deposition.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And do you recall being asked this question, "You oversee
- 22 the team within the research analysis section that is
- 23 responsible for providing SQF to the city council?
- 24 "A. I do."

25

MS. GROSSMAN: Just, if you go down to page 47, line SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

MR. CHARNEY: No I just wanted to ask him about it but

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Riley - direct 8, "Do you oversee the team within the resource analysis 2 section that is responsible for providing SQF to the city 3 council? 4 "A. I do not." 5 MR. CHARNEY: Where is that? What page is that? MS. GROSSMAN: 47, lines 8 through 12. 6 THE WITNESS: So I misspoke initially and then 7 8 corrected. So I worked in resource analysis section, and there 9 was a team. But I didn't oversee them. 10 Q. So your testimony is you are not sure when the New York 11 police department -- when the requirement for the police 12 department to start providing this data to the city council 13 went into effect? 14 A. There was legislation involved with it. I do not know when 15 it passed. 16 Q. You don't recall when it passed. Okay. 17 I'm going to show you another document and see if this 18 helps refresh your recollection about when the statute passed. I guess I will mark this as 482. 19 20 Your Honor, this is a city statute. I don't know, if 21 it's a public document, do we need to mark? Can you just take 22 judicial notice? 2.3 THE COURT: Both. Do you want to put it in evidence? 24

25

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

1 I know you want me to mark.

THE COURT: Everything.

MR. CHARNEY: So we'll mark this as.

THE COURT: 483.

5 MR. CHARNEY: 483. And I'll direct you to the page.

It's marked page 4.

- 7 Q. Is that -- if you look under the section that says
- 8 historical note, does that refresh your recollection about what
- 9 year this law went into effect?
- 10 A. It says, The first historical note --
- 11 Q. Reading it to yourself.
- 12 A. Okay. It says November of 2001.
- 13 Q. Does that refresh your recollection that this law went into
- 14 effect in 2001 or thereabout?
- 15 A. Only because I'm reading it here. I wouldn't have been --
- 16 Q. All right.

2

3

4

6

- 17 A. I was separated from the police department for a year.
- 18 Q. I understand.
- 19 But sitting here today and having read that, do you
- 20 now recall that the law went into effect in 2001 or
- 21 thereabouts?
- 22 A. I do not recall when it went into effect.
- 23 Q. And so prior to the New York police department delivering
- this stop-and-frisk data for 2006 to the city council in early
- 25 2007, prior to that point in time do you recall the last time SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

1 before that that the New York police department delivered

- stop-and-frisk data to the police department -- or to the city
 council?
- 4 A. No. But once again that's because that was a team inside the resource analysis section.
 - Q. Okay?

6

18

19

- 7 A. And at that time I was in special projects.
- 8 Q. All right. I'll move on then.

Now, it is true, though, and you know this, that the decision by RAND to do the -- well RAND and the police department, for RAND to do the stop-and-frisk study was made shortly after the NYPD had provided this data to the city council, correct?

- 14 A. That is my understanding, yes.
- Q. And, in fact, this decision was made only about four months after the incident involving the police department's killing of Sean Bell, correct?
 - MS. GROSSMAN: Objection.
 - MR. CHARNEY: I'm just asking if that's when the time.
- 20 MS. GROSSMAN: The timing is the timing.
- 21 MR. CHARNEY: I'm asking if he recalls.
- 22 THE COURT: I'll allow it. Do you remember the
- relationship in time?
- 24 THE WITNESS: I recall it because that incident was
- 25 related to the firearms analysis, not --

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct MR. CHARNEY: Well I understand that. 1 2 THE COURT: So do you recall if it was about four 3 months later? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. Because it was contemporaneous 5 with the firearms analysis. 6 THE COURT: Okay. MR. CHARNEY: So it was about four months later, 7 8 correct? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 10 MR. CHARNEY: Okay. 11 Q. And you recall, I'm sure, that there was, in that 12 four-month period there was a significant amount of public 13 outrage and concern about that incident, correct? 14 A. Yes, sir. That's for certain. 15 Q. And you recall that there were allegations made by various 16 people outside the police department that the police department 17 was engaging in racial profiling? 18 A. That wasn't my understanding. I thought the outrage was related to the number of shots and how did this happen. 19 20 Q. And you don't recall that as part of the outrage about the 21 shooting there were allegations that there was racial 22 profiling, that this was a racially based killing? 2.3 MS. GROSSMAN: He just answered the question. 24 been answered. 25 MR. CHARNEY: I'm asking a separate question. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

1 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, s.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, sir. Could you repeat that. Q. I'm asking do you recall that part of the outrage expressed about this shooting was that there were beliefs amongst people outside the police department that this incident was in some ways racially based?

- 7 A. I recall that there was some people that had those concerns.
- 9 Q. And is your understanding that one of the reasons or, I'm sorry, the reason that the police department commissioned the
- 11 RAND stop-and-frisk report was because now that the
- 12 stop-and-frisk data was public others would be studying it and
- so it made sense for the police department to study it too?
- 14 A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And would you agree that one of the reasons the police
- 16 department wanted to study this data was to provide assurance
- 17 to the public that, in fact, the police department was not
- 18 engaging in racial profiling?
- 19 A. It was my opinion that now that the data was out there it
- $20\,$ $\,$ was going to be studied by academics and that it was -- made
- 21 sense for the department to do the same. But, again, no one
- 22 told me that. It was my opinion.
- 23 Q. Okay.

24

25

3

4

5

6

Well what about my question though that the reason that they wanted to study it was to have a statistical study so SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

1 that they could provide assurance to the public that the police

- 2 department was not racially profiling?
- 3 A. Certainly the study related to racial and ethnic bias
- 4 inside stops, yes.
- 5 Q. Now, do you recall that under the terms of the contract
- 6 between the police foundation and RAND that under that contract
- 7 the police department had the right to comment on drafts of the
- 8 report and that RAND was required to take such comments into
- 9 consideration when preparing their final report?
- 10 A. I recall that inside of the contract, yes.
- 11 Q. And, in fact, you and other NYPD officials did review and
- 12 provide comments on at least two drafts of the RAND report
- 13 before its final publication, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And, in fact, many of these comments were incorporated by
- 16 RAND into the final published version of the report; isn't that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Dr. Ridgeway did accept some of the comments.
- 19 Q. And Dr. Ridgeway, just so everybody understands, he is the
- 20 RAND researcher who was the lead researcher on the report,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes, sir. He's actually the only person that we dealt
- 23 with.
- 24 Q. And do you also recall that under the RAND contract with
- the police foundation for the stop-and-frisk study that RAND SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

was to subject its draft reports to a rigorous and objective quality assurance review.

3

- Do you recall that?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And that that review would include, among other things,
- 6 peer reviews by both RAND staff and external reviewers who were
- 7 content and analytical experts in the field, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And the reason for this, according to the contract, right,
- 10 is that this kind of peer review would provide an independent
- 11 perspective on the quality of the work in the report, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you agree that getting that kind of independent and
- 14 objective review was an important thing for RAND to do, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now, you're also -- you also agree that -- let's talk for a
- 17 minute about one of the analyses RAND did which is the external
- 18 benchmark analysis.
 - Do you recall that?
- 20 A. I do.
- Q. And you recall that in conducting that analysis the 21
- 22 benchmark which RAND used was crime suspect, race description,
- 2.3 right?

19

- 24 A. That was one of the benchmarks, yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And in -- the NYPD provided certain data to RAND for them SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

- 1 to use for this benchmark, right?
- 2 A. I facilitated delivery of data to Dr. Ridgeway, yes.
- 3 Q. And the data that was provided to Dr. Ridgeway by the
- 4 police department was suspect race data for violent crime
- 5 complaints only, correct?
- 6 $\,$ A. I believe the statistical note that went with that data did
- 7 state that.
- 8 Q. Okay. And you don't have any reason to think that the
- 9 statistical note was inaccurate, right?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. But Dr. Ridgeway, in fact, asked at various times for
- 12 suspect race data for other categories of reported crime,
- 13 didn't he?
- 14 A. That I do not recall.
- 15 Q. Well if you want to maybe refresh your recollection, if you
- 16 want to look at page 105 of your deposition, line 5.
- Does that refresh your recollection as to whether or
- 18 not Dr. Ridgeway actually asked for other categories of crime
- 19 suspect data besides violent crime?
- 20 A. That is what it says, yes, that he asked for property
- 21 crimes.
- 22 Q. So he asked for property crimes as well.
- 23 Are you also aware or do you also recall that he at
- one point asked for crime suspect data regarding drug crimes?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

Q. As you already testified, the only thing that the NYPD ended up providing him -- not only thing. I'm sorry.

The only crime suspect data that was provided to

- Dr. Ridgeway was for the violent crimes, right?
- 5 A. There was a series of files inside a zip file that was sent
- 6 from Assistant Commissioner McGuire who was actually inside our
- 7 crime analysis and policy planning section. He's really the
- 8 producer of data for the police department. And he sent that
- 9 file to Dr. Ridgeway, CCing me.
- 10 Q. Didn't you just testify that the statistical notes for that
- 11 file showed that only violent crime suspects was produced to
- 12 Dr. Ridgeway?

3

4

16

- 13 A. That is right.
- ${\tt Q.}$ So, my question is are you aware that with respect to stops
- 15 and frisks that -- I'm sorry. Withdrawn.
 - Before I ask you that. Just to confirm. The study
- 17 that RAND did on stop-and-frisk was an analysis of the NYPD's
- 18 2006 stop-and-frisk data, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. And are you aware that in that period of time, in the
- 21 mid 2000s that violent crimes were the suspected crimes in less
- 22 than fifteen percent of all stops and frisks recorded by the
- 23 police department?
- 24 A. I am not aware of that.

I'm not saying it's wrong. But I'm not aware of that. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

1 $\,$ Q. All right. And are you aware that during that same period

- 2 property crimes, which you did not provide suspect data to
- 3 Dr. Ridgeway for, actually make up a larger portion of the
- 4 suspected crimes in the stops and frisks done by police
- 5 officers than do violent crimes?
- A. Again, I'm not aware of those statistics but I'm not disputing them.
- Q. All right. And so I'm sure I know the answer to this but I'll ask you any way.
- Are you aware that "fits suspect description" is listed as a stop factor in less than fifteen percent of all
- 12 stops recorded by NYPD officers each year?
- 13 A. And you are correct, sir.
- 14 Q. That you don't know?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now, do you also recall that the crime -- the
- 17 violent crime suspect data that you did give to Dr. Ridgeway
- 18 was organized at the precinct level?
- 19 A. That was my understanding, right.
- 20 Q. And so RAND conducted its external benchmarking analysis at
- 21 the precinct level, right?
- 22 A. I recall either he requesting also XY data or more specific
- 23 data. But I'm relatively certain his study was at the precinct
- level, right.
- Q. Now, the police department could have produced crime SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

1 complaint data that was desegregated at much smaller

- 2 geographical units than precinct, right?
- 3 A. The production of data, again, it would fall under the
- $4\,$ $\,$ $\,$ crime analysis section. So I'm not certain what they can and
- 5 cannot produce.
- 6 Q. Are you aware that in this particular litigation that
- 7 plaintiffs' expert Professor Jeffrey Fagan of Columbia
- 8 University conducted an analysis of the NYPD's stop-and-frisk
- 9 data in which he also used precinct as a unit of analysis?
- 10 $\,$ A. I do know that he conducted a study. I do not know what
- 11 his unit of analysis was.
- 12 Q. I'll move on.
- Do you recall that RAND itself in the RAND report pointed out problems with using precinct as the geographic unit of analysis. Do you recall that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you recall the fact that RAND said that the racial mix
- 18 of the population in law enforcement practices can vary
- 19 substantially within a precinct?
- 20 A. That's the language I recall.
- 21 Q. But did you ever discuss with either Dr. Ridgeway or
- 22 Commissioner McGuire or Commissioner Farell whether or not it
- 23 maybe wasn't a good idea to use precinct as the unit of
- 24 analysis?
- 25 A. I never had any discussion about what the proper unit of SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

- 1 analysis would be.
- 2 Q. Okay?

4

- 3 A. It wouldn't have been my role as the coordinator.
 - Q. Okay. So I want to ask you then about the draft RAND
- 5 reports that you said you did provide comments for.
- Now, is it correct that there were two drafts that you
- 7 and other NYPD officials reviewed prior to the final
- 8 publication?
- 9 A. Yes, sir. September 20 and October 18, I believe.
- 10 Q. And that's 2007, right?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And both yourself, Deputy Commissioner Farell and Assistant
- 13 Commissioner McGuire reviewed and provided comments on those
- 14 drafts, correct?
- 15 A. Well to be clear we met on the first draft in the very
- 16 first week of October after we received the September 20 draft.
- 17 And myself, Commissioner McGuire, and Commissioner Farrell met.
- 18 And my role was really probably more taking notes of their
- 19 comments as they went through their versions.
- 20 Q. But you were present and you did record those comments,
- 21 right?
- 22 A. That is correct. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. I want to first of all show you what's been marked as
- 24 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 323.
- Do you recognize this document?

 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct

- 1 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 2 Q. What is this document?
- 3 A. This is the September 20 first draft that was sent to the 4 police department, sent to Commissioner Farell, CCing me.
- 5 Q. So this is the September 20, 2007 draft of the RAND report done by Dr. Ridgeway?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. CHARNEY: Your Honor, plaintiffs would move for the admission again solely for purposes of notice. Because I know the RAND report is not coming in for the truth, we would ask that this be admitted for the same purpose.

THE COURT: The draft?

MR. CHARNEY: Yes.

THE COURT: What's the notice of the draft -- what's this notice argument?

MR. CHARNEY: The notice is to the extent there are changes between the draft and the final version, and to the extent that these changes relate to the issues around the racial disparities, we think it affects the notice issue in terms of: What were they on notice of? When? That kind of thing.

THE COURT: To the extent it is different, I think it should be admitted for the same limited purpose.

What exhibit number is it?

MR. CHARNEY: 323.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct 1 THE COURT: Did you wish to be heard on that? 2 MS. GROSSMAN: No, your Honor. We have no objection. 3 THE COURT: All right. 4 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 323 received in evidence) 5 MR. CHARNEY: So that was easy. 6 Q. I wanted to then also offer -- thank you, Ms. Grossman. 7 I'm going to show you what's been marked as 8 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 327. And if you could tell me if you 9 recognize -- this is an e-mail with an attachment to it. 10 Do you recognize this document? 11 A. Yes, sir. This is the results of the earlier mentioned 12 meeting between myself, Commissioner Farell, and Commissioner 13 McGuire. 14 Q. So this is an e-mail to Dr. Ridgeway attaching the NYPD's 15 comments to that September 20 draft, correct? 16 A. That is correct, yes. 17 MR. CHARNEY: I would move for the admission of this 18 document as well, Exhibit 327. 19 MS. GROSSMAN: No objection, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: 327 received. 21 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 327 received in evidence) 22 Q. Looking at Exhibit 327 if you turn to -- I guess it would 2.3 be the -- turn to the third page of the document. Which is 24 Bates numbered NYC-23043. 25 Do you see that? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct A. Yes, sir. Q. Do you see there's a comment --3 MR. MOORE: Screen. 4 MR. CHARNEY: Why don't we do that. Thank you, 5 Mr. Moore. 6 Q. Do you see there's a comment number 25, correct? A. Yes, sir. 7 8 Q. And then next to that, in the next column, I guess that's a 9 lowercase 1. Does that refer to a Roman numeral page in the 10 draft of the report, or do you not remember? 11 A. It would appear to be. It says page number in the top of 12 that column. So, yes. 13 Q. So you see the comment there, it says: Second paragraph, 14 fourth sentence, quote, while we found some, italicized, 15 disturbing evidence of unequal treatment across race groups, 16 unquote. And then there's a comment says: This statement 17 seems to be conclusive and is particularly striking when 18 considered with the analysis present throughout the report. If the disturbing evidence is based -- I'm just reading what it 19 20 says. 21 THE COURT: She knows, but you have to read slowly. 22 "If the disturbing evidence is based upon the outliers 2.3 discussed in the paper, then inclusion of the associated 24 qualifications should be considered."

25

D4i9flo1 Riley - direct A. Yes, sir.
Q. So, going back to Exhibit 323 which is the draft I think. Do you have that in front of you? A. I do, sir. Q. If we turn to the page which is numbered page 1, which is near the end. I think it's -- the weird part about this document is you switch back and forth between Arabic numbers and then Roman numerals. So it's actually in proper order. It's page 50, but it's got an 1 at the top. MS. GROSSMAN: Bates number? MR. CHARNEY: NYC_2_2940. (Continued on next page)

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

Q. If you go to the middle of the page, it's the second full paragraph. I can put it up here.

You see there is a sentence in there, I think it's about two thirds of the way down, it says, "Again, while we found some disturbing evidence of unequal treatment across race groups." Do you see that?

A. Yes, sir, I see that one.

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Is it your understanding that the comment we just looked at in Exhibit 327 refers to this sentence?
- 10 A. I'd have to read the whole page to see if there are any other references to disturbing. Give me one second.
 - MS. GROSSMAN: I would just say that wasn't the full sentence that was read.

THE COURT: It wasn't.

MR. CHARNEY: The document is in evidence.

THE COURT: If you want to read the whole sentence, we can. "Again, while we found some disturbing evidence of unequal treatment across race groups, our analysis estimates that the problem is not of a massive scale, but rather one that police management can address with effective supervision, monitoring of police activity, and effective interventions when problems are identified."

- 23 Q. So having read this sentence, as well as the comment in
- 24 Exhibit 327, is it fair to say that the comment in Exhibit 327

25 refers to this sentence of the draft?

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Isn't it correct that Dr. Ridgeway did accept your comment
- 3 and take out the word "disturbing" before "evidence of unequal
- 4 treatment"?
- 5 A. I do not know that he did. This wasn't one of my comments.
- 6 Q. This wasn't one of your comments?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. But you did read the final version of the RAND report?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. I am going to show you this to refresh your recollection.

11 MR. CHARNEY: This has already been marked as

Defendants' Exhibit K6, but I just want to show it to the witness to refresh his recollection.

14 THE COURT: Are you referring to something particular? 15 If you are refreshing recollection, you don't want him to read 16 the whole thing.

MR. CHARNEY: You're absolutely right. I want to make sure I go to the right page here.

- 19 Q. If we turn to, the Bates number at the bottom is
- NYC_2_28831, which is page 44 of the RAND report.
- 21 A. 28831. I'm on that page.
- 22 Q. At the top of the page, do you see there, in the end of the
- 23 first paragraph -- I will put it up. Well, I am not moving to
- 24 admit it.

25

MS. GROSSMAN: Why don't we admit it now, your Honor, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 because it will save time later.

2 THE COURT: Are you going to be objecting to its

3 admission?

MR. CHARNEY: As long as it's only for notice, I will

5 not be objecting.

6 THE COURT: When you get to K6, what are you offering

7 it for?

4

8

11

MS. GROSSMAN: For notice.

9 THE COURT: You're both on the same page, so to speak.

10 So K6 is received in evidence.

(Defendants' Exhibit K6 received in evidence)

- 12 Q. The top paragraph, you see the sentence starting "again"?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. You see now it says, "While we found," but now it says
- 15 "some evidence," it doesn't say "disturbing evidence" anymore,
- 16 right?
- 17 A. That's correct. It seems to be the same sentence without
- 18 the disturbing.
- 19 Q. So you would agree that Dr. Ridgeway accepted the comment
- 20 that removed the word disturbing, right?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Just so we are clear, going back to Exhibit 327, this
- 23 comment 25, do you remember whose comment that was?
- 24 A. It was not mine. So it would either be -- it would have to
- $\,$ be either Commissioner Farrell's or Commissioner McGuire's.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

2.3

Q. There is another comment that I want to look at here, which is comment 23. We are again looking at Exhibit 327.

You see here, there is a comment 23 and it's from page 49 of the draft September 20, 2007 draft. The comment is, "First paragraph, fourth and sixth sentences. There are references to 'innocent pedestrians' in these two sentences. How does one reconcile the term innocent when pedestrian stops are based upon reasonable suspicion of committing a felony or penal law misdemeanor?"

Do you know whose comment this was?

- A. Again, I know it was not mine. So it would have to be either Commissioner Farrell or Commissioner McGuire.
- Q. Just so we have some context here, I am going to put Exhibit 323 back up. This is the September 20, 2007 draft of the report, the RAND report.

You see here the first paragraph, this is under the section "conclusions." This is page NYC_2_2939 .

Also, you will see this is the page 49 of the draft report.

You see here there is a sentence that says, "Furthermore, only 10 percent of the stops result in an arrest or a summons. The value of those arrests compared with the cost of the 'false positives' is a topic worthy of discussion in the community."

It goes on, "Is the value of one arrest worth the cost SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

of nine stops of innocent pedestrians?"

2.3

Then continuing down, I can read the whole thing, but continuing down, there is another sentence at the end of that paragraph, "To the innocent pedestrians stopped in New York, the cost may be too high, depending on how the police handled their situation."

 $\mbox{MS. GROSSMAN:}\mbox{ Just for full context, I would ask that the other sentences be read.}$

MR. CHARNEY: I will read it.

Q. Going back to the first innocent pedestrians.

"The answer cannot be provided by statistical analysis. In a close Democratic primary in Philadelphia, Michael Nutter won on a platform that included adopting NYPD's stop, question and frisk practices. At least before they have experienced it, voters there seem to believe that the cost is appropriate. To the innocent pedestrians stopped in New York, the cost may be too high, depending on how the police handled their situation."

So my question, Inspector, is, are those two references there to innocent pedestrians the references that were included in the comment that we have here on Exhibit 327, number 23? Is that, to your recollection, what this comment refers to?

A. Yes, sir. Accepting the proper page, it would appear to be that.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 Q. So my question is, do you know why -- first of all, the

- 2 paragraph of the report states that only 10 percent of the
- 3 stops result in an arrest or a summons, correct?
- 4 A. Yes, sir. That's what it states.
- 5 Q. Based on your knowledge of both this study and your own
- 6 knowledge of the police department stop and frisk data, that is
- 7 accurate, right, that only 10 percent of stops, approximately,
- 8 result in an arrest or a summons?
- 9 A. From what I read in the media, that's what I understand.

10 Not from my personal analysis. 11 THE COURT: Not from

THE COURT: Not from the work of your department?

12 THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: But you have seen that figure?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have seen that.

- Q. Would you agree that if a stop does not result in an arrest or a summons means that the officer who made the stop did not discover any evidence of a crime, right? Once they stopped the
- discover any evidence of a crime, right? Once they stopped the person and questioned them and chose not to arrest or summons
- them meant that they didn't find any evidence of a crime,

20 right?

13

15

16

21 A. No. I think that means it didn't rise to the level of

22 probable cause.

- 23 THE COURT: That is what he is saying. There is no
- evidence of a crime. If you had evidence of crime, you would

25 have probable cause. It's logic 101.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

Q. So I guess my question is, do you know why either yourself or Commissioner McGuire or Commissioner Farrell has a problem

3 with --

19

20

4 THE COURT: No, no, no. Don't ask them about the other two states of mind.

- 6 Q. Based on your knowledge of the police department's work and their work on stop and frisk, do you know why the police
- 8 department doesn't consider pedestrians who are stopped but not
- 9 arrested or summonsed to not be innocent?
- 10 A. No, sir, I do not know why.
- 11 Q. So you don't know why this comment about removing the term
- innocent pedestrians from the report was made? Do you know why that is?
- 14 $\,$ A. It was made at that meeting on October 3rd. I do not
- 15 remember the discussion that accompanied it.
- 16 Q. Do you know whether this change removing the term innocent
- 17 pedestrian from the RAND report was made to the final report?
- 18 A. I do not know if he made it or not.
 - THE COURT: You don't know what?
 - THE WITNESS: If he made the change or not.
- 21 Q. I am asking you, do you recall, having read the final
- 22 version of the report, whether or not that change, removing the
- 23 term innocent pedestrian, was made?
- A. I do not recall if Dr. Ridgeway accepted that comment or not.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 Q. If you can turn back to Exhibit K6, which you have in front

of you, and turn to page NYC_2_28830. And I will put it up on

3 the screen.

- If we look at the first paragraph, you see the first paragraph?
- 6 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 7 Q. That's the paragraph that addresses the same issues that we
- 8 were looking at in the draft, right? It mentions the less than
- 9 10 percent, talks about the cost of false positives, right?
- 10 You see that?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. In the first paragraph, cost of false positives, only 10
- 13 percent of the stops result in an arrest or a summons, right?
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Do you see any mention there of the innocent pedestrians or
- 17 the cost of this stop and frisk on innocent pedestrians, is
- 18 that anywhere in this paragraph?
- 19 A. In that paragraph, I see no reference to innocent.
- 20 Q. So is it fair to say, based on your review of this --
 - THE COURT: Would you leave that there for one second,
- 22 please?

21

- 23 Well, it does say, "Is the value of one arrest worth
- 24 the cost of nine stops of suspects who have committed no
- 25 crime?" If he committed no crime, it seems to me that equals SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

- 1 innocent.
- 2 MR. CHARNEY: I guess I am just --
- 3 THE COURT: The word isn't there. If you want him to agree the word "innocent" is not there, it's not.
- 5 Q. The term "innocent pedestrians" is taken out of this
- 6 report, right? Is that right?
- 7 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 8 Q. Now, you said there was a second draft that Dr. Ridgeway
- 9 provided to you in October of 2007, is that right?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. I am going to show you this draft as well. I am handing
- 12 you what has been marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 324. You can
- 13 tell me what this document is. I'm sorry about all the paper.
- 14 A. It's quite all right.
- 15 Q. Do you recognize this document, Inspector?
- 16 A. This is a subsequent revision to the September 20 report
- from October 18. So I referenced this earlier.
- 18 Q. So this is the second draft that you reviewed and that the
- 19 NYPD commented on, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 MR. CHARNEY: I would again just for notice purposes
- 22 move for the admission of this Exhibit 324.
- MS. GROSSMAN: No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: 324 received for the limited purpose of
- 25 notice.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 324 received in evidence)

- 2 Q. Now, when you received this draft in October of 2007,
- 3 Commissioner McGuire and Deputy Commissioner Farrell expressed
- 4 some concern about a change that Dr. Ridgeway had made in the
- 5 second report in his discussion of the various strengths and
- 6 weaknesses of external benchmarks that could be used, correct?
- 7 A. I don't know if it was one or both, but yes, there was such
- 8 a concern.
- 9 Q. And the concern that they raised was that in the first
- 10 draft, the September draft, Dr. Ridgeway had expressed a
- 11 preference in favor of the crime suspect benchmark, correct,
- $12\,$ $\,$ but in the second report, it was much more neutral, is that
- 13 right?
- 14 A. That is what I recall, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. I am going to show you what has been marked as Plaintiffs'
- 16 Exhibit 325. It's a double-sided document. If you can tell me
- whether or not you recognize this document.
- 18 A. Yes. On the backside, it is an e-mail to Greg Ridgeway.
- 19 O. From whom?
- 20 A. From myself.
- 21 Q. Then what about on the first page?
- 22 A. Then there is a reply from Dr. Ridgeway to myself, and also
- 23 CC'ing Andrew Morral, who is from the RAND Corporation.
- 24 Q. Then at the top there is a third e-mail, is that correct?
- 25 A. That is correct. That is from me, again to Dr. Ridgeway. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2

4

7

8

Riley - direct

- Q. So it's fair to say that this document contains an e-mail exchange, three e-mails between yourself and Dr. Ridgeway on October 24th of 2007, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir, that's fair to say.

5 MR. CHARNEY: Plaintiffs would move for the admission of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 325.

MS. GROSSMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: 325 is received.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 325 received in evidence)

10 Q. We will start with the e-mail on the back since that's the one that's first in time.

So this is an e-mail that you, correct, Inspector Riley, wrote to Dr. Ridgeway on the morning of October 24,

14 2007, correct?

- 15 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 16 Q. And in this e-mail, if we look here, starting at the second
- sentence of the e-mail, it says -- well, the first e-mail asks
- 18 if Dr. Ridgeway is going to be visiting New York soon, right?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Then the second sentence explains, "The reason I ask --"
- 21 meaning you -- "is we would like to discuss the reasoning
- 22 behind the decision to not assert any particular benchmark as
- 23 being superior to the others." Do you see that?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Then it says, "Commissioners Farrell and McGuire are SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

- 1 unclear as to why there was such a departure from draft 1's
- 2 acceptance of crime suspect description as being superior,
- 3 although admittedly less than perfect, to utilizing the
 4 census."
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. Then it says, "The paper does not seem to cite any specific
- 7 reasons why suspect description is unreliable to the same
- 8 degree as the census benchmark -- which does have more specific
- 9 explanations." Do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2.3

24

25

11 Q. Then you go on.

MR. CHARNEY: I can read it if your Honor wants. The exhibit is in evidence. I am trying to speed this up.

THE COURT: I appreciate that everybody is conscious of time, but it's not all that long, and it might be relevant to this examination and cross-examination.

MR. CHARNEY: Sure.

Q. "I have to admit, I too was a bit confused. There is more at work in suspect descriptions than the explicit description provided to an officer via 911 radio -- which, might I add, is a weighty factor in an officer's decision to stop a crime suspect."

Let me stop there. That statement, that a 911 call is a weighty factor in an officer's decision to stop a crime suspect, I think I asked you this already, but are you aware SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 that fits suspect description is listed as a stop reason in
2 less than 15 percent of all stops?

THE COURT: You did ask that, and he was not aware.

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: I remember that. OK.

Q. What is the basis for your statement that a description provided to an officer on 911 is a weighty factor in an officer's decision to stop a crime suspect?

9 A. Clearly, from what I know now, a misunderstanding of the data.

THE COURT: Actually, I will defend you. I didn't think that. I thought what you meant is when it's known, it's a weighty factor in an officer's decision. Isn't that another way to read that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

MR. CHARNEY: Fair enough.

Q. Then I guess a follow-up question to that -- I will ask it a different way.

Then it says, "We bore witness to this in our field assignment. There are also scores of "crime patterns" in each patrol borough and precinct that are brought to the attention of officers on patrol. Actually, officers are expected to be very familiar with local crime patterns. (Crime patterns are based upon two or more crimes suspected of being committed by the same perpetrator)."

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

Is it your understanding that that is always the case, that a crime pattern has to include a suspect description?

- A. Well, patterns are put up inside the crime information
- 4 center. I am trying to think of how you would have a pattern
- 5 with no description. It's correct. You could have a pattern
- 6 with no description.

3

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

- 7 Q. You could have a pattern that just showed a string of
- 8 burglaries in the same, I don't know, three or four blocks?
- 9 A. With certain crimes it's very difficult to understand who 10 the perpetrator is, sure.
- 11 Q. So then this statement here, and again, I am not saying I 12 disagree with it, but it's not 100 percent accurate, right?

THE COURT: What? Would you rephrase that, please?

Q. The statement that crime patterns — in other words, when you're discussing suspect description to say that crime patterns are based upon two or more crimes suspected of being committed by the same perpetrator, that's not necessarily

18 accurate, right?

MS. GROSSMAN: Objection.

MR. CHARNEY: He just said --

THE COURT: I will allow it.

Is that accurate? That's the definition of what a crime pattern is based on?

24 THE WITNESS: To have a pattern, certainly you have to 25 have at least two events.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

THE COURT: There has to be two crimes committed by the same perpetrator?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: OK. Because you just said, you may not have any idea who the perpetrator is, but you may have a cluster of the same crime in the same area and same time at night.

THE WITNESS: I agree with that.

Q. "Patterns are posted in precinct crime centers, are handed out to officers at roll call and are subject to extensive discussions at CompStat. These patterns include descriptions and often composite sketches —— and this translates to pedestrian stops on patrol, as one would expect."

Again, I ask you, are you saying -- do you recall, were you saying here that all patterns would include descriptions?

THE COURT: Why do you want to ask it again? Twice he has confirmed. It's not necessarily the case. If you ask it a third time, maybe you will get a different answer a third time.

- 20 Q. This sentence is also inaccurate, right?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 22 $\,$ Q. "So then, Why would one equate these stops, which are based
- 23 on victim descriptions, geographic area as previous crimes and
- often include some other suspicious behavior, with a blanket
- 25 census survey?

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

"Regardless, there were a few other issues they'd like to discuss. If you have no plans to visit, perhaps we can conference call? If you feel it appropriate, perhaps Jack Riley, or whomever you feel would be helpful, could participate.

"Best regards, Terry."

Who is Jack Riley?

A. Jack Riley is another $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ I believe he is an executive from RAND.

THE COURT: No relation?

THE WITNESS: No.

2.3

MR. CHARNEY: I was actually wondering the same thing.

Q. So then if we turn it over and look at Dr. Ridgeway's response to the concerns you raised, I am going to start where it says, "I didn't intend."

"I didn't intend for the document to suggest that all of the benchmarks were equally flawed, though I see sentences that indicate that (e.g., 'rather than claim the superiority of any of them...') I can tidy that part up to indicate that census is really ridiculous, arrest is highly problematic, and suspect descriptions is more promising but still has issues. (and the report does say that).

"Two of the three reviewers strongly indicated that the report gave too much credence to the external benchmarks. For example, one reviewer noted:

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 2

2.2

2.3

"First, I think the paper needs to be more forthcoming about the potential problems with using suspect descriptions as benchmarks. It is suspect descriptions interacted with the visible population in the locations where officers are deployed that should determine the racial composition of stops."

I am going to stop there for a second.

Inspector, would you agree with that statement, the portion that says "interacted with the visible population in the locations where officers are deployed that should determine the racial composition of stops"?

- A. I, by no means, am an expert in this.
- 12 Q. How did you interpret this sentence?
 - A. If any given person is not visible on the street, for instance, if they are in their apartment, then they have no possibility of being stopped.
 - Q. Would you agree that, in order to measure the visible population and location where officers are deployed, you would have to look at the census data for that particular area?

 A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that when you have places Manhattan, where you have large numbers of people coming from outside the area. I wouldn't agree.

THE COURT: How would you measure the visible population in the locations where officers are deployed? What options do you have?

THE WITNESS: I really do not have one. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

2.3

THE COURT: OK. It may be the closest approximation is the census data.

THE WITNESS: Entirely possible, your Honor.

- Q. Would you agree with me that what this reviewer's comment says is that there needs to be both a measure of criminal activity and a measure of the population that would be, I guess, available to be stopped, that both of those things need to be part of the benchmark?
- 9 A. I would agree it appears that's what this expert is suggesting.
 - Q. Going on it says, "For example, in a world in which there are five blacks, three Hispanics, and two whites on each patrolled street and an officer exhibits no bias but stops all visible individuals who meet a suspect description, if blacks account for 70 percent of suspect descriptions, Hispanics 20 percent and whites 10 percent, then 81 percent of stopped individuals will be black."

Going on he says, "A more extreme example is that blacks represent 50 percent of suspect descriptions and whites represent 50 percent of suspect descriptions. But imagine that whites stay inside or drive their cars and the blacks regularly walk the streets. So if officers are stopping someone only when someone matches a suspect description, they will stop 100 percent black pedestrians, far from the crime suspect benchmark.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct "The other reviewer hated the arrest benchmarking, for the same reasons that I indicate in the report, and thought that the suspect benchmark was better.

"I'm available almost any time, today, tomorrow or Friday. Let's do this ASAP.

"Greq."

Now, do you recall testifying earlier that you did think it was important for RAND to get an independent peer review of this study as part of its quality assurance process, right?

A. Absolutely.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

21

22

2.3

24

25

- 12 Q. So Dr. Ridgeway is telling you in this e-mail what one of 13 those independent peer reviewers told him about the crime 14 suspect benchmark, correct?
- 15 A. Dr. Ridgeway made an attempt to explain it to me, and I 16 replied that it didn't make sense to me.
- 17 Q. My question was, his explanation that he is setting forth 18 here is what an independent -- one of the peer reviewers told him in reviewing the draft of the RAND report, right? 19 20

MS. GROSSMAN: It speaks for itself.

- THE COURT: It says that right there. three reviewers strongly indicated that. The other reviewer hated it." It does say that. This information is from three reviewers.
 - Q. Dr. Ridgeway's explanation to you was based on this SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

independent peer review, correct?

2 THE COURT: All three of them.

- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 $\,$ Q. Then you said, and I think it's up here, your answer was,
- The reviewer's comment you included doesn't make sense.
- 6 Descriptions are never solely based on race. They always
- 7 include a clothing description, at least, and usually a general
- 8 age and direction of flight as well. So weighting race as
- 9 determinative doesn't make sense. Can you explain this logic
- in lay terms perhaps?"

11 Again, there you're referring to those situations 12 where the stop is made pursuant to a specific suspect

- 13 description, right?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Then it says, "For the conference call, how does Friday afternoon at 4:15 sound?"
- Did you in fact have a conference call with Dr.
- 18 Ridgeway to discuss the crime suspect benchmark?
- 19 A. I did not.
- Q. You were not on a call with him about this?
- 21 A. Not to my recollection, sir.
- 22 Q. Turn to page 112 of your deposition, line 24. I am going
- 23 to read starting there. This is an answer you gave.
- 24 "As a consequence of the directions from Commissioners
- 25 Farrell and McGuire, I was told to write him an e-mail and set SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 up a conference call. Dr. Ridgeway responded to me with this

- wonderful attempt to try and clarify what he was doing. I did
- 3 not understand what he was talking about. I set up a
- 4 conference call and I was -- I believe I was present at the
- 5 conference call and Commissioner Farrell and Commissioner
- 6 McGuire and Dr. Ridgeway are statisticians, and I was there if 7 they needed something."
 - So you were present for the conference call?
- 9 A. That is what this says.

THE COURT: Which do you think it is though? I know that's what it says. I am pleased you agree it says that. Do you think you were or were not?

THE WITNESS: At this point, I really think I wasn't.

14 THE COURT: You think you were not?

THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.

- 16 Q. This deposition was in November 2009, right?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And that was only about two years after the conference
- 19 call, right?
- 20 A. That's correct, sir.
- 21 Q. But you're saying that today, almost six years later, your
- 22 memory is better, is that what you're saying?
- 23 A. That is not what I am saying. I am saying at this point, I
- 24 really do not have any recollection of being at that conference
- 25 call.

8

10

11

12

13

15

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

Q. That's fine. But you could have been there?

- A. It's possible, sure.
- 3 Q. Do you know, whether or not you were there, do you know
- 4 what was discussed on that conference call?
- A. No, sir.
- 6 Q. Now, do you recall that, in fact, after this conference
- 7 call Dr. Ridgeway did make a change to the final version of the
- 8 RAND report with respect to his discussion of the various
- 9 external benchmarks?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. I want to first, before we -- actually, in the final
- 12 version, he does describe crime suspect benchmark in more
- 13 favorable terms than the other two, arrest and census, right?
- 14 A. That is my recollection, yes.
- 15 Q. I just want to show you again, going back to Exhibit 323,
- 16 which was the October 2007 report that this issue came up about 17
- the crime suspect benchmark in relation to. I want to put up
- 18 on the screen here. This is Bates number NYC_2_2881. 19

Here this is the September draft, right? And it's your recollection that the September draft did describe crime suspect as a more favorable benchmark, right?

2.2 If you look here it says, "A more precise benchmark 2.3 for measuring racial disparities in pedestrian stops is the

24 racial distribution of crime suspect descriptions." Do you see

25

20

21

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

- 1 A. Yes, sir, inside the September 20 version.
- 2 Q. So that was September. But when we get to October, and this is Exhibit 324, he is describing it differently.

THE COURT: That's in evidence too?

MR. CHARNEY: Yes.

Q. So here, right?

4

5

6

7

8

MS. GROSSMAN: Page?

MR. CHARNEY: This is page NYC_2_3085.

9 Q. Again, according to Dr. Ridgeway, this is after the peer reviewers had given their input.

11 Here you see he says, "Rather than claim the

12 superiority of any of them, we provide comparisons with several

- 13 benchmarks to demonstrate the sensitivity of external
- 14 benchmarking and, hence, argue that the results of external
- 15 benchmarking should be interpreted with caution." Right?
- 16 A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Then down here it says, "Benchmarks based on crime suspect
- 18 descriptions have been suggested as an alternative approach
- 19 that avoids some of the problems of the other benchmarks while
- 20 possessing its own set of limitations." Right?
- 21 A. That is what it says.
- 22 Q. You testified that in the final version there was yet
- 23 another change, right, through the discussion of external
- 24 benchmarks, right?
- 25 A. That is my recollection.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 Q. So here, this is the final version. This is Exhibit K6.

We are at page 28779, right?

Now, here it says, "Benchmarks based on crime suspect descriptions may provide a good measure of the rates of participation in certain types of crimes by race, but being a valid benchmark requires that suspects, regardless of race, are equally exposed to police officers."

Do you see that?

- 9 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 10 Q. Then down here, if we go further down, there is a
- 11 discussion of the census, right? And the way that's
- 12 characterized is, "The most widely used but least reliable
- 13 benchmark is the residential census. Census benchmarks do not
- 14 account for differential rates of crime participation by race
- or for differential exposure to the police. Comparisons to the
- 16 residential census are not suitable for assessing racial bias."
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 19 Q. So you would agree that the description of the census
- 20 benchmark is very critical, correct?
- 21 THE COURT: Say that again.
- 22 Q. Very critical, very negative, the description of the census
- 23 benchmark?

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 24 THE COURT: Critical. OK.
- 25 A. I would say that is critical of the census. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 005 0300

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

- 1 Q. And the description of the crime suspect is somewhat
- 2 positive, and the only qualification really given is that you
- 3 also need to include what I referred earlier to as a measure of
- 4 who would be exposed to these stops, who would be present on
- 5 the street to be stopped, right?
- 6 A. It is more positive, but not without issues.
- 7 Q. But you would agree that the issue that is raised for the
- 8 crime suspect is not described in as critical language as the
- 9 census, right?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: We are going to pause now for our morning 12 recess and reconvene at quarter to 12.
- 13 (Recess)
- 14 BY MR. CHARNEY:
- 15 Q. Inspector, I want to ask you now about the findings of the RAND report and your recollection of those.
- 17 The final version of the report came out in November
- 18 of 2007, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. And it's your recollection, is it not, that while
- 21 Dr. Ridgeway did not find, in your estimation, systemic racial
- 22 profiling, he did conclude that there were areas of concern,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. So you recall, for example, that RAND found that Hispanic SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

pedestrians were stopped disproportionately more than their

- representation among violent criminal suspects, correct?
- 3 A. That was one of the findings.
- 5 pedestrians were stopped on suspicion of weapons possession at
- a greater rate than their weapons arrest rate would predict, while white pedestrians were stopped on suspicion of weapons
- 7 while white pedestrians were stopped on suspicion of weapons 8 possession at a lower rate than their weapons possession arrest
- possession at a lower rate than their weapons possession arrest rate would predict?
- 10 A. I do not specifically recall that.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

11 Q. That's fine. If we can turn to, this is Exhibit K6 again, and look at page NYC_2_28804.

Looking at the bottom, the last paragraph, it says, "The fourth set of bars from the top of figure 3.1."

And I can show you figure 3.1, it's on the next page. So I think they are referring to fourth set of bars which would be right here, weapons arrests. And if you notice, this color bar refers to white pedestrians, the striped one refers to Hispanic, and the light colored one refers to black pedestrians.

So going back to the bottom of page 28804, it says, "The fourth set of bars from the top of figure 3.1 compares stops for suspected criminal possession of a weapon (the most common reason for UF-250s) to arrests in which the top charge was weapon possession. Officers stop black suspects for SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

suspected weapon possession at a rate greater (8 percent greater) than their weapon arrest rate. White suspects on the other hand have a stop rate that is 11 percent lower than their weapon arrest rate."

Does that refresh your recollection that RAND, in fact, found that blacks were stopped more often for weapons crimes than their arrest rate would suggest, or would predict? A. That is what it states, yes, sir.

- Q. And that whites were, in fact, stopped for weapons crimes less frequently than their weapons arrest rate would predict?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.

6

7

8

9

10

- 12 Q. And you're also aware that RAND conducted what it called an
- internal benchmarking analysis, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And that analysis used a particular computer algorithm to
- 16 compare the stop patterns of similarly situated officers who
- had made 50 or more stops in 2006, correct?
- 18 A. That is my understanding.
- 19 Q. And by similarly situated, I mean officers who worked in
- 20 the same part of the city, same time of day, right?
- 21 A. Same type of assignment, yes, correct.
- 22 Q. And the results of that benchmarking analysis that
- 23 Dr. Ridgeway did found that there were 15 NYPD officers who
- 24 had, in fact, overstopped minority pedestrians as compared to
- 25 the stop activity of their similarly situated peers, correct? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

2.3

1 A. It would be helpful, if it's not too problematic, to see that.

Q. Sure. I believe it's going to be Exhibit K6, page NYC 2 8710, which is page Roman numeral 13 of the report.

You see here under, "Results of internal benchmarking analysis," the first one says, "Five officers appear to have stopped substantially more black suspects than other officers did when patrolling the same areas, at the same times, and with the same assignment."

And it says, "nine officers stopped substantially fewer black suspects than expected."

Then it says, "Ten officers appear to have stopped substantially more Hispanic suspects than other officers did when patrolling the same areas, at the same times, and with the same assignment, and four officers stopped substantially fewer suspects than expected."

So would you agree with me that there were 15 officers that were identified by this internal benchmarking — well, not identified. 15 officers were found, through this internal benchmarking analysis, to have stopped more pedestrians of color than would have been expected based on their assignment and the time of day that they patrolled and where they patrolled?

A. 15 stopped substantially more, yes, that's my understanding.

Riley - direct

Q. Do you also recall that RAND did an analysis of stop

- outcomes?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. That would include analyzing the likelihood of being
- 5 frisked, correct?
- A. Yes, sir. 6
- Q. The likelihood of force being used in a stop, right? 7
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. The likelihood of a search occurring during a stop?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And also whether or not a stop resulted in an arrest or a
- 12 summons, correct?
- 13 A. That is my recollection.
- Q. And whether or not a weapon or another form of contraband 14
- 15 was recovered, right?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Do you recall that the results of that analysis found that,
- 18 particularly in the borough of Staten Island, there were
- 19 significant racial disparities with respect to who was being
- 20 frisked, searched and having force used against them during
- 21 stops, correct?
- 22 A. Again, if we could, just for Staten Island.
- 2.3 Q. Sure. We are looking at the same page. So turning to page
- 24 NYC_2_28829, which is page 42 of the report.
- 25 You see under conclusions, it says in the second SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 paragraph, "After adjusting for stop circumstances, we found

- 2 differences in the rates of some outcomes in some boroughs. On
- 3 average, non-whites experience more intrusive stops than do
- 4 similarly situated white suspects. Staten Island borough
- 5 stands out particularly with several large racial gaps in the 6 frisk, search and use of force rates."

Do you see that?

- 8 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 9 Q. Now, in early 2008, you attended a meeting that
- 10 Commissioner Farrell called to --
- 11 MR. CHARNEY: I'm sorry. Withdrawn.
- 12 Q. In addition to these findings, RAND issued a set of
- 13 recommendations for the police department, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, they did, sir.
- Q. Do you recall that one of those recommendations was that
- 16 the police department should review those boroughs that had
- shown in RAND's analysis to have the largest racial disparities
- in stop outcomes, which would include of course Staten Island,
- 19 correct?

7

- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. Do you recall that another of the recommendations was
- 22 that -- I apologize for my voice -- and I will put it up on the
- 23 screen, with respect to those officers that had shown out of
- the ordinary stop patterns, in other words, officers who had
- 25 been shown to have stopped larger numbers of pedestrians of SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

color than would be expected, do you see here it says, "Our analysis indicates that the racial distribution of stops for several officers is skewed substantially from those of their 3 4 colleagues. We recommend that the NYPD review these flagged officers and incorporate into their early warning system a component that flags officers with extreme deviations from 7 their colleagues."

It goes on to say, "These measured disparities are evidence that these officers differ substantially from their peers; however, they are not necessarily conclusive evidence that these officers practice racially biased policing. Supervisors may then investigate and address the disparities."

So you recall that RAND made that recommendation?

- A. Yes, sir, that was one of the recommendations.
- 15 Q. Now I am going to ask you, in early 2008, you attended a
- 16 meeting that was called by Commissioner Farrell to discuss
- 17 these and the other RAND recommendations, correct?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Now, the recommendation about the racial disparities in 19
- 20 post-stop outcomes was discussed at this meeting, correct?
- 21 A. I recall we discussed all of the recommendations, yes, sir.
- 2.2 Q. Again, just to make sure we are on the same page, the
- 2.3 recommendation about the post-stop outcomes was that RAND
- 24 should review the boroughs with the largest racial disparities
- 25 in stop outcomes, correct?

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

- 1 A. Yes, sir, that's right.
- 2 Q. But to your knowledge, this recommendation was never
- 3 adopted, was it?
- 4 A. This recommendation, that is correct, yes.
- 5 Q. Then with respect to the recommendation about reviewing the
- 6 flagged officers that were flagged for the internal
- 7 benchmarking analysis, and remember there were 15 that were
- 8 flagged, correct?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. This recommendation was also discussed at that meeting,
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Regarding the 15 that overstopped, yes, sir.
- 13 Q. But the discussion was about whether or not the NYPD should
- 14 purchase Dr. Ridgeway's internal benchmarking software, right,
- 15 that's what the discussion was?
- 16 A. We did discuss purchasing the algorithm that he used to
- 17 identify those officers, yes.
- 18 Q. But that was so that going forward the NYPD could try to
- 19 replicate his analysis, right?
- 20 A. We wanted to use his algorithm to do the same, yes.
- 21 Q. But there was no discussion of going to the 15 officers or
- 22 going and taking a closer look at the 15 officers who had
- 23 already been flagged as having overstopped pedestrians of
- 24 color, right?
- 25 A. If my recollection serves me correct, it was, because that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

data was from 2006, it seemed to make more sense to get his

- 2 actual algorithm and do it with newer data.
- 3 Q. But 15 officers had already been identified as having, at
- 4 least for a particular year, overstopped pedestrians of color,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. Yes. In connection with, I believe it was 13 that
- 7 under-stopped, there was a lot of conversation about that.
- 8 Q. But there was never an effort made to, first of all,
- 9 identify by name those 15, and then take a look at, for
- 10 example, their supervisors, the training they received, the
- 11 monitoring that they were receiving?
- 12 A. No. I believe he was prohibited from telling us who those
- officers were, if my memory serves me correct.
- 14 Q. I understand. But the police department has the names of
- every officer that makes stops and records 250s?
- 16 A. Yes, sir, we do.
- 17 Q. And you said you also purchased Dr. Ridgeway's algorithm?
- 18 A. Yes, sir, we did.
- 19 Q. So you could have then run the algorithm on the 2006 data
- 20 and identified these 15 officers, right?
- 21 A. We were not able to do that.
- 22 Q. Did you ever run it on the 2006 data?
- 23 A. I'm not certain which data it was run on. It wasn't done
- 24 in my office.
- 25 Q. Then how do you know that you weren't able to do that? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 A. Because I remember a conversation with -- CAT is where this

- 2 actual algorithm was sent. That's our crime analysis unit
- 3 section, and there was a conversation that they were having
- 4 problems either with the hardware or the software, something
- 5 was wrong.
- 6 Q. Didn't Dr. Ridgeway actually come and assist them in
- 7 running it?
- 8 A. He did visit.
- 9 Q. Then weren't they able to successfully run it?
- 10 A. I do not know if they were successful or not.
- 11 Q. Are you aware that they ran it only on the 2007 data, not
- 12 the 2006 data?

18

- 13 A. I do not know what they ran it on.
- Q. Now, there was another recommendation that RAND made that I
- wanted to show you, and this is on page NYC_2_28831. And this
- 16 recommendation is under the heading, "Officers should clearly
- 17 explain to pedestrians why they are being stopped."
 - Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 20 Q. I am going to read it. It says, "In 90 percent of the
- 21 stops, the detained individual is neither arrested nor issued a
- 22 summons. To mitigate the discomfort of such interactions and
- 23 to bolster community trust, officers should explain the reason
- for the stop, discuss specifically the suspect's manner that
- 25 generated the suspicion, and offer the contact information of a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

1 supervisor or appropriate complaint authority so that the

- 2 person stopped can convey any positive or negative comments
- 3 about the interaction. While the latter suggestion might
- 4 increase the number of official complaints, it might also
- 5 reduce the number of unofficial complaints that would otherwise
- 6 circulate in the suspect's social network. For a trial period
- 7 in select precincts, the NYPD could require that officers give
- 8 an information card to those stopped pedestrians who are
- 9 neither arrested nor issued a summons. An evaluation of the
- 10 program could identify the kinds of stops likeliest to result
- in positive or negative feedback from stopped pedestrians."
- I want to stop there for a second. The NYPD did, in fact, start a pilot program, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, we did.
- 15 Q. That was a program in which officers would give out cards
- 16 to individuals -- certain individuals they stopped who were not
- 17 arrested or summonsed?
- 18 A. Correct. It was required for them to give a small little
- 19 card.
- 20 Q. Was that for every stop that they conducted that did not
- 21 result in a summons or arrest?
- 22 A. Absent exigent circumstances.
- 23 Q. Does that card give the contact information for the
- 24 officer's supervisor on it?
- 25 A. No, sir, it does not.

D4I8FLO2 Riley - direct

- 1 Q. Does it give contact information for the CCRB?
- 2 A. No, sir, it does not.
- 3 Q. Now, the last sentence there, I want to ask you about this.
- 4 It says, "Most important, ongoing communication and negotiation
- 5 with the community about SQF activities are helpful in
- 6 maintaining good police community relations."
- 7 To your knowledge, did that particular recommendation,
- 8 was that discussed at this 2008 meeting you had with
- 9 Commissioner Farrell to address the RAND recommendations?
- 10 A. I do not recall that specifically being discussed.
- 11 Q. To your knowledge, has the NYPD since 2008 implemented any
- 12 specific protocol creating a process or a forum for which
- 13 police officials can sit down and discuss stop, question and
- frisk specifically with communities in which stop activity is
- high?A. Not that I have been involved with.
- 17 Q. Do you know of any such specific efforts being undertaken?
- 18 A. I'd be speculating.
- 19 O. OK.

20

- MR. CHARNEY: One minute, your Honor.
- I apologize, your Honor. Just to make sure I have the record clear. When Exhibit K6, which is the final RAND report,
- was admitted into evidence, just to make sure we are all on the
- same page, it's only for purposes of notice.
- 25 THE COURT: Correct.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

```
D4I8FLO2
                               Riley - direct
 1
               MR. CHARNEY: No further questions.
 2
               MS. GROSSMAN: No questions, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: No questions. OK.
 4
               Thank you. You're all done.
 5
               MS. BORCHETTA: The plaintiffs call Helen McAleer.
 6
      HELEN MCALEER,
 7
           called as a witness by the plaintiffs,
 8
           having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
9
               THE COURT: State your full name, first and last,
10
      spelling both for the record.
11
               THE WITNESS: Helen McAleer, H-E-L-E-N, M-C-A-L-E-E-R.
12
      DIRECT EXAMINATION
13
     BY MS. BORCHETTA:
14
      Q. Good afternoon.
15
      A. Good afternoon.
16
               MS. BORCHETTA: Your Honor, if I may, based on your
17
     previous requests with other witnesses, just give a brief
18
     introduction to this witness. She is from the Office of the
     Chief of Department, as you will hear, within the NYPD.
19
20
               MS. COOKE: This witness can testify.
               THE COURT: The only reason I wanted a summary from
21
22
      the others is I hadn't heard about the stop yet.
2.3
               MS. BORCHETTA: I just wanted to alert the Court that
24
      some of our questions are directed to remedies issues. That's
25
      the issue that I wanted to alert your Honor to.
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                               (212) 805-0300
```

D4I8FLO2 McAleer - direct

1 THE COURT: I thought Mr. Dunn asked if he could be notified when we were doing some remedies proof.

Did anybody tell him?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS.}}$ BORCHETTA: He is aware of the witnesses that we are calling.

THE COURT: Did you tell him that you were going to discuss some remedies issues, do you recall?

Does anybody know? He did ask me --

9 MS. BORCHETTA: We didn't specifically state that with this witness.

MR. CHARNEY: He knew what the subject matter of her testimony was.

12 testimony was.

13 THE COURT: Whatever. If we are still with this

MR. CHARNEY: We will call him.

16 BY MS. BORCHETTA:

- 17 Q. What is your current position of employment?
- 18 A. I am an inspector in the New York City Police Department.
- 19 Q. What is your rank?

witness at the break.

- 20 A. Inspector.
- 21 Q. Do you currently work within the Office of the Chief of
- 22 Department?

3

4 5

6

7

8

14

15

- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. That's referred to as OCD, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

D4I8FLO2 McAleer - direct

- 1 Q. How long have you worked in the New York Police Department?
- 2 A. 32 years.
- 3 Q. When did you begin working in OCD?
- 4 A. 17 years ago.
- 5 Q. That was 1995, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. You have worked in OCD continuously since 1995, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Who do you currently report to?
- 10 A. Chief of department, Chief Banks.
- 11 Q. Prior to reporting to Chief Banks, you reported to Chief
- 12 Esposito, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. When did you begin reporting to Chief Esposito?
- 15 A. When Chief Esposito became chief of department.
- 16 Q. When was that?
- 17 A. I believe it was August of 2001.
- 18 Q. OCD has an investigative review section, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. That's known as IRS?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. In part, IRS is responsible for overseeing certain
- 23 communications from the public, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. That includes allegations from the public about SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO2 McAleer - direct

- 1 interactions with police officers, right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And IRS receives those communications from, among other
- 4 sources, the police commissioner's office, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And also from the CCRB, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And also from the NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Generally, in the context of referrals from IAB of public
- 11 communications to OCD, IAB refers cases that are not serious
- 12 misconduct, right?
- 13 A. Correct, outside guidelines.
- Q. And in the context of cases or communications referred from
- 15 CCRB, CCRB refers cases to OCD generally that do not fall
- 16 within FADO, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. If OCD received a communication in which a member of the
- 19 public complained specifically of racial profiling, OCD would
- 20 retain that complaint, right?
- 21 A. Just as long it doesn't fall into CCRB's recommendation of
- 22 FADO or IAB's serious misconduct.
- 23 Q. But there are cases in which members of the public complain
- of racial profiling that the OCD would retain?
- 25 A. Correct.

D4I8FLO2 McAleer - direct

1 Q. You have seen complaints come into OCD in which people have

- 2 made an allegation of racial profiling?
- 3 A. Very few, but yes, I have.
- 4 Q. And sometimes the staff at OCD will refer cases to CCRB or
- 5 IAB, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- $7\,$ Q. But there are no guidelines for OCD staff and how to make a
- 8 determination about those referrals, right?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. When OCD receives a communication from the public, OCD
- 11 staff gives that communication a code, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. OCD has a list of codes, right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. I am going to show you a document that's been marked as
- 16 Defendants' Exhibit Z12.
- MS. COOKE: I don't have any objection to the
- 18 admission of this document.
- 19 MS. BORCHETTA: We would move for the admission of
- 20 this document.
- 21 THE COURT: Z12 is received.
- 22 (Defendants' Exhibit Z12 received in evidence)
- 23 Q. This is the list of codes that OCD uses to classify
- 24 complaints that come into the OCD office, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

D4I8FLO2 McAleer - direct

- 1 Q. On the first page it says UMOS, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What does that mean?
- 4 A. Uniformed member of the service.
- 5 Q. Is it correct that the codes that are listed under UMOS are
- 6 codes that would be used when there is an allegation related to
- 7 a member of service?
- 8 A. A uniformed member of service.
- 9 Q. A uniformed member of service?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. If OCD receives a communication on an improper arrest, what
- 12 code is that?
- 13 A. M-5.
- Q. And that code is specifically called improper arrest,
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And if OCD receives a complaint of an improper summons,
- 18 what code is that?
- 19 A. M-6.
- 20 Q. And M-6 specifically says improper summons, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Now, if a member of the public makes a complaint about a
- 23 stop and frisk, what code is that given?
- 24 A. M-1, general dissatisfaction.
- 25 Q. That's because there is no specific code for stop and SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

McAleer - direct D4I8FLO2 frisk, right? A. Correct. Q. There is no specific code for racial profiling, right? A. Correct. Q. And OCD does not code allegations of racial profiling in any way, right? A. No, we don't. Q. OCD complaints are maintained in a database, right? A. Yes, they are. Q. And that database is called BCATS, right? A. Correct. (Continued on next page)

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

- 1 Q. The code meaning one of the codes we've just been
- 2 referencing listed on Defendants' Exhibit Z12 are entered into
- 3 BCATS, right?
- 4 A. Yes it is.
- 5 Q. And the subject officer's name also gets logged into BCATS,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. If it's on the complaint report. Sometimes we get
- 8 complaint reports that don't identify officers. So at that
- 9 point we can't.
- 10 Q. But if OCD knows the name of the subject officer, the name
- of the subject officer would get logged into BCATS, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And if a member of the public says in her communication to
- 14 OCD that she believes she had been subjected to racial
- 15 profiling, BCATS would not capture that allegation, right?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. And OCD does not in any way track allegations of racial
- 18 profiling, right?
- 19 A. No. We don't.
- 20 Q. I want to show you a document that's previously been
- 21 admitted. This is Plaintiffs' 279.
- 22 Am I correct that this is a printout from BCATS?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- 24 The first page is a printout of my BCATS screen.
- 25 Page 637 and 638 is the hard copy of the IAB log that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

- 1 we received from IAB.
- 2 Q. So, again, just to clarify for the record the first page
- 3 which is stamped at the bottom NYC_2_27636, that's a printout
- 4 from BCATS, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And so the information on that page is the information that
- 7 would appear in the BCATS database, right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And then you said the second two pages, which for the
- 10 record are NYC_ 2_27637 to 38, what are those two pages?
- 11 A. That's the allegation that my office received from IAB in
- 12 regards to this allegation.
- 13 Q. And so OCD received the two pages stamped 27637 through 38
- 14 from IAB?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And in what OCD received from IAB there's a summary, right?
- 17 A. Yes, there is.
- 18 Q. And that summary indicates, quote, the officers were from
- 19 the 73rd precinct. Mr. Redacted's arrest was wrongful due to
- 20 mistaken identity and racial profiling.
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- 23 Q. Is that the allegation or is that an IAB conclusion, to
- 24 your knowledge?
- 25 A. That's an IAB conclusion.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

1 Q. So IAB concluded that this was racial profiling?

- 2 A. No.
- 3 IAB concluded, if you look right above that, the 4 allegation. It says disputed arrest.
- 5 Q. I'm sorry. But the statement, to your knowledge, that the
- officers were from the 73rd precinct and Mr. Redacted's arrest was wrongful due to mistaken identity and racial
- 8 profiling. Is that sentence an IAB conclusion?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. But OCD, in handling this communication from IAB, would not
- 11 have recorded anywhere in the BCATS database that this was a
- 12 founded allegation of racial profiling, right?
- 13 A. No, we would not.
- Q. And do you see on the BCATS printout that is the first page
- there's an area for disposition?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What is -- what information is captured in that area of the
- 18 BCATS form?
- 19 $\,$ A. In that section is the allegation, disposition, and the
- 20 penalty.

25

- 21 May I explain? And in this case what happens is if
- you go to page 637 and you'll see underneath the summary that
- 23 there's a disputed arrest at 0638 hours. And that this
- 24 incident was also received by CCRB. Okay.

What happens is CCRB also sends me a communication on SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

1 this incident. IAB is also notified.

So what happens is I get two communications for one incident. And what my staff does is we close-out the IAB log number and follow this communication with the CCRB number.

And what a member of my staff will do is go into our BCATS screen, allegation, IAB, OCD notification, and automatically condition noted, an instruction will pop up and close this under the log number but we will track it under the -- under the CCRB number -- or OCD number.

- Q. From looking at this, are you able to tell whether OCD sent this out to anyone for further investigation?
- 12 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

9

- Because in the note you can see that it says OCD. And there's the number of the second communication but for the same incident.
- 16 Q. I'm sorry. Where are you looking?
- 17 A. Underneath notes on page 636.
- 18 Q. That's an OCD number, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. So that means that OCD sent this out for investigation?
- 21 A. My office sent it out, under investigation, under this log
- 22 number.
- 23 $\,$ Q. And but generally on a BCATS form in the penalty area what
- information is provided in the penalty area?
- In other words, what in practice do OCD staff members SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

- 1 input into the penalty area?
- 2 A. The disposition and the penalty.
- 3 Q. And that's the penalty the officer received related to the
- 4 OCD investigation, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. OCD staff are not trained on how to handle allegations of
- 7 racial profiling, right?
- 8 A. Are not trained to investigate them because we don't do
- 9 investigations. We record the communication. We forward it.
- 10 And then we track it.
- 11 Q. I'll be asking you questions about how the investigation
- 12 works in a moment. But focusing just on the training that OCD
- 13 staff receive, they don't receive specifically any training on
- handling racial profiling allegations, right?
- 15 A. No, they don't.
- 16 Q. And OCD staff are not trained specifically on how to handle
- 17 allegations related to stop and frisk, right?
- 18 A. No, they're not.
- 19 Q. And they don't receive training on reasonable suspicion,
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Well members of my staff do attend command level training
- 22 and if that subject is part of their command level training
- 23 then they'll receive it.
- 24 Q. But they don't -- OCD staff do not receive any training on
- 25 how to handle allegations that they're reviewing about

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

- 1 reasonable suspicion, right?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. And OCD has never raised any concerns to others in the NYPD
- 4 that officers might be engaging in racial profiling, right?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. And OCD has never conducted any meetings on how OCD staff
- 7 should handle allegations of racial profiling, right?
- 8 A. I have not.
- 9 Q. And in your position are you currently at the top of OCD?
- 10 A. I'm at the top -- OCD is office of chief of department.
- 11 And that's Chief Banks. I'm the commanding officer of
- 12 investigation review section. That's a subunit under the chief
- of department.
- 14 Q. I'm sorry. But you're the highest member of the IRS,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And OCD has never given any instructions -- I'm sorry. Now
- 18 let's turn to the investigations themselves. OCD does not
- 19 conduct investigations, right?
- 20 A. No, we do not.
- 21 Q. OCD simply refers allegations out to other units, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And OCD does not check when it receives allegations whether
- a subject officer, where the subject officer's name is known,
- 25 has previously had a complaint against him, right?
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

- 1 A. No, we don't.
- 2 Q. And OCD refers the allegation down the chain of command,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And to clarify that question, OCD refers the allegation
- 6 down the chain of command for further investigation, right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. By the chain of command, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. OCD complaints are sent for investigations to the
- 11 commanding officer of the bureau where the subject officer is
- 12 working, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And OCD does not send any instructions to the command when
- 15 complaints are forwarded, right?
- 16 A. We have -- on my referral sheet, we have a check-off box
- 17 which would be appropriate attention. Basically that's the
- 18 only instructions we give.
- 19 Q. So OCD does not send instructions about how to conduct the
- 20 investigation?
- 21 A. No, we do not.
- 22 Q. And you are not personally aware of what happens in the
- 23 conduct of the investigations once they're sent out to the
- 24 chain of command, right?
- 25 A. I do not -- I'm not.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

1 Q. You do know that it's always the officer's direct

- 2 supervisor who conducts the investigation that OCD has
- 3 referred, right?
- 4 A. No in all instances.
- 5 Q. But in some instances an officer's direct supervisor will
- 6 conduct the OCD-referred investigation, right?
- 7 A. Possibly.
- 8 Q. You know that that does happen, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Once the command completes the investigation, OCD receives
- 11 a disposition, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. But upon receiving that disposition OCD doesn't conduct any
- 14 review of the sufficiency of the investigation that was
- 15 conducted, right?
- 16 A. No, we don't.
- MS. BORCHETTA: I'm going to show you what is marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 251.
- 19 Do you recognize this?
- 20 THE WITNESS: This is a disposition sheet from the 21 commanding officer of the 107 precinct.
- 22 Q. So looking at 251 you said this is a disposition sheet,
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. So this is an example of an OCD disposition sheet? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

3974 McAleer - direct

D4i9flo3

- A. Correct.
- Q. This is the disposition sheet that OCD receives from the

command after an investigation has been completed? 3

4

8

- 5 MS. COOKE: You have a cutoff copy. Can we put the 6 revised.
- 7 Q. And looking -- I think I need to hand this to you for a
- moment so you can take a look at it. But looking at this 9 exhibit can you tell whether -- well in reviewing that
- 10 document -- and I will give you a moment to do so -- it does
- 11 not appear from this that the investigating officer reviewed
- 12 250 -- a UF 250 or a memo book during the investigation, right?
- 13 From that document, you can't tell whether he did that?
- 14 A. Okay. Let me just read.
- 15 Q. And it goes onto the back of the page as well.
- 16 A. Could you repeat the question.
- 17 Q. Sure.
- 18 Looking at that document, there is no indication that the investigating officer reviewed a UF 250 or a memo book in 19
- 20 conducting this investigation, right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And that comment section of the disposition sheet, that's
- 2.3 completed by the investigating officer, right?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And the only way that OCD would know what an investigating 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

officer did in conducting an investigation into an OCD

- complaint would be if the investigator listed it in that
- comment section, right? 3
 - A. Correct.

MS. COOKE: Your Honor, I'm going to object to form on this question. She's referring to OCD would know. And this is IRS. She's the commanding officer of IRS.

THE COURT: IRS is a part of OCD. And there is no

other division within OCD that's doing this. So, it is OCD.

MS. COOKE: To the extent that the other units in the office of chief of department might have information about this.

THE COURT: I don't think they do. I think the way I've heard it, it's in her command, so to speak. So it is OCD.

MS. COOKE: I maintain my objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Yes. Objection overruled.

MS. BORCHETTA: Let me clarify that for the record.

- Q. Inspector McAleer, you're the head of IRS within OCD,
- 19 right.

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16 17

18

- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. And IRS is the unit within OCD that handles investigations, 21
- 22 right?
- A. Yes. 2.3
- 24 Q. But if -- using this Plaintiffs' Exhibit 251 as an example,
- 25 OCD would not, after receiving this disposition form, take any SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

action to check whether, in fact, the investigator reviewed the

- 2 UF 250 or the memo book in conducting its investigation, right?
- 3 A. No, we wouldn't.
- 4 Q. And that's because OCD doesn't take any actions after
- 5 receiving investigation disposition forms to determine what, in
- fact, occurred in the investigation, right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And similarly in the context of an allegation related to a
- 9 stop, when OCD receives a disposition form, OCD would not
- 10 conduct any review to determine whether the comments in the
- 11 disposition form are consistent with the paperwork associated
- 12 with the stop, right?
- 13 A. No, we wouldn't.
- Q. And OCD does not keep track of what investigators have done
- in conducting an investigation into an OCD allegation, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Going to show you what's been marked as Plaintiffs' 426.
- 18 Do you recognize this?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. And what -- this is an OCD document as well, right?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- MS. BORCHETTA: Your Honor, I'd move to admit
- 23 Plaintiffs' 426.
- MS. COOKE: No objection.
- THE COURT: 426 received.

3977 McAleer - direct

D4i9flo3

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 426 received in evidence)

- 2 Q. The first page of this is a BCATS printout, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.

4

7

- Q. What are the pages that follow?
- 5 A. On page 881 that's a disposition sheet that my office 6 received from the 28 precinct.

On 882 is the referral sheet from Manhattan North.

- 8 883 is my referral sheet that I sent out to patrol 9 service bureau.
- 10 $884\ \mathrm{and}\ 885\ \mathrm{are}\ \mathrm{hard}\ \mathrm{copies}\ \mathrm{of}\ \mathrm{the}\ \mathrm{log}\ \mathrm{that}\ \mathrm{we}$ 11 received from IAB.
- 12 Q. Looking at the second page of Plaintiffs' 426, which is
- 13 stamped at the bottom 27881, you said this is the disposition
- 14 sheet, right?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. That's the disposition sheet that OCD receives, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And you can tell from looking at this, that the subject
- officer is an officer named Pichardo, right? 19
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. And he was within the 28th precinct? 21
- 22 A. The 28 precinct, yes.
- 2.3 Q. And the investigator was a Sergeant Contreras, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. And he was also within the 28th precinct, right? 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You would agree with me that looking at the comments
- 3 section on this disposition sheet you cannot tell what Sergeant
- 4 Contreras, the investigator, did to conduct this investigation,
- 5 right?
- 6 A. One second. Let me just read it.
- 7 Q. Sure.
- 8 A. Repeat your question.
- 9 Q. You cannot tell from looking at the comment section on this
- 10 disposition sheet what the investigator did to conduct the
- 11 investigation?
- 12 A. In the comment section you read here that he did
- 13 investigate where he knows that the subject officer prepared a
- 14 stop, question and frisk on the defendant.
- 15 Q. But you can't tell, for example, whether he spoke to anyone
- 16 about this?
- 17 A. No. He doesn't quote that.
- 18 Q. And so OCD would not have known from reviewing this whether
- 19 this investigator spoke to anyone in conducting this
- 20 investigation, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. But they wouldn't take any action to follow up with the
- 23 investigator to determine who he may have spoken to, right?
- 24 A. No, he wouldn't.
- Q. When OCD logs information into BCATS -- hold on one moment. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

1 I'm sorry. OCD logs the complaint into BCATS, right?

- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And OCD refers the complaint to the command, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And then OCD logs in the disposition received from the
- 6 command, right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And that's all that OCD does with respect to the
- 9 investigation, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. OCD does run reports of the data in the BCATS database each
- 12 month that indicate the number of complaints, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And where the complaints are referred, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Meaning to where the complaints are referred, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And the breakdown of the codes assigned to those
- 19 complaints, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And the dispositions, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you review those monthly reports, right?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- 25 Q. No one else reviews them, to your knowledge?

 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

 (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

- 1 A. No. They are an in-house report.
- 2 Q. And when you review them you're only looking to see whether
- 3 dispositions are overdue, correct?
- 4 A. Correct. Open and closed.
- 5 Q. You do not review those monthly reports for trends in the
- 6 complaints, right?
- 7 A. No, I don't.
- 8 Q. And to your knowledge the NYPD has never run any report
- 9 other than those monthly reports using the BCATS database,
- 10 right?
- 11 A. I can't say for the whole NYPD but I can say from my
- 12 database.
- 13 Q. I'm asking: To your knowledge, the NYPD has not run any
- 14 report on the data in the BCATS system, right?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. And to your knowledge -- again, to your knowledge no one
- 17 outside of the NYPD has ever run a report on the data within
- 18 BCATS, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 $\,$ Q. And there is a narrative section in the BCATS system,
- 21 right?
- 22 A. Yes, there is -- a note section.
- 23 Q. So if we look, for example, at Plaintiffs' 426 there's a
- 24 section for notes, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

1 Q. And comments can be entered into that section, right?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. But there is no way to run a report based on the language
- in that narrative section, to your knowledge?
- 5 A. No, there's not.
- 6 Q. In order to determine whether complaints alleging --
- 7 whether there were complaints alleging racial profiling, OCD
- 8 would need to review the original communications associated
- 9 with the BCATS entry, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. But you've never conducted a report to determine how many
- 12 communications to OCD alleged racial profiling, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And to your knowledge no one in the NYPD has conducted such
- 15 a report, right?
- 16 A. I can't speak for the whole NYPD. I can speak for IRS.
- 17 Q. To your knowledge no one in the NYPD has conducted such a
- 18 report, right, as far as you're aware?
- 19 A. To my knowledge, yeah.
- 20 Q. And you've never -- you've never personally conducted a
- 21 review of OCD communications to determine what number contained
- 22 allegations of racial profiling, right?
- 23 A. No, I have not.
- 24 Q. And you're not aware of anyone else in the NYPD reviewing
- OCD communications to determine the number that have alleged SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct

- 1 racial profiling, right?
- 2 A. I'm not.
- 3 Q. And you've also never reviewed OCD complaints to determine
- 4 the number that relate to stop and frisk, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And you're not aware of anyone in the NYPD having done
- 7 that, right?
- 8 A. I'm not aware.
- 9 Q. And OCD does not record the names of witnesses interviewed
- 10 during an investigation into an OCD complaint, right?
- 11 A. No, we don't.
- 12 Q. You're aware that the NYPD has performance monitoring
- 13 systems, right?
- 14 A. I'm aware of it.
- 15 Q. And in your understanding that's -- the monitoring systems
- are run by the office of chief of personnel, right?
- 17 A. Yes, it is.
- 18 Q. And that office does not have access to BCATS, right?
- 19 A. No, it doesn't.
- 20 Q. And OCD does not inform the office of the chief of
- 21 personnel of substantiated OCD investigations, right?
- 22 A. No, we don't.
- 23 Q. And to your knowledge substantiated dispositions from OCD
- 24 do not go into an officer's personnel history, right?
- 25 A. Repeat the question.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct Q. To your knowledge substantiated OCD complaints do not go into an officer's personnel file, right? A. If an investigation is done on a precinct level and the 3 4 investigation reveals some type of discipline, a command A, 5 that would be given at the precinct level and that will be 6 included in the personnel record of the officer. 7 Q. But the OCD disposition report would not go into the 8 officer's personnel history file, to your knowledge, right? 9 A. My disposition report, no, it doesn't. 10 MS. BORCHETTA: One moment, your Honor. 11 (Pause) 12 MS. BORCHETTA: Your Honor, we do have -- similarly as 13 we did with the CCRB witness, Ms. Thompson, I have a few 14 documents that we need to admit through this witness. So I'd 15 like to do that now. 16 THE COURT: Well now is virtually the lunch break but 17 do you have many? Just two minutes? Does that end your 18 examination? 19 MS. BORCHETTA: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Have you gone over them with the 21 city? 22 MS. BORCHETTA: We've identified the exhibits that we 2.3 were going to use. 24 THE COURT: Is there any objection? 25 MS. COOKE: If she tells me what number -- just reads SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

```
D4i9flo3
                             McAleer - direct
 1
     the numbers, we can.
 2
              MS. BORCHETTA: I can hand them to you.
 3
              Plaintiffs' 225.
 4
              MS. COOKE: No objections.
 5
              THE COURT: 225 is received.
 6
              MS. BORCHETTA: 432.
              MS. COOKE: No objections.
 7
              THE COURT: 432 is received.
 8
9
              MS. BORCHETTA: 433.
10
              MS. COOKE: Just one minute, your Honor.
11
              433. No objections.
12
              THE COURT: 433 is received.
13
              MS. BORCHETTA: 434.
14
              MS. COOKE: No objections.
15
              Your Honor, with respect to 225. Do you have N13 in
16
     your pile?
17
              MS. BORCHETTA: Yes.
18
              MS. COOKE: Because N13 is part of 225. It just got
19
     separated.
20
              MS. BORCHETTA: We can admit that now. Defendants'
21
     N13.
22
              THE COURT: I'm sorry?
23
              MS. BORCHETTA: Defendants' N13.
24
              MS. COOKE: No objections. Goes with 225.
25
              MS. BORCHETTA: The last one I'm actually not sure if
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                              (212) 805-0300
```

	3,03
	D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct
1	it's OCD so we'll see if counsel has an objection but this is
2	Plaintiffs' 168.
3	MS. COOKE: This I would object to with this witness.
4	It is not an OCD.
5	THE COURT: We'll have to pick up here after the
6	luncheon recess. We'll reconvene at five after two.
7	
8	(Plaintiffs' Exhibits 225, 432, 433, 434 received in
9	evidence)
10	(Defendants' Exhibit N13 received in evidence)
11	(Luncheon recess)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

D4i9flo3 McAleer - direct 1 AFTERNOON SESSION 2 2:12 p.m. 3 (Trial resumed) 4 MS. BORCHETTA: Your Honor, during the break we worked 5 out with the city I believe they have no objection to 6 Plaintiffs' 168. 7 MS. COOKE: Correct. THE COURT: 168 is also received. 8 9 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 168 received in evidence) 10 MS. BORCHETTA: Your Honor, I have no further 11 questions. 12 I'd just like to represent that also during the break 13 we reached out to Chris Dunn. And he confirmed that he doesn't 14 have question for this witness. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. HELEN MCALEER, resumed. 16 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. COOKE: 19 Q. Good afternoon, Inspector McAleer. 20 A. Good afternoon, Brenda. Q. Could you please provide the Court a brief background of 21 your years with the NYPD, beginning with the position you held 22 prior to commanding officer of IRS in the chief of department. 2.3 24 A. As said earlier, I have 32 years on the job; 17 years with 25 the chief of department's office.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

2.3

Prior to that I worked at the police academy for two years.

A year before that, one year, at Manhattan traffic area. All in the rank of captain or above.

And then prior before that, my lieutenant and sergeant time was spent in Brooklyn North. A sergeant in the 75 precinct and a personnel officer and the training officer in Brooklyn North.

And my time as a cop is in the 113 precinct in Queens.

- Q. Thank you. What is your educational background?
- 11 A. I have four-year Bachelor of Science in criminal justice 12 from St. John's University.
 - Q. With respect to your responsibilities presently as the commanding officer of the investigative review section, you've testified about the logging of communications.

Are there other responsibilities of the investigative review section?

A. Yes. My unit oversees communications is also three other subunits.

The firearm discharges. In the firearm discharges, I coordinate and present to the chief of department's board all firearms that discharges, members of the service, when they occur.

I also oversee summonses. And that's all summonses that are issued by the department of vehicles. I am the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

liaison with the department of motor vehicle and parking

2 violations bureau in regards to that section.

3 And my last section is the parade permit section. And

- 4 that is -- I process all applications for parades on Fifth
- $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ Avenue or any parade that has over a thousand participants and
- 6 then I issue the permit.
- 7 Q. How many people are on staff in the investigative review 8 section?
- 9 A. There's 23 people under me.
- 10 Q. Are all 23 uniformed members of service?
- 11 A. No. I have two lieutenants, two sergeants, nine police
- officers, and the remainder are civilians.
- 13 Q. And how many of those 23 individuals that you supervise
- spend time working on the logging of the communications that
- 15 you testified to?
- 16 A. My communication section is overseen by one lieutenant, and
- there are five police officers assigned, and three civilians.
- 18 Q. With respect to the communications that are logged by the
- 19 IRS unit, from where do you receive the communications?
- 20 A. All communications come through Internal Affairs Bureau
- 21 IAB.
- 22 Q. To your knowledge, do they all originate at IAB or can
- 23 they --
- 24 A. They can come from IAB. They can come from 311. And they
- can come from the police commissioner's office. And they can southern district reporters, p.c.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

- 1 come from CCRB.
- 2 Q. When you receive a communication in the IRS unit through
- 3 IAB, who made the determination that the communication should
- $4\,$ $\,$ be logged and passed down for investigation in the chief of
- 5 department's office?
- 6 A. IAB.
- 7 Q. With respect to the nature of the communications that can
- 8 come from IAB, 311, CCRB or the police commissioner's office
- 9 can all of those include civilian complaints about police
- 10 misconduct?
- 11 A. Yes, they can.
- 12 Q. And with respect to the communications that are logged by
- 13 the IRS division, are those only related to police -- uniformed
- 14 police officers?
- 15 A. No.
- We receive communications on uniformed police
- 17 officers. We receive communications on traffic agents, school
- 18 safety agents, auxiliary members of the service.
- 19 Q. You mentioned that all of the communications received from
- 20 the four areas IAB, 311, CCRB and the police commissioner's
- office are funneled to OCD IRS through IAB, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Are there instances in which you would receive
- 24 communication of a complaint directly from CCRB?
- 25 A. Yes. What happens is Monday -- when a communication -- SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

when CCRB receives a communication, they're sent to me and they are also sent to IAB.

So in the essence of time, what happens is a member of

- 4 my staff will go onto the link, the web-based link of CCRB.
- 5 And we'll pull them off their system. And instead of waiting
- for them to go through IAB, so we can get them out quicker, so we can investigate them quicker.
- 8 Q. Similarly, with respect to the police commissioner's
- 9 communications can those come directly to office of chief of
- 10 department first?
- 11 A. Yes, they do.
- 12 Q. But do they also ultimately get logged with IAB and routed
- 13 to OCD?

3

- 14 A. Some do. Some don't.
- 15 Q. Approximately how many communications did the IRS log in
- 16 2012?
- 17 A. Approximately 41,000.
- 18 Q. Are all 41,000, approximately 41,000 communications
- 19 complaints of police misconduct?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Communications can be three things. They can be
- 22 complaints or -- complaints. They could be commendations or.
- 23 They can just be for your information.
- 24 Q. And approximately how many of the 41,000 communications
- logged by IRS in 2012 were communications from 311?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

3991 McAleer - cross

D4i9flo3

- A. 20 percent.
- Q. And from CCRB?
- 3 A. 17 percent.
- 4 Q. And IAB?
- 5 A. 60 percent.
- 6 Q. And the police commissioner's office?
- A. Approximately 3 percent, less than 3 percent. 7
- Q. So it's fair to say the bulk of the communications come
- 9 from the 60 percent of IAB communications?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And when you receive a communication in the IRS unit in
- 12 what form do you receive it, paper or electronic?
- 13 A. Communication from IAB comes paper.
- Q. And as you mentioned, the CCRB ones are retrieved from a 14
- 15 web link?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. But when you also receive a duplicative log of that
- 18 communication from IAB --
- 19 A. It's paper.
- 20 ${\tt Q.}\,$ And with respect to the paper communication the IRS
- 21 receives do you maintain that piece of paper?
- A. Yes, we do, for approximately one year.
- 23 Q. Does IRS have any role or responsibility in deciding who
- 24 will conduct the investigation into the communication?
- 25 A. No, I don't.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

1 Q. Does IRS supervise whomever is conducting the investigation

- 2 of the communication?
- 3 A. No, we don't.
- 4 Q. Does IRS have any role or responsibility for review of the
- 5 adequacy of the investigation that's conducted?
- 6 A. No, we don't.
- 7 Q. Does IRS provide training for the investigators conducting
- 8 the investigating?
- 9 A. No, we don't.
- 10 Q. Does IRS have any role or responsibility for the imposition
- of discipline for substantiated investigations?
- 12 A. No, we don't.
- 13 Q. When a communication is received by IRS and reviewed, what
- 14 does your staff do next?
- 15 A. We receive the communication. We review it. And then we
- 16 enter it into our BCATS system.
- 17 Q. And is your staff making any judgments or determinations
- about the -- about the outcome?
- 19 A. No. That -- the allegation is determining by IAB.
- 20 Q. Your staff is selecting a code for logging the
- 21 communication, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And how do they determine which code to log for the
- 24 communication?
- 25 A. Well my codes mirror IAB's allegation and we match that as SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

- 1 best we can.
- 2 Q. Can you or IRS staff create a new code -- turning your
- 3 attention to Defendants' Exhibit Z12 which is your list of
- 4 codes, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. If a code field doesn't exist on Exhibit Z12 could your
- 7 staff create it for purposes of logging a communication?
- 8 A. My staff cannot create it.
- 9 Q. You testified on direct that it's possible to run reports
- 10 from your BCATS system?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. And that you run reports?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And one of the reports you run is an overdue communications
- 15 report?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. How often do you run that report?
- 18 A. Three months.
- 19 Q. What information is provided in that report that you run?
- 20 A. It gives me summary of every communication that's
- 21 outstanding, meaning there is no disposition, hasn't been
- 22 closed yet.
- 23 Q. What do you do with that report when you run it on a
- 24 quarterly basis?
- 25 A. I sign it and I send it off to the next level command, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

1 which is the three star chief, which would be the chief of

- 2 patrol, chief of housing, whoever those communications are
- 3 addressed to.
- 4 Q. So, when you run a quarterly report of overdue
- 5 communications, you report those communications to the chief of
- the bureau for which they relate; is that correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. So all -- on a quarterly basis the chief of patrol would
- 9 receive an overdue communications report from you about all
- 10 past due investigations of OCD forwarded complaints, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And those complaints would be only related to the chief of
- 13 patrol's patrol service bureau?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And similarly then one would go to chief of housing?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And transit?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 O. OCCB?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 $\,$ Q. And what happens after you forward that quarterly report to
- 22 the chief of the bureau for which they relate?
- 23 A. What happens is the chief of patrol or whichever chief
- they're sent to must bring this to the attention of his staff.
- 25 And basically then there's a telephone -- telephone calls SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

1 between my staff and their staff to get these communications

- 2 in.
- 3 Q. How long does -- when you -- when IRS forwards out a
- 4 communication for investigation what is the time period that
- 5 the investigating unit would have to --
- 6 A. Seventy-five days.
- 7 Q. And after you send the quarterly reports to the chiefs of
- 8 the bureaus to which they relate, do you receive information
- 9 back on the investigations that are closed?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. When you receive the communications regarding a complaint
- 12 referred to the office of chief department from CCRB, who
- 13 determines that that complaint should be forwarded to the
- 14 office of chief of department?
- 15 A. CCRB.
- 16 Q. And with respect to all other communications, the IAB, 311,
- 17 and police commissioner, who determines that those complaints
- 18 should be forwarded to office of chief of department?
- 19 A. IAB.
- 20 Q. I'd like to turn your attention to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 279
- 21 which I believe you still have in front of you.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So you testified with respect to this exhibit on direct
- 24 examination. And I want to ask you a follow-up question.
- Looking at the Bates stamp, the second page Bates SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

3996 D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

stamp ending 637.

- A. Yes.
- Q. So pages 637 and 638, this is the IAB log, correct? 3
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. And so what is an IAB log?
- 6 A. An IAB log is a communication that IAB received, reviewed
- 7 it, and then sent it to my section.
- 8 Q. And they sent it to the IRS unit for forwarding it out for
- 9 investigation, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So what we're looking at here on 637 and 638 is a complaint
- 12 that needs to be investigated, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. So directing your attention to page 6 -- Bates stamp 637, 14
- 15 the summary paragraph?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Counsel on direct examination asked you about that summary
- 18 paragraph. Do you recall those questions?
- A. Yes, I do. 19
- 20 Q. And am I correct that that summary paragraph, that
- 21 represents IAB's characterization of the complaint that needs
- 22 to be investigated, correct?
- 23 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. So that doesn't reflect IAB's concluding of their 24
- 25 investigation into the complaint, correct?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. So represented in this paragraph is not a conclusion that
- 3 someone was racially profiled, correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. This complaint, which includes a claim of mistaken identity
- 6 and racial profiling, was forwarded to OCD for forwarding and
- 7 investigation, recollect?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. What did you do with this complaint, this log from IAB when
- 10 you received it?
- 11 A. This log, when I received it, it was closed at my office
- 12 because it has a sister complaint, if you'd like to call it
- 13 that, that we received from CCRB.
- 14 Q. Are you looking at page 636?
- 15 A. Yes, BCATS screen 636.
- 16 Q. How do you know that there's a related complaint?
- 17 A. If you look down to notes, you see OCD number. And that's
- 18 the related complaint.
- 19 Q. On direct examination you were discussing the boxes in the
- 20 middle of this BCATS screen with the allegation, disposition,
- 21 and penalty fields. Do you recall those questions?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- 23 Q. And you had explained that -- well can you explain what the
- 24 nature of the allegation of this communication is?
- 25 A. The allegation refers that -- it's a dual communication, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross

1 one received from IAB and one received from OCD meaning CCRB.

- 2 Q. So when this document reflects the disposition as condition
- 3 noted and the penalty is instructed, what does that mean?
- 4 A. What happens is we're closing this communication out under
- 5 it's log number, it's IAB number and we're going to forward it
- 6 as an OCD number.
- 7 Q. So to your knowledge was this allegation disposed of as
- 8 condition noted and instructed?
- 9 A. No, that's -- what happens is when a member of my staff
- fills out the allegation box, automatically condition noted and instructed drop in.
- 12 Q. So the complaint was investigated under the OCD log number
- in the notes, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And what was the result of this investigation under the OCD
- 16 number?
- 17 A. (No response)
- 18 Q. From PSA 2?
- 19 A. PSA 2.
- What happened with this communication, I had my staff run it under OCD. And we found that there was no disposition
- 22 entered for this communication.
- 23 We made a phonecall over to the 73 precinct. And we
- 24 asked: Where's disposition? Do you have some paperwork for
- us? And, unfortunately, all we could get from the 73 precinct SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo3 McAleer - cross was that it was investigated but the paperwork was destroyed because of a flood that occurred in the 73 basement -- the basement, and I believe it was during Sandy, and no base paperwork existed. It was destroyed because of the flood. Q. When did you learn that information? A. I learned that information when I was reviewing for this testimony. (Continued on next page)

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - cross

1 Q. Directing your attention to -- were you handed Plaintiffs'

- 2 Exhibit 432 or was that just summarily admitted?
- 3 A. I was not handed 432.
- 4 Q. Let me hand you 432.
- 5 Do you recognize this Exhibit 432?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- 8 A. This is a complaint that IAB received and also CCRB
- 9 received. It's almost the same instance as the one we just
- 10 previously mentioned. One incident, two complaint numbers, a
- 11 member of my staff closed out the IAB number. I can tell that
- 12 from the middle of the page on 723.
- 13 Q. Where are you looking on 723?
- 14 A. In the middle where it says "allegation, disposition,
- 15 penalty."
- Q. So that's similar to the document --
- 17 A. The one we just discussed.
- 18 Then this one we carried under an OCD number the CCRB
- 19 number. And I don't know if I discussed it before. We carry
- 20 them under the CCRB number because that's the number that the
- 21 complainant knows about.
- 22 Q. So is there a logged communication for that CCRB number in
- 23 this exhibit?
- 24 A. Repeat.
- 25 Q. Is there a logged communication for that CCRB number in SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - cross

1 this exhibit?

4

- 2 A. I don't see another BCATS screen.
- 3 Q. Do you see page 28726?
 - A. Sorry, yes. It was on the back of it.
- 5 Q. It's double-sided.
- 6 A. Yes. And that's my BCATS screen showing that we are
- 7 tracking it under the OCD number. And I can tell that by in
- 8 the left-hand corner you see OCD number.
- 9 Q. Then there's some notes written?
- 10 A. Yes. What happened with this one, when you look at the
- 11 summary, a member of my staff originally sent it to a patrol
- 12 services borough and it went down the chain of the command.
- 13 What happened was this member that they are complaining of was
- 14 assigned to the gang squad. What happens at that point, they
- 15 reroute the communication back to me, and then we sent it out
- 16 to the detective bureau. And then what happened at this time,
- which I believe was back in '08, gang was removed from the
- 18 detective bureau and was placed into OCCB. So then we had to
- 19 reroute for a third time to OCCB, where the member is now
- 20 assigned.
- 21 Q. Looking at the Bates stamp page 28727 and 28728.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What do these pages reflect?
- 24 A. This is a 49 of the investigating officer's findings.
- And on the next page 728 is the endorsement of the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - cross

- 1 next higher command making note of his investigation.
- 2 Q. Is my understanding correct that this communication is
- 3 forwarded out for investigation by IRS, and in this case, it
- 4 ultimately went to the commanding officer of the Brooklyn south
- 5 gang squad?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Then the communication is forwarded from that level to a
- 8 lower level for investigation, in this case, the gang division,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Then someone in the gang division conducts the
- 12 investigation, correct?
- 13 A. I think you have it wrong.
- 14 Q. The other way around?
- 15 A. It's the other way around.
- 16 Q. I'm sorry. IRS forwarded it ultimately to the gang
- 17 division, who forwarded it to the Brooklyn south gang squad?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Then this communication is reflecting the investigation
- 20 results coming back up through the chain of command?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.
- 22 Q. And the endorsement is the commanding officer of the gang
- 23 division approving the investigation?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Then the page Bates stamped, the next one, 729?

 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

 (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - cross

1 A. That's the disposition sheet that comes back to my office.

- Q. So looking at this exhibit for a minute in its entirety,
- 3 other than the disposition sheet, would you have received the
- 4 49 and the endorsement or any of the attachments, the complaint
- 5 report?
- 6 A. They are not required. Sometimes they are attached,
- 7 sometimes they are not. They are not required. All that I
- 8 require is the disposition sheet.
- 9 Q. As a matter of course, you don't receive the investigative
- 10 file which would represent the investigation conducted?
- 11 A. No, I don't.
- 12 Q. Do you know where that investigation file is maintained?
- 13 A. At the precinct level, or in this case, at the lowest
- 14 level, which would be at the gang division.
- 15 Q. So it's not the responsibility of the investigative review
- 16 section unit to review the results of the investigation file?
- 17 A. No, it's not.
- 18 Q. You don't direct the investigations in any way?
- 19 A. No, I don't.
- 20 Q. So looking at this disposition sheet that was received,
- 21 page 729, what was the result of this investigation?
- 22 A. Unsubstantiated and no action taken.
- 23 Q. Is there any description provided on this sheet?
- 24 A. Then there's two lines of comment on the bottom.
- 25 Q. Could you read those for the Court?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - cross

1 A. "On April 7, 2009, the complainant victim was interviewed

- 2 regarding her arrest. The complainant victim stated that she
- 3 was frisked by a male officer and arrested for criminal
- 5 used a knife for work."
- 6 Q. That information is in the comment section, correct?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. In the comment section there is no -- your office doesn't
- 9 require that the comment section be filled, correct?
- 10 A. No, it doesn't.
- 11 Q. I am going to hand you what has been admitted on your
- 12 direct examination as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 434.
- Do you recognize this exhibit?
- 14 A. Yes. This is a communication that my office received from
- 15 IAB.
- 16 Q. How do you know that?
- 17 A. If you look up on my BCATS screen, this isn't a log, it's
- 18 an M case, and you can see that on the left top corner, M
- 19 number 900110.
- 20 Q. Are you looking at Bates stamp 739?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And the M number is in the upper left-hand corner?
- 23 A. Left-hand corner.
- Q. "M" stands for?
- 25 A. Misconduct.

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - cross

- 1 Q. So this is a log received from IAB?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. And what was your IRS unit to do with this communication?
- A. We entered this into my system and then we send it out
- 5 through the chain of command.
- 6 Q. Who was investigating this communication?
- 7 A. I forwarded it to the chief of patrol's office, who then
- 8 forwarded it to the 115 -- Queens north borough and then to the
- 9 115 Precinct.
- 10 Q. Are you identifying that information on page Bates
- 11 stamped --
- 12 A. 739.
- 13 Q. Where are you looking on 739?
- 14 A. In the middle, where it says the location, and it says
- 15 precinct and borough.
- 16 Q. Did you log a disposition with respect to this
- 17 investigation?
- 18 A. Yes, we did.
- 19 Q. What was the disposition?
- 20 A. Unsubstantiated and no action taken.
- 21 Q. With respect to information that is logged in BCATS
- 22 regarding the disposition of investigations, is BCATS the only
- 23 location that that information of disposition or penalty is
- 24 maintained at the police department?
- 25 A. No, it's not.

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - cross

- 1 Q. Where else is that information maintained?
- 2 A. The penalty could be maintained in the precinct level in
- 3 the officer's personnel folder, and if it rises to a command
- 4 discipline B or higher, it could then be at the department
- 5 advocate's office.
- 6 Q. With respect to the fact that there was a complaint at all
- 7 that was investigated, is BCATS the only location that that
- 8 information of a complaint is maintained?
- 9 A. No. It's also logged in at IAB.
- 10 Q. This is the IAB log, the paper documents we have been
- 11 looking at attached to the BCATS?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Is it your testimony that IAB maintains a log of the fact
- 14 that a complaint was made?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What about referrals from CCRB, are those referral
- 17 complaints maintained anywhere other than in BCATS?
- 18 A. IAB also maintains them.
- 19 Q. 311s?
- 20 A. IAB also maintains them.
- 21 Q. And communications from the police commissioner's office?
- 22 A. Possibly IAB. If not, the PC's office maintains them
- themself.
- 24 Q. You testified on direct examination with respect to the
- coding applied by the IRS unit complaints. You testified that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - cross

1 you don't code communications to reflect an allegation of

- 2 racial profiling, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. But you're aware that there are complaints where people
- 5 have mentioned race may have been a factor, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Can you quantify how many or how frequently you see that
- 8 complaint?
- 9 A. Very, very -- very, very small number. Maybe one or two a
- 10 month. Out of the general dissatisfaction category, I would
- 11 say half of one percent is categorized as a stop because of
- 12 racial.
- 13 Q. Are you aware of the 41,000 communications, approximately,
- 14 that you logged in IRS in 2012, how many were categorized
- 15 general dissatisfaction?
- 16 A. Approximately, 6,000.
- 17 Q. So what is one half of one percent of 6,000, approximately?
- 18 A. 30.
- 19 Q. 30?
- 20 A. 30 communications.
- 21 Q. Might have related to a complaint of race?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. All of those would have been forwarded out for
- 24 investigation?
- 25 A. Yes, they would have.

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - cross

1 Q. The fact that some had contained an allegation relating to

- 2 race in their complaint, that information is maintained in the
- $\,$ IAB log summary that we have been looking at for some of these
- 4 communications, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. Or it could be maintained in the CCRB allegation summary that's forwarded to OCD, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 MS. COOKE: I have no further questions at this time,
- 10 your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Redirect.
- 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MS. BORCHETTA:
- 14 Q. Good afternoon, Inspector McAleer.
- 15 A. Good afternoon.
- 16 Q. With respect to whose responsibility it is to decide the
- 17 code that OCD gives to allegations, if the allegation comes
- 18 from CCRB, who decides what code OCD will give that allegation?
- 19 A. A member of my staff will match it up as best to the
- 20 allegation that CCRB provides.
- 21 Q. If it comes from 311, who decides what code should apply?
- 22 A. A member of my staff.
- 23 Q. If it comes from the police commissioner's office, who
- 24 decides what code should apply?
- 25 A. A member of my service.

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - redirect

- 1 Q. You just testified that when you receive a communication
- 2 from IAB, someone on your staff will use the code that IAB is
- 3 given, right?
- 4 A. What happens is when we receive a communication from IAB,
- 5 there will be a section of allegation, and then a member of my
- 6 staff will read this allegation and then try to match it up the
- 7 best they can to my codes.
- 8 Q. So IAB doesn't actually tell OCD what BCATS code to use,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. And IAB, to your knowledge, doesn't have a code for racial
- 12 profiling, right?
- 13 A. To my knowledge, no.
- Q. IAB, to your knowledge, doesn't log racial profiling
- 15 complaints as racial profiling complaints, right?
- 16 A. To my knowledge, no.
- 17 Q. Taking a look at Plaintiffs' 279, this is the OCD BCATS
- 18 form that you just testified related to an investigation for
- which documents were lost in a flood, right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Now, you only know that there was an investigation because
- you called the 73rd Precinct, correct?
- 23 A. A member of my staff did.
- 24 Q. So a member of your staff called the 73rd Precinct, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - redirect

Q. And found out that there had been an investigation, right?

- A. Correct.
- 3 Q. But had someone on your staff not called the 73rd Precinct,
- your office would not know from this document that an
- 5 investigation even took place, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- Q. Are you aware of how the person that was contacted at the 7
- 8 73rd Precinct was able to determine that an investigation took
- 9 place if there were no documents related to this?
- 10 A. No. I can only -- no.
- 11 Q. And you don't know whether or not it was substantiated,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. No, I don't.
- Q. And you don't know whether or not there was any penalty as 14
- 15 a result of this investigation?
- 16 A. No, I don't.
- 17 MS. BORCHETTA: Your Honor, I think I need to state an 18
- objection for the record that maybe we can follow up with the city. But the first that we heard that there were records 19
- 20 related to this that were destroyed was just now. And this is
- 21 an allegation of racial profiling against an officer who we are
- 22 claiming stopped a class member based on racial profiling a
- 2.3 year later.
- 24 So, obviously, to the extent documents were destroyed
- 25 in a flood, there is nothing the city can do about that. But SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - redirect

to me, if someone from the 73rd Precinct was able to determine that there was an investigation, there is potentially something out there that documents that there was an investigation, it might have information about that investigation, and we should be entitled to it.

THE COURT: So you're calling for them to look now to see if there are any relevant documents?

MS. BORCHETTA: Documents, or to the extent there was a person who had some knowledge that could give information about the investigation, identifying that person or how they were able to make that determination. I wanted to state that. I can work with the city, but I wanted to state that now because we have just learned about this.

THE COURT: OK.

15 BY MS. BORCHETTA:

- Q. You testified in response to Ms. Cooke's questions, when she was asking you about a number of racial profiling allegations that you had seen, you used the phrase one or two a month. My question is, is it your testimony that you believe
- 20 you have seen one or two racial profiling allegations per

21 month?

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 22 A. I personally haven't seen. When I speak to my staff, and I
- 23 will speak to them, and basically I will ask what is going on,

and they will tell me that.

Q. So you believe from conversations with your staff that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - redirect

1 there are about one or two racial profiling allegations that

- 2 come into OCD per month?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Even though your office sees about one or two allegations
- 5 of racial profiling per month, no one in the NYPD, to your
- 6 knowledge, has created a code for racial profiling at OCD,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. No, we haven't.
- 9 Q. Even though your office has seen one or two racial
- 10 profiling allegations per month, no one in the NYPD has created
- 11 a mechanism for OCD to document that there are racial profiling
- 12 complaints coming in, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- MS. BORCHETTA: One moment, your Honor.
- 15 Q. You testified in response to Ms. Cooke's questions that you
- 16 receive about 6,000 allegations in the general dissatisfaction
- 17 code?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Or that you did in 2012, right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. For the record, does BCATS retain information beyond one
- 22 year?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. So at the end of the year it's purged, right?
- 25 A. All information is contained in my computer system. Hard SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 McAleer - redirect paper is destroyed. Q. What about the information in BCATS though? 3 A. My computer system can last forever. 4 Q. The BCATS system is not purged? A. That's correct. It's not purged. 6 Q. Of the 6,000 general dissatisfaction complaints that were 7 received in 2012, do you know how many were stop related? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Why don't you know that? 10 A. Because I didn't track them. 11 MS. BORCHETTA: No further questions, your Honor. 12 MS. COOKE: No further questions for this witness. THE COURT: Thank you. All set. 13 14 Your next witness. MS. BORCHETTA: Your Honor, we have another witness. 15 16 As we addressed with the Court yesterday, because of 17 scheduling, the city needs to start calling its own witnesses. 18 So this will be the first one that the city is calling. 19 THE COURT: My chart still says Leroy Downs. When is 20 Leroy Downs coming? 21 MS. BORCHETTA: Tomorrow morning. 2.2 THE COURT: The other one on your list is Phil McGuire. 2.3

MS. BORCHETTA: Tomorrow.

24

25

It's a bit confusing, but we also were calling another SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 witness today, who is Christopher Moran. 2 THE COURT: Now? 3 MS. BORCHETTA: No. Because of scheduling, the city 4 wants to call a different NYPD witness first. 5 THE COURT: Nobody on your list? 6 MS. BORCHETTA: Right. 7 THE COURT: Who are you calling? 8 MR. KUNZ: We are calling Officer Hassan, H-A-S-S-A-N. 9 THE COURT: It would be helpful if I had the list. 10 Ms. Grossman promised it today. 11 MS. GROSSMAN: The reason why is because the 12 plaintiffs advised us that we needed to fill in the space right 13 now. So we worked very hard and didn't confirm it till this 14 morning. 15 THE COURT: Do you have the list that looks like their 16 list? 17 MS. GROSSMAN: I thought you said we could have till 18 the end of today. THE COURT: I did not. It was supposed to be 5:0019 20 yesterday. Then you asked very passionately at the end of the 21 session yesterday whether you could make it this morning. MS. PUBLICKER: If I may, last night we learned at 22 2.3 midnight from Mr. Moore that Mr. Reiter is not available on 24 Monday. He is now available on Tuesday. And we also got 25 requests from Ms. Patel today to move four of our witnesses. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4

2.2

So we had an effort to have a list for you, but in consideration of this, we have been juggling. So I assure the Court we are working to get a schedule, but based on these five new witness requests in the last 12 hours, it's just been a bit of reshuffling.

THE COURT: When am I going to have a list that looks like plaintiffs' list?

MR. MOORE: When are we going to have a list?

THE COURT: I agree. It's always a moving date. The city does not meet dates. It's always a request for adjournment. I know that this particular story about getting the list has good reason. You're juggling, accommodating the plaintiffs, accommodating the Court. But I have known of no date that you have met. You always say, could we just get another week? Could we just get three days? But this time there is absolute truth to the notion that you were helping out both the Court and plaintiffs and doing a lot of juggling. I understand that. Anyway, when am I getting the list?

 $\,$ MS. PUBLICKER: We can have one -- it won't be right after court, but this evening.

THE COURT: This person Hassan, what is the first name?

MR. KUNZ: Mohamed Hassan.

It is for a limited purpose. And pursuant to the agreement yesterday, where I introduced one of their witnesses, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 1 maybe Ms. Martini wants to give you a little background on why 2 he is being called. 3 THE COURT: Sure. 4 MS. MARTINI: You have actually already heard about 5 this stop. 6 THE COURT: Which stop is it? 7 MS. MARTINI: It is the very limited instance of Clive 8 Lino's NYCHA stop that you said Mr. Lino could testify about 9 the racial motivated statement that was said to him during the 10 stop, and the officers would be allowed to be called to tell 11 their side of the story, with respect to the statement only. 12 THE COURT: I don't remember, but that's OK. Would the officer come up? 13 14 MOHAMED HASSAN, 15 called as a witness by the defendants, 16 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 17 THE COURT: State your full name, first and last, 18 spelling both for the record. 19 THE WITNESS: Mohamed Hassan, M-O-H-A-M-E-D, 20 H-A-S-S-A-N. 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. KUNZ: 23 Q. Could you tell the Court a little bit about your educational background? 24 25 A. I went to college for psychology and I have a little over SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4

- .04 Hassan direct
- 1 100 credits.
- Q. Where are you from?
- 3 A. New Jersey.
- 4 Q. Do you speak any other languages?
- 5 A. Yes, Arabic.
- 6 Q. Do you ever use your Arabic language on the job?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Can you explain to the Court when you do that?
- 9 A. Basically, I got qualified as an interpreter with the job,
- 10 so I get a lot of phone calls from detectives to translate.
- 11 Q. Could you very briefly walk the Court through your various
- 12 assignments with the NYPD?
- 13 A. Basically, from the academy, I went straight to housing
- 14 bureau PSA 2 for six months. Then I got transferred from that
- 15 to Manhattan IRT housing bureau also, for four years,
- 16 approximately over four years. Then I went to PSA 3 until the
- 17 current.
- 18 Q. The Manhattan assignment, you said that was IRT?
- 19 A. Yes. That's impact response team.
- 20 Q. Can you explain to the Court very briefly what an IRT team
- 21 does?
- 22 A. Impact response team is basically, if there are high areas
- of crimes, we are deployed to that area.
- 24 Q. Now, do you recall an occasion when you were working for
- 25 IRT where you had an interaction with a man named Clive Lino? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4

Hassan - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. During that interaction, did you get a phone call on your
- 3 personal cell phone?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Before I get there, when you were out on duty in 2008, did
- 6 you carry your personal cell phone with you?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. Did the personal cell phone that you had in 2008, did it
- 9 have any specialized ring tones on it?
- 10 A. No. I usually just use the standard classic ring tone.
- 11 Q. Would you ever, when you were on duty, play music through
- 12 your phone?
- 13 A. Absolutely not. It's not professional.
- Q. During your interaction with Clive Lino, did you or your
- 15 partner play a rap song for him?
- 16 A. Absolutely not.
- 17 Q. During your interaction with Clive Lino, did you or your
- 18 partner say, "This should calm you down"?
- 19 A. No.
- MR. KUNZ: One second, your Honor.
- 21 Q. I am going to show you a document. This does not have an
- 22 exhibit tab on it, but we will put one on it.
- THE COURT: What number?
- 24 MS. MARTINI: Can I ask what the purpose of this is?
- 25 Are you refreshing his recollection?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

```
D4I8FLO4
                              Hassan - direct
              MR. KUNZ: No. I am just going to put his memo book
 2
      in.
 3
              MS. MARTINI: I object. Your motion in limine said
 4
      they were allowed to be called for the limited statement
 5
      denying.
 6
               THE COURT: I guess that's part of the limited
      purpose, to see what he was doing about that date and time.
 7
 8
              What is the next exhibit number?
9
              MR. KUNZ: D14.
10
               THE COURT: It will be marked as D14.
11
      Q. Do you recognize this?
12
     A. Yes, I do.
13
      Q. What is it?
14
      A. It's my memo book.
15
               MR. KUNZ: We would move this into evidence.
16
               THE COURT: From what date?
17
      Q. For August 20, 2008?
18
     A. Yes.
19
               THE COURT: Is there a relevant entry?
20
               MR. KUNZ: Yes.
21
               THE COURT: Let me see it.
22
               MR. MOORE: Can we also get a copy?
2.3
              MS. BORCHETTA: Could we just look at it before it
24
      gets shown to the Court?
25
               THE COURT: That's fine.
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

D4I8FLO4 Hassan - direct MS. MARTINI: I would just like to renew my objection. 1 2 Mr. Lino was not permitted to testify about the stop 3 whatsoever. So the officer I don't think should be allowed to 4 explain anything about his memo book related to the stop. 5 There were no facts about the stop entered into evidence or 6 testified to. 7 THE COURT: What is the relevance then of the memo 8 book entry? 9 MR. KUNZ: Memo books have become a big part of this 10 case. 11 THE COURT: If he didn't give the story line of the 12 stop, then why would I take one side's recollection of the stop 13 and not the other side's recollection of the stop? 14 MR. KUNZ: That's a fair point. 15 THE COURT: All right. Then the exhibit will not be 16 received after all. So you can use that number on the next 17 exhibit. 18 MR. KUNZ: The only thinking was since memo books have 19 become an issue --20 THE COURT: They have, but it's not fair to put in one 21 side's summary of the stop if the other side never testified 22 about that stop. 2.3 MR. KUNZ: I have no further questions. MS. MARTINI: I will be very brief. 24 25 (Continued on next page) SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

4021 Hassan - direct

D4I8FLO4

- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MARTINI:
- Q. Good afternoon, Officer Hassan. 3
- 4 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. During your encounter with Mr. Lino, did either you or your
- 6 partner that night say to Mr. Lino, in sum or substance, "See,
- 7 that's your problem, your attitude is the reason you get
- 8 stopped"?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. And you deny that at any point during your encounter a rap
- 11 song was played from a cell phone device?
- 12 A. Yes, I deny it.
- 13 Q. And that either you or your partner said, in sum or
- 14 substance, "Here is a little rap for you, this should calm you
- 15 down"?
- 16 A. Never happened.
- 17 Q. Do you think that that would have been an appropriate
- 18 comment for either you or your partner to make?
- A. Absolutely not. It's not professional. 19
- 20 Q. If you had made an inappropriate comment while interacting
- 21 with a member of the public in your capacity as a police
- 22 officer, you could get in trouble for that, right?
- 2.3 A. Absolutely.
- 24 Q. And if you had made a racially inappropriate comment while
- 25 interacting with the public in your capacity as a police SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Hassan - cross officer, you could get in trouble for that also? A. Correct. 3 Q. You're currently a member of the NYPD? 4 5 Q. And you would not want to get in trouble at your job, 6 correct? 7 A. Correct. 8 MS. MARTINI: That's it. 9 THE COURT: Anything further? 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. KUNZ: 12 Q. You mentioned professionalism just now? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Can you talk about courtesy, professionalism and respect 15 and how you interpret that in your job as a police officer? 16 A. Basically, when I walk the streets or I am assisting pretty 17 much any civilian or doing whatever I have to do on the street, 18 courtesy, professionalism and respect is the first key factor, 19 and that's what I do on the streets. 20 MR. KUNZ: No further questions. 21 I know we took Officer Hassan out of order. His 22 partner Officer Colon is also here. 2.3 THE COURT: For the same? 24 MR. KUNZ: Yes. 25 (Continued on next page) SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Hassan - redirect

- 1 JOSE COLON,
- 2 called as a witness by the defendants,
- 3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
 - THE COURT: State your full name, first and last,
- 5 spelling both for the record.
 - THE WITNESS: Jose Colon, J-O-S-E, C-O-L-O-N.
- 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MR. KUNZ:
- 9 Q. Officer, could you just briefly tell the Court where you're
- 10 from?

4

6

- 11 A. I am from Brooklyn, New York, born and raised.
- 12 Q. In which part of Brooklyn?
- 13 A. Bushwick area.
- 14 Q. Could you tell the Court your educational background?
- 15 A. I have my associate's degree in liberal arts and science.
- 16 Q. Briefly walk the Court through your various assignments in
- 17 the NYPD.
- 18 A. I started the police academy in July 2007. Then from there
- 19 I went to -- I transferred to housing borough Manhattan, IRT.
- 20 Then I got transferred to South Bronx PSA 7. And now currently
- 21 I work in Coney Island PSA 1.
- 22 Q. It seems like you have done a lot of work in the police
- 23 service areas which cover the housing developments?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you have any personal experience in the housing SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO4 Colon - direct

developments?

- 2 A. I grew up in the housing developments.
- 3 Q. Do you speak any languages besides English?
- 4 A. Spanish.
- 5 Q. Do you ever use your Spanish in your job as a police
- 6 officer?
- 7 A. Very frequently.
- 8 Q. Tell the Court briefly what you do.
- 9 A. I respond to radio runs sometimes, family disputes, and the
- 10 parties usually need a translator. I am there and I help them
- 11 out.
- 12 Q. Back in 2008, I believe you were with housing bureau
- 13 Manhattan in an impact response team?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you recall interacting with a man named Clive Lino?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. In 2008, when you were in impact response, did you carry a
- 18 cell phone?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you have any special ring tones on your cell phone?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Have you ever had any rap music song for your ring tone?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. When you were working for Manhattan housing bureau IRT, did
- 25 you ever have occasion to work with Officer Hassan? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Colon - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. How often would you work with him?
- 3 A. It was a large -- it's a lot of us. Not too frequently.
- 4 Q. So you and Officer Hassan were both part of a larger team?
- 5 A. Yes, we were.
- 6 Q. But occasionally --
- 7 A. Occasionally we will work together, correct.
- 8 Q. Did you ever hear Officer Hassan have a rap music ring
- 9 tone?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. During a stop, question and frisk encounter that you have
- 12 conducted -- have you ever conducted a stop, question and frisk
- 13 with Officer Hassan, the two of you together?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Now, during any of those occasions, have you ever heard
- 16 Officer Hassan's cell phone go off and it be a rap music ring
- 17 tone?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Have you ever said or ever heard Officer Hassan say, after
- 20 playing a song on his cell phone, that "this should calm you
- 21 down"?
- 22 A. No.
- MR. KUNZ: No further questions.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.
- 25 (Continued on next page)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Colon - direct D4I8FLO4 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MARTINI: 3 Q. Good afternoon. 4 You're trained in your capacity as a police officer 5 that you have an obligation to report any improper conduct that you see other officers committing on the job, correct? 6 7 A. Correct. 8 Q. I'm sorry? A. Correct. 9 10 Q. If you had observed another officer commit inappropriate 11 conduct or say inappropriate comments and you did not report 12 it, you could get in trouble for that, correct? 13 A. Correct. Q. In August of 2008, you had been working with the NYPD for 14 15 approximately one year, is that right? 16 A. 2008, yes. 17 MS. MARTINI: No further questions. 18 MR. KUNZ: Nothing further. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 MR. MOORE: The plaintiff would call Officer 21 Christopher Moran. 22 THE COURT: This is a stop I haven't heard about? 2.3 MR. MOORE: That's correct. 24 THE COURT: You're going to cross-examine? 25 MR. MARUTOLLO: Yes. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Colon - direct 1 THE COURT: Can you give me a brief summary of this? ${\tt MR.\ MARUTOLLO:}$ This is the stop involving David 2 Ourlicht, on January 30, 2008, where he was stopped for a 3 4 variety of reasons and ultimately issued a summons for 5 disorderly conduct. 6 CHRISTOPHER MORAN, 7 called as a witness by the plaintiffs, 8 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 9 THE COURT: State your full name, first and last, 10 spelling both for the record. 11 THE WITNESS: Christopher Moran, 12 C-H-R-I-S-T-O-P-H-E-R, M-O-R-A-N. 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. MOORE: 15 Q. Good afternoon, Officer Moran. 16 A. Good afternoon. 17 Q. What is your present employment? 18 A. I am a sergeant with the New York City Police Department. Q. I called you a patrol officer. Sergeant Moran. When were 19 20 you promoted? A. November of 2010. Q. Congratulations.

21

- 22
- 2.3 A. Thank you, sir.
- Q. Back in January of 2008, you were a patrol officer in the 24
- 25 NYPD, correct?

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. When did you join the NYPD?
- 3 A. July of 2004.
- 4 Q. So as of January of 2008, you had been there a little over
- 5 three-and-a-half years, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What was your assignment in January of 2008?
- 8 A. I was assigned to the youth team.
- 9 Q. Tell us what the youth team is.
- 10 A. The youth team was turned out of the 107th Precinct. It
- 11 was a youth oriented team that would return students to --
- 12 truant students to the schools, would handle any jobs or radio
- 13 runs at the school. We would also conduct home visits to
- 14 youths who were chronically truant, who were getting arrested,
- 15 who were having problems in school. We would make a visit to
- 16 the home, speak with the parents and the child, see what the
- 17 problem was, see if we could offer any services, and try to put
- 18 them on the right track.
- 19 Q. Were you part of the school unit of the 107th Precinct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Within the school unit, you focused on what is called a
- 22 youth team?
- 23 A. I was on the school team for a few years. Then I
- 24 transferred to the youth team, which was still under the school
- 25 unit, but it was a little different work.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

1 Q. But in January of 2008, you were working on the youth team,

- 2 not the school unit generally, correct?
- 3 A. Yes. I was assigned to youth.
- 4 Q. That's a regular detail tour from 8 in the morning till 4
- 5 in the afternoon or thereabouts?
- 6 A. Yeah, approximately. There were different tours that we
- 7 would do.
- 8 Q. It's primarily focused on dealing with children in -- kids
- 9 in school, right?
- 10 A. Youths within the community. We handle any kind of school
- 11 jobs. We would go speak at schools any time principals or
- 12 teachers would reach out to us.
- 13 Q. In the course of your duties as a member of the school
- unit, you would go on regular patrol, is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes. We were out there patrolling.
- 16 Q. So even though you were assigned to the school unit, you
- 17 would still be expected to, if you saw some illegality, you
- 18 would be expected to respond to it, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So even though you weren't on a regular patrol, you would
- 21 still on occasion see something that would lead you to stop and
- 22 question somebody, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. You received training in the police department on stop,
- 25 question and frisk, right?

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And in that training, you learned about the different
- 3 levels of suspicion that regulate when an officer, what contact
- 4 they can have with a civilian on the street, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. You learned about what a UF-250 was, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. In your training, you also learned about memo books and the
- 9 importance of memo books, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you learned about the importance of documenting your
- 12 work in the appropriate forms that the police department has,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. In fact, you're given, as a member of the police
- department, you're given a patrol guide, which is a very thick
- volume of guidelines that you're supposed to follow?
- 18 A. Yes, it is.
- 19 Q. And you, of course, have read that back to front, right?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And you also became aware since you have been a police
- 22 officer of the police department's policy against racial
- 23 profiling, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. You haven't actually seen a written copy of it, but you're SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct 1 aware of the policy, correct? MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection to the form of the question. 2 3 It lacks foundation. 4 THE COURT: What do you mean it lacks foundation? 5 The question was: You haven't actually seen a written 6 copy of it, but you're aware of the policy, correct? That was 7 the question. Which part of it lacks foundation? 8 MR. MARUTOLLO: You haven't seen a copy of it. 9 THE COURT: If that's the problem, we will ask that 10 first. 11 Have you seen a written copy of it? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. 13 THE COURT: OK. 14 Q. As of the date of your deposition, you hadn't actually seen 15 a written copy, right? 16 A. I believe it came out -- there was always a procedure about 17 racial profiling. In 2012 --Q. I am talking about back in 2009, when you gave your

- 18
- 19 deposition, as of that date, you hadn't seen a written copy of
- 20 the NYPD policy against racial profiling, correct?
- 21 A. We learned in the academy about it.
- 22 Q. The question is, had you seen a written copy of the
- 2.3 department's policy?
- 24 A. I don't recall.
- 25 Q. OK. But you were aware of it, right? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. From time to time, as a police officer, the police
- 3 department passes out additional materials on various subjects
- for you to study and to learn about, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. As with many jobs, when you get out of the academy and you
- 7 get on the job, you learn additional things about how to carry
- 8 out your job, correct?
- 9 A. Oh, yeah, training is ongoing, through roll calls,
- 10 in-service training.
- 11 Q. But you also get what is commonly referred to as on-the-job
- 12 training by watching veteran officers how they do their job,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. That along with being supervised, and going to training
- 15 sessions through the training department, and going to the
- 16 range twice a year, and in-service training as well.
- 17 Q. I just want to focus on the on-the-job training. The
- 18 training that you would get from watching your senior officers,
- 19 how they perform their job, watching veteran officers, that's
- 20 part of the training. I know there are other parts, but that's
- 21 part of what you rely on too, correct?
- 22 A. Senior officers would show you the correct way to do
- 23 things.
- 24 Q. We can speed this along if you would simply just try to
- answer the question yes or no. If you can't, then that's fine. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

If you can answer the question yes or no, that would certainly 1 2 speed it along. 3

MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection.

THE COURT: That's a fair instruction.

- Q. One of the things you learned about when you got out of the police department was the concept of tossing somebody on the street, right?
- A. That's a term that's used.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

THE COURT: What does it mean?

THE WITNESS: Tossing to me, it means to search the person. When a person is arrested, we are putting them in a department vehicle. He is obviously under arrest. We have to search him to make sure he doesn't have any other contraband or weapons that could hurt another officer or hurt the individual himself. When we bring him into the precinct, he is searched again in front of the desk to make sure that we didn't miss any weapons or other contraband that he could hurt himself, one of us, or anybody else in the cells.

- Q. It also refers to when you frisk somebody, correct? 19
- 20 A. People can use that any way they want.
- 21 Q. That may be true, but in the police department, in your
- 22 experience, when people use the word tossing somebody, they
- 2.3 also refer to frisking somebody, correct?
- A. To me a toss would mean, did you search him? 24
- 25 Q. My question was, have you heard the term tossing used in SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

1 reference to not just searching somebody, but actually frisking 2 somebody?

- 3 THE COURT: Have you heard others use it that way is
- 4 what he is saying.
- 5 THE WITNESS: No.
- 6 Q. Does that term tossing mean to you frisking somebody?
- 7 A. No, it doesn't.
- 8 Q. Do you recall giving a deposition in this case?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Actually, I took that deposition. Do you recall that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. That was back in June of 2009, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You were present and you were represented by a lawyer for
- 15 the city, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Like today, you took an oath to tell the truth?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you took that oath seriously?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you recall being asked this question and giving these
- answers on page 77.
- "Q. Have you heard the term tossing?
- 24 "A. Yes.
- 25 "Q. What does that refer to? How have you heard that term? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct "A. It is a frisk." 2 MR. MARUTOLLO: Your Honor --3 THE COURT: He asked to see it. He asked if he could see it while you're reading. 4 5 MR. MOORE: I'm sorry. A. What page are we looking at? 6 7 Q. Page 77. It's line 10. I read to you line 10 through line 8 15. 9 Do you recall being asked the question, "Have you 10 heard the term tossing?" 11 And you said, "Yes." 12 And I asked you, "What does that refer to?" 13 You said, "It is a frisk." Do you recall being asked those questions and giving 14 15 those answers in your deposition? 16 A. Yes. 17 MR. MARUTOLLO: Just on this point, just for 18 clarification for the record as well, the next page, on page 78, line 11, the question: 19 20 "Q. Have you ever heard the term referred to as the activities 21 of officers on the street stopping and frisking and searching 22 people? 2.3 "A. When arrests are made, we search them out on the street." 24 That's also referring to the term or the definition of

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

25

tossing.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

- 1 THE COURT: That was unnecessary.
- 2 I got your point, Mr. Moore. He said it included
- 3 frisk.
- 4 BY MR. MOORE:
- 5 Q. So you recall being asked those questions and giving those
- 6 answers back in your deposition?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Now, let's talk a little about the UF-250 form. That's a
- 9 form that you fill out as a police officer when you engage in a
- 10 stop, question and frisk, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You were instructed by the police department while you were
- in the police academy how to fill out that form, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.

16

- 15 Q. Do you recall since the police academy --
 - MR. MOORE: One second, Judge.
- 17 Q. Since the time in the police academy, am I accurate, am I
- 18 correct in saying that you don't recall receiving any further
- 19 instruction from the police department concerning how to fill
- 20 out a UF-250 form, since you have been in the police academy?
- 21 A. We have had ongoing training since graduation.
- 22 Q. Let me direct your attention to page 65 of your deposition.
- 23 Do you have that page?
- I direct your attention to page 65, line 2. You were
- asked this question and did you give this answer.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

1 "Q. Since you have been in the police department after the time you were in the police academy, have you received any

further instruction on how to fill out a UF-250 form?

"A. I don't recall."

Then you were asked this further question:

"Q. Do you recall any legal bulletins that have been issued since the time you have been out of the police academy in the police department on the question of stop, question and frisk? I am sorry. Concerning the issue of how to fill out a UF-250 form?

"A. I don't recall."

Do you recall being asked those questions and giving those answers at your deposition?

14 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

- 15 Q. Your supervisor reviews your 250 form, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. They don't always review that with you in person, correct?
- 18 A. Sergeants?
- 19 O. Pardon?
- 20 A. The sergeants?
- 21 Q. Whoever the supervisor is, whether it's -- yes, sergeants.
- You don't always do it in person, correct?
- 23 A. When we hand them the 250, they look it over. They might
- have a conversation with you about it, about the stop, make
- some recommendations. And then they review it before they sign SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

- 1 it.
- 2 Q. But they don't always have a conversation with you about
- 3 the substance. Sometimes they do, but sometimes they don't,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Not always.
- 6 Q. Your answer is not always?
- 7 A. Not always.
- 8 Q. You don't always give the 250 form that you fill out to
- 9 your squad supervisor, right?
- 10 A. My squad supervisor, the desk officer, whoever is available
- 11 at that time.
- 12 Q. So it could be different supervisors and that could change
- 13 every day, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. When they are reviewing the 250 form that you give them,
- 16 they don't review any other documents that you have concerning
- that stop, correct, they just look at the 250 form?
- 18 A. Yes. That was the old policy.
- 19 Q. That's the policy that was in existence as of the time of
- 20 the deposition in 2009, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. When you say the old policy, what are you referring to?
- 23 Has there been a recent change?
- 24 A. We have a new policy.
- 25 Q. Just yes or no, has there been a recent change?

 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

 (212) 805-0300

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

1 A. Yes.

4

- 2 Q. When did that change take place?
- 3 A. A few months ago.
 - Q. I will hold off on that for a moment.

5 Let me show you what has been marked as Plaintiffs'

6 Exhibit 250 in evidence.

Now, this is not the handwritten copy. We don't have the handwritten copy. But this is the computerized version of your 250 form, correct?

- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You're familiar with these documents, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MR. MOORE: This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 250 in evidence, Judge.
- 15 Q. Now, it's your understanding, is it not, that you fill out
- 16 a 250 not just when you have reasonable suspicion to stop
- somebody, but any time you have a conversation with an
- individual on the street, correct?
- 19 A. Not always. Back then, sometimes -- a 250 is filled out at
- 20 reasonable suspicion. Since the stop, I have had several
- 21 training seminars, whether it be in the command or through the
- 22 training bureau, at Rodman's Neck at the range, and I have
- 23 learned through the experience that at reasonable suspicion all
- 24 250s should be filled out.
- Q. I don't know what your experience has been since the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

deposition. But as of the deposition, you had been a police

- 2 officer for, what, four-and-a-half years?
- 3 A. Approximately.
- 4 Q. At least at that deposition, you indicated that you fill
- 5 out a 250 any time you have a conversation with anybody on the
- 6 street, right? That was your testimony at your deposition.
- 7 A. Yes. I agree that I said that, but I wouldn't just fill
- 8 one out just talking to somebody in the community, a store
- 9 owner. I wouldn't fill out a 250 on that, any interaction with
- 10 a member of the community.
- 11 Q. But you believed at the time, at least at your deposition,
- 12 that the policy about documenting 250s -- about documenting
- 13 stops with a 250, it's filled out when you have a conversation
- 14 with somebody out there and you ask them a few questions,
- that's what you testified to in your deposition, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, I agree to that. But I wouldn't always just -- if I
- was talking to somebody and asking them investigatory
- 18 questions, obviously I started out with suspicion, I felt they
- 19 were doing something wrong, that something was not right.
- 20 Q. At least at the time of your deposition, even if you didn't
- 21 have reasonable suspicion to stop somebody, it was your
- 22 understanding and practice at the time to still fill out a 250,
- 23 right?
- 24 A. I said that. But I wouldn't always fill out 250 with
- 25 everybody I interacted with that day.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

- 1 Q. I am just asking at this point what you said.
- 2 A. I agree with that.
- 3 Q. And that's what you told us back in 2009, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. At least as of that point, nobody in the police department
- 6 had ever told you there was anything wrong with doing that,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. I have never been spoken to about my 250s.
- 9 Q. No supervisor ever told you what you were doing was in
- 10 violation of departmental policy or what you were doing was
- 11 wrong, correct, at least as of 2009, right?
- 12 A. I wasn't told that I was doing anything wrong.
- 13 Q. Now, you learned about the idea of reasonable suspicion, or
- 14 the concept of reasonable suspicion, you learned about that
- 15 when you were in the academy, correct, you had training on
- 16 that?
- 17 A. Yes, in the academy, after the academy at the range,
- 18 several other training seminars.
- 19 Q. You also increased your knowledge of what reasonable
- 20 suspicion was once you became a police officer and you were out
- 21 on the street, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you learned about it from observing more experienced
- officers, that's in part how you learned about it, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct

1 Q. And you would agree with me that your understanding of what

- 2 reasonable suspicion is is a broad concept, correct?
- 3 A. I since have different opinions on it and a new background
- 4 of information. Reasonable suspicion to me is I reasonably
- 5 suspect that a crime has occurred or is about to occur.
- 6 Q. As you testified at your deposition, it could include
- 7 almost anything, like people acting nervous could be reasonable
- 8 suspicion, correct?
- 9 A. Of course. That's one of the traits.
- 10 Q. People running away from a crime scene, that would be
- 11 reasonable suspicion, right?
- 12 A. Not just running away.
- 13 Q. Just if you could listen to my question and try to respond
- 14 to my question. OK?
- 15 A. OK.

22

- MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. I think he was trying to respond to the question.
- 18 THE COURT: Are you limiting it to the deposition 19 testimony?
- MR. MOORE: I am limiting it to his understanding as of the time of the deposition.
 - THE COURT: What was the date of the deposition?
- 23 MR. MOORE: June of 2009.
- 24 THE COURT: So he is trying to take you back then.
- 25 At that time, June of '09, was it your understanding SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

	D4I8FLO4 Moran - direct
1	that somebody running away would be reasonable suspicion for a
2	stop? At that time, is that what you believed?
3	THE WITNESS: It would have to be coupled with a
4	couple of other things.
5	THE COURT: At that time, is that your belief, that
6	running away was enough for reasonable suspicion?
7	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't be at reasonable suspicion
8	then.
9	THE COURT: Even then. So you wouldn't have thought
LO	that was enough?
11	THE WITNESS: Something else would have come in.
12	THE COURT: I am just trying to get what you thought
13	then.
L 4	(Continued on next page)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct Q. Is it your opinion that reasonable suspicion could include looking at -- seeing a situation -- that the situation you're 3 viewing is not what it seems? Would that be reasonable 4 suspicion? 5 THE COURT: Meaning was that what he thought then? 6 MR. MOORE: I'm going to ask him now. THE COURT: Is that what you think right now? 7 8 THE WITNESS: That wouldn't be reasonable suspicion to 9 me. 10 Q. But you recall testifying at your deposition that that --11 that reasonable suspicion could include that the situation is 12 not what it seems? That's what you testified to at your 13 deposition, correct? 14 A. I don't recall what I stated. Q. Why don't you look at page 98. 15 MR. MARUTOLLO: What line? 16 17 THE WITNESS: Which line, sir? 18 MR. MOORE: I'm sorry, page 99. Look at the answer --19 to yourself read the question beginning on line 6 and then the 20 answer begins on line 10 and goes to line 17. 21 THE WITNESS: I said here that I believe a crime has 22 occurred and that somebody might be hiding something from me. 2.3 The situation is kind of not what it seems. But the person 24 could have been giving me evasive answers. He could have been 25 looking from side to side. He was shaking a little bit. He

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

wasn't -- he was nervous.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Q. So does that refresh your recollection that when you talk about reasonable suspicion part of what it includes is believing that the situation is not what it seems.

Does that refresh your recollection?

A. It says it right here. And I explained that what I believe when it says it's not what it seems. Other factors have to come in for me to suspect that something is not right, the person's demeanor. Is he sweating from just running down the block?

Q. So let me -- Judge, I just want to read the question and the answer. On page --

 $\,$ MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor. I think it's improper impeachment at this point. He answered what he just said.

THE COURT: I don't know that.

MR. MOORE: I think he added some stuff to it. THE COURT: I don't know that until I hear it.

MR. MOORE: The question that was posed to you back at your deposition on page 99 beginning on line 6, "Let's talk about the stop and frisk generally. Can you give me what you understand to be a definition of reasonable suspicion?
"A Where, when contradicting factors exist that you believe a

"A. Where, when contradicting factors exist that you believe a crime has occurred in, or somebody is hiding something from you. That the situation is not what it seems. That there is

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 evidence of something could have happened and that you are not

- 2 seeing. That the situation in front of you is not what it
- 3 seems."
- 4 Q. Do you recall being asked that question and giving that
- 5 answer back in June of 2009?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. I read that accurately, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that's the answer you gave back then, right?
- 10 A. That was the answer back in 2009.
- 11 Q. Is that still your answer today to that question?
- 12 A. No. I would address it better. I have an expanded
- 13 definition now.
- 14 Q. Now from time to time if you have questions about your --
- 15 how you perform your police duty you would go to your sergeant
- or your supervisor, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And the sergeants would not be there with you while you
- 19 were out on patrol but you would see them once or twice during
- the tour, correct?
- 21 A. They would visit us frequently.
- 22 Q. And in addition to talking about reasonable suspicion, you
- also came to understand what the term furtive movement meant,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 Q. And you believe that the concept of furtive movement is a

- very broad concept, right?
- 3 A. It could mean different --
- 4 $\,$ Q. Can you answer that question yes or no. Is it a very broad
- 5 concept?
- 6 A. That's what I said.
- 7 Q. It could be someone who is changing direction, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. It could be someone walking a certain way?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. It could be something -- acting a little suspicious, right?
- 12 A. It could be going in and out of his pocket. He could be
- 13 going in and out of a location.
- 14 Q. I'm asking you. It could be somebody acting a little
- 15 suspicious, right?
- 16 A. Furtive movements to me is making a movement that is not
- 17 regular. They're very fidgety. They're doing -- moving in and
- 18 out of a car too quickly or a house. They're constantly
- 19 looking over their shoulder. You might be -- they might walk
- 20 up to you on the street and all of a sudden they're adjusting
- 21 their hip or their belt or they're maybe trying to hide
- 22 something from you. That's a furtive movement to me.
- 23 Q. Is acting a little suspicious -- if you could just answer
- 24 this question -- is acting a little suspicious what you would
- 25 consider to be a furtive movement?

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 A. How suspicious?
- 2 Q. Can you answer that yes or no?
- 3 A. Suspicious?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Acting a little suspicious.
- A. If somebody is acting suspicious to me --

MR. MOORE: Judge.

THE COURT: He's trying. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I would, you know, excuse me.

Suspicious means to me, like I just stated, you know, maybe they're changing the direction at the sight of an officer. They looking back and forth constantly. They're looking over

12 their shoulder.

THE COURT: So the point is any of those activities that are acting suspicious would be enough for reasonable suspicion; is that right?

THE WITNESS: It would help me establish suspicion on the person and during the conversation I would have with them.

- Q. Do you recall being asked in your deposition the following question and giving the following answer on page 97, line 23?
- 20 "Q. Why don't you hand me this document for a minute? Can you
- 21 tell me what you understand to be furtive behavior?
- 22 "A. Somebody who is maybe changing direction at the sight of
- 23 an officer, someone who is walking a certain way. Acting a
- 24 little suspicious. Turning a part of their body away from you.
- 25 Grabbing at a certain pocket or something at their waist.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 Getting a little nervous, maybe shaking. Walking toward you.

They see you and they stop and they rethink what they are going to do, maybe turn the other way."

4 Do you recall being asked that question and giving 5 that answer?

- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. In fact, you believe a furtive movement can even be how somebody answers a question, right?
- 9 A. Depending on how they answered it.
- 10 Q. So it doesn't have to be a movement. It could be just a 11 movement of their mouth, right?

In other words, it's vocal? A furtive movement can be vocal, correct?

- 14 A. Depending on how they said it, if they stuttered. That could arise my suspicion.
- Q. So if you're presented with a situation and it's a situation that's not what it seems, that may -- that would be a furtive movement to you, correct?
- 19 A. I didn't say it would be a furtive movement.

It could be -- a couple other things would have to come in there. To me they are acting a little bit out of the normal, they could be moving their mouth or you know their heart could be pounding and they could be stuttering. That could be -- I can consider that -- fall under the umbrella of a furtive movement.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 Q. Let's look at the incident involving Mr. Ourlicht that

- 2 occurred on January 30, 2008. You were working in the school
- 3 unit on that day, correct?
- 4 A. The youth unit.
- 5 Q. And you were riding in a scooter, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Not a police -- the car but a scooter?
- 8 A. Three-wheel enclosed scooter.
- 9 Q. And you had an encounter that day with an individual named
- 10 David Ourlicht, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And that occurred about 2:15 in the afternoon, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And at the location of the intersection of 85th Avenue and
- 15 164th Street in Queens, New York, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And prior to that time you had observed Mr. Ourlicht --
- 18 prior to going up to him you had observed him for a couple of
- 19 minutes, correct?
- 20 A. Yes. Approximately two minutes.
- 21 Q. And that was a winter day, right, January 30, 2008?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And do you recall whether it was cold outside?
- 24 A. I don't recall the exact temperature.
- 25 Q. And what precinct is that in?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 A. 107th.
- 2 Q. And you were going north on 164th Street, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. In your scooter?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And you saw this gentleman walking north on the sidewalk to
- 7 your right, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And how was he dressed? Do you remember?
- 10 A. He had a dark colored coat on. Perhaps a baseball hat.
- 11 Some kind of jeans or pants.
- 12 Q. And is that near St. John's University?
- 13 A. It's in the area. About a mile away.
- Q. And how old did David Ourlicht appear to you to be at that
- 15 point?
- 16 A. Teens.
- 17 Q. In his teens?
- 18 A. You know, 18, 19.
- 19 Q. And when you first saw him you observed him, you came up to
- 20 him from behind, correct?
- 21 A. I was driving northbound on the scooter in the right-hand
- 22 lane.
- 23 Q. So you were coming up from behind him, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And you noticed as you were coming up that he was favoring SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 the right side, correct?
- 2 A. Yeah. He was blading his body to the right.
- 3 Q. You used term blading. Can you tell us what blading is.
 - A. Blading is a term that I learned in my training and
- 5 experience.

4

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. After you left the academy?
- 7 A. In the academy. Even out of the academy. Through tactical training classes that we attend.
- 9 Q. Tell us what blading is.
- 10 A. Blading is when you're turning a certain part of your body 11 protecting it. 12 In this case Mr. Ourlicht was blading the right side

In this case Mr. Ourlicht was blading the right side of his body. He was holding it to the rear. His left hip was a little forward and his right hip was slanted towards the rear. And it looked like he was favoring something, protecting something on his right-hand side.

And as he was walking, he was walking a little weird. It wasn't normal. Every time he took a step it looked like something on that right hip waist area was preventing him from taking a normal step. And he was showing favoritism to that right hip.

Q. Let me just describe for the record what you did because you made some movements and I just want to try to describe for the record.

MR. MOORE: Is that appropriate, Judge?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 THE COURT: Yes.

- Q. So you were saying that favoring the right side. You turned your body from right to left so that your left shoulder
- 4 was sort of in front of your right shoulder, correct?
- 5 A. Yeah. If I can, your Honor?
- 6 His left shoulder, left hip were in front -- were 7 pointing out and his right hip, right shoulder was towards the
- 8 rear that he was trying to kind of hide something from like
- 9 somebody maybe that was approaching him or somebody from the
- 10 side to him, that he didn't want them to see.
- 11 Q. Right. And you thought he was hiding something on the
- 12 right side of his body, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. To the right of him was some kind of retainer wall,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And to the left was the street, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And he was, as you say, keeping to sort of the right side
- of the wall, correct?
- 21 A. Yes, he was to the right side of the wall.
- 22 Q. And you pulled up to him, correct?
- 23 A. I passed him.
- 24 Q. You pulled your car up to him and you continued to drive
- 25 past him?

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 A. I passed him. I came up from behind. I drove past him. I observed him.

3 Q. Try to answer my question, Officer.

THE WITNESS: Judge, could I finish my answer?

THE COURT: Please.

THE WITNESS: I drove from behind him. I observed him. I observed him blading his body to the right. I observed a bulge coming from his right waist hip area that was protruding out from under his clothes. I proceeded up the street to the next intersection where I pulled over. I exited the vehicle. And Mr. Ourlicht walked up.

MR. MOORE: Judge could we end the narrative at this point so I could ask some questions about this.

Q. So you pulled in front of him, correct?

15 And you were in a vehicle that was identified as a New 16 York police department vehicle, correct?

- 17 A. Yes. I proceeded --
- 18 Q. You went by him, right?
- 19 A. I proceeded to the next intersection.
- 20 Q. And you went to the next intersection.
 - How far did you go up to the next intersection?
- 22 A. About 15, 20 feet, approximately.
- 23 Q. And as you did that, you observed him in the mirror,
- 24 correct?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

21

25 A. I kept sight of him the whole time.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 Q. And you didn't see him turn away from you as you passed
- 2 him, right?
- 3 A. Turn away how?
- 4 Q. You didn't -- did you see him turn away from you as you
- 5 drove by?
- 6 A. He continued walking north on 164th Street.
- 7 Q. And he continued to walk up the street in the same manner
- 8 as he had been walking up the street before you got there,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. He was walking up the street how I observed him when I
- 11 first saw him.
- 12 Q. So he didn't change the manner in which he was walking,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. And he wasn't doing anything differently after you passed
- him than he was before you passed him, right?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. He didn't move his hands at any point while you were --
- 19 after you passed him, right, as you were observing him?
- 20 Correct?
- 21 A. I don't recall.
- 22 Q. He was just walking in the same, what you described as the
- 23 same suspicious style that you had first observed him in,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. It wasn't normal. It was weird.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 Q. Did you use the term suspicious style?
- 2 A. It was a little suspicious to me. It made me feel uneasy
- 3 and that the way he was walking and the bulge sticking out from
- 4 underneath his clothes just the way he was favoring the --
- 5 Q. So the bulge was sticking out from underneath his clothes?
- 6 A. I'm sorry. It was underneath like his jacket. It was
- 7 protruding out from under.
- 8 Q. You just said the bulge was sticking out underneath his
- 9 clothes. That was a misstatement, right?
- 10 A. The bulge was underneath -- something was tucked -- I
- 11 observed something that was tucked in underneath the jacket.
- 12 Q. In fact, you observed something that ran -- this is what
- 13 you testified to at the deposition -- that ran from his hip,
- 14 along his ribs, up to his armpit, right?
- 15 A. Yeah. The rib cage.
- 16 Q. So this was not just like a cellphone or something?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. This was an object that was, you know, a foot-and-a-half,
- 19 two feet, correct?
- 20 A. It was definitely big.
- 21 Q. Right. And so based on that you decided to stop him?
- 22 A. Yes. By the way he was walking, the way --
- 23 Q. The answer to that is you decided to stop him, right?
- 24 A. Yes. He walked up to me.
- 25 Q. In fact, he just walked up to you, right?

 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

 (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. He didn't try to go in another direction, right?
- 3 A. No, he walked --
- 4 Q. He didn't turn around and walk away?
- 5 A. No. He walked up to me.
- 6 Q. And then you had a conversation with him at that point,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you said to him -- you asked him what he was doing
- 10 there, right?
- 11 A. Might have been one of the questions.
- 12 Q. Well was that one of the questions you asked him, What are
- you doing here?
- 14 A. I don't recall what my exact words were but --
- 15 Q. In sum and substance you asked him, What are you doing
- 16 here, right?
- 17 A. I don't recall what exactly I said.
- 18 Q. Do you recall him saying to you: What?
 - And then you saying to him: Do you go to school
- 20 around here?

19

- Do you recall him saying that?
- 22 A. I don't recall.
- 23 Q. Did he mention that he went to school at St. John's?
- 24 A. I don't --
- Q. He had a St. John's ID, didn't he?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. I don't think he even

2 answered the question.

MR. MOORE: I'm sorry.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall the ID he had.

- 5 Q. Did he have a St. John's ID? You don't recall that, right?
 - A. I don't recall.
- 7 Q. Did he ask you why are you pulling me over?
- 8 A. He did state that.
- 9 Q. And he -- did he say: I haven't done anything wrong. Why
- 10 are you pulling me over?
- 11 A. Right from the start --
- 12 Q. Or words to that effect. Did he say: I haven't done
- 13 anything wrong.

3

4

6

- 14 A. Perhaps he said that.
- 15 Q. Okay. And did you tell him you were pulling him over
- 16 because he looked like he had a gun and he was acting
- 17 suspicious? Did you tell him that?
- 18 A. I didn't pull him over.
- 19 Q. Well, you stopped him and you --
- 20 A. He walked up to me.
- 21 Q. Stopped him from walking because -- did you tell him you
- 22 stopped him because he looked like he had a gun and he was
- 23 acting suspicious? Did you tell him that?
- 24 A. Yes. I informed him of why I was having a conversation
- 25 with him. I explained it in a calm manner. And he was very SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 irate. He was using obscene language. He was screaming.

- Q. He wasn't doing that until you stopped him, right?
- 3 A. He did that upon our conversation.
- 4 $\,$ Q. He was just walking down the street when you first saw him,
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And he got irate when you stopped him. And he said: Why
- 8 are you stopping me? I haven't done anything wrong.
- 9 Is that your testimony?
- 10 A. I forget what the exact --
- 11 Q. But in sum and substance that's why he got irate, because
- 12 he felt in your judgment --
- 13 A. He did say: I haven't done anything wrong.
- 14 Q. Right.

19

2.3

- 15 And then he told you that he was just walking back to
- 16 his apartment, right?
- 17 A. I don't recall that.
- 18 Q. Did he tell you he was a student at St. John's?
 - MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. Asked and answered.
- MR. MOORE: No I asked him about the student ID.
- 21 MR. MARUTOLLO: You did ask him that but you didn't
- 22 let him finish his answer.
 - THE COURT: You said you didn't recall a student ID.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I didn't recall.
- 25 THE COURT: But did he tell you he was a student at SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 St. John's?
- THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
- Q. Did he tell you he lived right around the corner at 165-15 Chapin Court?
- 5 A. No. He didn't state that. He stated he lived at another 6 address.
- 7 Q. Well he gave you an ID that had another address, right?
- 8 A. I believe he verbally said that to me.
- 9 Q. 165-15 Chapin Court, is that right around the corner from where you were?
- 11 A. That's in the area.
- 12 Q. And when he came up to you, you frisked him, right?
- 13 A. I began a conversation. And for my safety and the safety
- of the members of the community I frisked the bulge on the
- 15 right side of him.
- 16 Q. Now, when he came up to you, you weren't concerned about
- 17 whether he fit some description of somebody who had just
- 18 committed a crime, right?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. You weren't aware of any preexisting call or any
- 21 description that went over the radio saying look for this
- 22 person, he just committed a crime? You weren't aware of that,
- 23 right?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. He didn't fit the description of someone who had just been SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct reported to you over the radio, correct?

2 A. No.

4

9

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

2.3

24

25

- 3 Q. And he wasn't carrying anything in his hands, right?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. You don't recall? You don't recall -- really? You didn't recall him carrying anything in his hands?

7 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor. Asked and 8 answered.

THE COURT: He just said that.

- 10 Q. Isn't that the first thing you would look at as a police officer when you stop somebody?
- 12 A. Of course it was. He didn't have anything that could hurt 13 me or anybody else. 14 THE COURT: Maybe what the lawyer is saying, it's not

THE COURT: Maybe what the lawyer is saying, it's not a matter of don't recall. Maybe you do know that he wasn't carrying anything in his hands.

THE WITNESS: I just don't remember -- what I meant to say is I don't remember -- obviously, I look at people's hands all the time. That's the first thing I look at when I'm having a conversation with somebody.

THE COURT: So you would recall if he had something in his hands, wouldn't you?

THE WITNESS: If he had a cellphone in his hand or a pair of gloves in his hand, he might have. But it wasn't a threat to me. So I really couldn't remember. I didn't want to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 say yes because I really didn't remember.

2 THE COURT: I see.

- Q. So you stopped him and you frisked him because he -- of the bulge and the fact that you thought he was walking suspiciously up the street, right?
 - A. Yeah. It wasn't a normal walk.
- Q. But isn't that one and the same thing? Wasn't he walking 7
- 8 suspiciously because there appeared to be something on his
- 9 right side? So isn't that one and the same thing?
- 10 A. Well it's suspicious to me and coupled with the bulge.
- 11 Q. My question is -- maybe I'm not clear.

12 Wasn't the walking suspiciously caused by what you

13 believed was some kind of bulge on his right side?

14 A. The bulge and also --

15 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor. Calls for

16 speculation.

17 THE COURT: I guess what the lawyer is saying is you

18 believed that the unusual walk was caused by the bulge? 19

THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

- 21 Q. And so you frisked him and you didn't find anything, right?
- 22 A. No.

3

4

5

6

- 2.3 Q. So the situation was not what it seemed, right?
- 24 A. How so?

25 THE COURT: I mean -- was there any object on that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 side where you thought there was?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall what was there.

3 THE COURT: Well --

THE WITNESS: There was nothing that was a dangerous

- 5 weapon or any contraband, nothing there that could hurt 6 anybody.
- 7 Q. There was nothing there period, was there Officer?
- 8 A. Sorry?

4

- 9 Q. There was nothing there?
- 10 A. I don't recall what was there.
- 11 Q. You didn't write anything down about what was there?
- 12 A. No, I didn't.
- 13 Q. You didn't enter that in your UF 250 or your memo book or
- 14 any form that you wrote, correct?
- 15 A. I didn't write that down.
- 16 Q. One of the things do you recall Mr. Ourlicht saying to you
- is -- withdraw that.
- 18 You say that Mr. Ourlicht got irate, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you see him take out a piece of paper and a pen and
- 21 begin to write your name and shield number down? That's one of
- the things he did, right?
- 23 A. I don't recall that.
- Q. You don't recall that.

Do you recall him saying to you: You you're getting SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct my information now I want yours. A. I don't recall that. 3 Q. And do you recall saying to him: All right. Now you're 4 going to get the full treatment. 5 Do you recall saying that to him? 6 A. I don't recall that. Q. Do you know what the full treatment is? Have you ever 7 8 heard that term in the police department? 9 A. No, I haven't. 10 Q. The full treatment, did you understand the full treatment 11 here to be you were going to search him from head to toe? 12 A. I don't recall saying that. 13 Q. Is that -- you've never heard that term in the police 14 department, the full treatment? 15 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. Asked and answered. 16 THE COURT: Well just want to be sure. 17 The full treatment, that's a term you haven't heard? 18 THE WITNESS: I have not heard that term. 19 Q. And you've never used that term, right? 20 A. I don't recall using it. Q. In conjunction with your police work? 21 A. I don't recall using that. 22 2.3 Q. So you might have, right?

> THE COURT: Well when you say I don't recall, it's SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor.

24 25

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 kind of a -- not a clear answer.
- 2 THE WITNESS: I don't remember it in that aspect of

3 interacting with people. I don't use that word.

THE COURT: Okay. So then you do recall. You haven't used that term in your police work, right?

6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. No.

- 7 THE COURT: Okay. He hasn't.
- 8 Q. Now, so you filled out this UF 250 form, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And looking at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 250, you put all his
- 11 pedigree information in there, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you indicated the circumstances leading to the stop.
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And you put -- now we don't have your actual -- the one,
- 17 the form that you actually filled out by hand. Do you recall
- 18 filling out, checking both boxes?
- 19 A. I recall filling the card out completely.
- 20 Q. So you think you filled out both those boxes where it says
- 21 circumstances leading to stop?
- 22 A. Yes, I believe I did.
- Q. You never described what was the bulge, right?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Well, you never described it because there was nothing SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 there, right, nothing to describe?
- 2 A. I don't recall what was there.
- 3 Q. Did you put down that there was nothing there? Did you put
- 4 that down anywhere?
- 5 A. That's not written here.
- 6 Q. Okay. If in doing a frisk when you see somebody who is --
- 7 whom you suspect has a bulge and that's suspicious to you, a
- 8 suspicious bulge, and there was nothing there, would you write
- 9 that down anywhere? Stopped him because of suspicious bulge
- 10 but there was nothing there?
- 11 A. In this case I didn't.
- 12 Q. Is that what you're instructed to do though as a police
- 13 officer?
- 14 A. We're instructed to identify.
- 15 Q. But if there's nothing there are you instructed to put
- nothing there, there was nothing there?
- 17 A. You're instructed to put whatever is relevant. Maybe write
- 18 that in your memo book to help you recollect at a later time.
- 19 Q. Would it be relevant to put down if you stopped somebody
- 20 because they had a suspicious bulge and there was nothing
- 21 there, particularly one that ran from their armpit to their
- 22 hip, would it be relevant to put either in your 250 or your
- 23 memo book searched, frisked him, and there was nothing there?
- MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor. First to the
- form of the question but also I think it lacks foundation SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct because the officer has testified that he doesn't remember 2 whether there was or wasn't something there, not that there was 3 nothing there. 4 THE COURT: This time I would allow the hypothetical 5 question. 6 If it turned out there was nothing there, would that 7 be important to note in the memo book, do you think? 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah whatever helps the officer 9 recollect. 10 THE COURT: So your answer is yes. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 MR. MOORE: Thank you, Judge. 13 Q. Now you never actually -- other than just saying --14 withdraw that. 15 You also -- you carry a memo book as a police officer, 16 correct? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Showing you what's previously been introduced into evidence, I think, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 249. 19 20 Is that the front page of your memo book for the 21 relevant period? 22 A. Yes. 2.3 Q. And that's the supervisory officers. Whose signatures are 24 those?

25 A. I don't know who is up top. On the bottom is --SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 Q. Sergeant Hegney, right?
- 2 MR. MARUTOLLO: I'm sorry. Just to clarify. Was the
- 3 question for both supervisory officers?
- 4 MR. MOORE: You didn't recognize the one on the top,
- 5 right?

6

- THE WITNESS: No.
- 7 Q. But you recognize the one on the bottom, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that's Sergeant Hegney? He was your supervisor of the
- 10 school unit that day, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. This is the beginning of your entry for that day, right,
- 13 January 30, 2008?
- 14 A. Correct. I was assigned to family court.
- 15 Q. And then there's an entry that appears at 1415. Do you see
- 16 that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Why don't you read that to us.
- 19 A. Male stopped. 164th Street.
- 20 Q. Read it slowly because the court reporter --
- 21 A. 1415. Male stopped. 164. 85th Avenue. Suspicious bulge.
- 22 93 Queens is I filled out a report. 96 is a summons was
- 23 issued.
- 24 Q. And then that's all the facts related to the actual stop,
- 25 correct?

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor.
- 3 THE WITNESS: The respondent was screaming and yelling
- 4 in public causing -- using obscene language, causing a crowd to gather.
- 6 Q. And that occurred after you had already stopped him, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. He wasn't yelling and screaming before you went up to him,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. Once I started a conversation he became very irate,
- 11 noncompliant.
- 12 Q. Well noncompliant. What do you mean by noncompliant? You
- 13 told him to get up against the wall -- up against that wall and
- 14 you searched him, right?
- 15 A. Not in the beginning.
- 16 Q. Did he get up against the wall and you searched him? Yes
- 17 or no, Officer.
- 18 A. In the beginning I started to question -- asked him a
- 19 question. Began a conversation. I frisked him. He became
- 20 very irate. He was yelling obscenities, acting in a
- 21 threatening behavior. He stated he wanted to fight me.
- 22 Q. Right. You felt threatened, right?
- 23 A. Yes. I was threatened. I was by myself.
- Q. You never handcuffed him, right?
- 25 A. I did not handcuff him.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

1 At that time when he was making threats and said he

- 2 wanted to fight me, and after I had frisked him and found
- nothing, I reasonably suspected at that time that he was hiding something else from me.
- 5 Q. You didn't write that down anywhere, right?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. So just in terms of what you wrote about the stop, what you
- 8 wrote -- how you -- the reason for the stop is suspicious
- 9 bulge, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And nothing else is described in there, correct?
- 12 A. That's what it says.
- 13 Q. And at the time of the stop you didn't -- you don't recall,
- 14 you don't remember if you were required to make a memo book
- 15 entry about the stop -- an entry about the stop in your memo
- 16 book, right? You weren't aware that you had a requirement to
- do that, to make an entry in your memo book about the stop,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. We have to document jobs that we have, that we do. It's
- 20 required.
- 21 Q. Direct your attention to page 147.
- 22 Again at your deposition, which was in June of 2009.
- 23 A. 147?
- Q. Yes. Directing your attention to line 8.
- 25 "Q. Now when you fill out a UF 250, you are also supposed to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

fill out into the details of that stop, fill out the details of

- 2 that stop in your activity log -- it says long but it's
- 3 obviously log -- is that correct?
- 4 "A. I don't recall if it was required to make the entry into
- 5 the memo book."
- 6 Do you recall being asked that question and giving
- 7 that answer at your deposition?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And as of the date of this stop, January 30, 2008, you had
- 10 been a police officer for almost four years, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you had made numerous stops over that time, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And you had numerous UF 250s and memo books reviewed by
- 15 your supervisors, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And you never recall being told to make an entry into your
- 18 memo book about a stop, right?
- 19 A. That's what I said.
- 20 Q. Yeah, right.
- 21 A. At that time.
- 22 Q. You were not even aware, were you, that a complaint had
- 23 been made against you by Mr. Ourlicht, correct?
- 24 A. I was aware at some time.
- 25 Q. You were aware at your deposition, right? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 A. Of course.
- 2 Q. You weren't aware at the time of the complaint though, were
- 3 you?
- 4 A. I was asked for my memo book once.
- 5 Q. You were not aware that a complaint had been filed against
- 6 you as of the date of the deposition, correct?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. That's correct, right?
- 9 A. My memo book, somebody asked me for my memo book.
- 10 THE COURT: But you weren't aware there was a
- 11 complaint filed? 12 THE WITH
 - THE WITNESS: No.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay.
- 14 Q. You talked at some point to your ICO about it. But that
- 15 wasn't your supervisor, right?
- 16 A. No, he wasn't.
- 17 Q. You never -- you don't recall ever talking to Sergeant
- 18 Hegney about this stop, do you?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. You don't recall being questioned by him about this stop,
- 21 do you?
- 22 A. No, I don't remember.
- 23 Q. Do you recall telling Sergeant Hegney at any point that the
- 24 perp fit the description of a man with a gun.
- Do you recall telling Sergeant Hegney that at any SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct

- 1 point?
- 2 A. I don't recall that.
- 3 Q. Did he ever tell you that that's what he wrote in a form
- 4 that he filled -- with respect to a complaint made against you,
- 5 did he ever tell you that?
- 6 A. I don't recall a conversation with him about that.
- 7 Q. You became aware that that's what Sergeant Hegney said at
- 8 your deposition, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And at that time you were still being supervised by
- 11 Sergeant Hegney, right?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Was he still in the 107th precinct?
- 14 A. No. He was transferred.
- 15 Q. So you never went and after the deposition after you
- 16 became aware of that, you didn't go ask Sergeant Hegney why he
- 17 said that, right?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 MR. MOORE: Judge, I have nothing further.
- 20 THE COURT: Just before you start let me just review
- 21 who are the witnesses tomorrow that you expect.
- 22 MS. BORCHETTA: The witnesses tomorrow will be -- if
- 23 we don't finish Officer Moran, Officer Moran or Sergeant, I'm
- 24 sorry.

25

Then class member Leroy Downs. Class member David SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - direct Ourlicht. And Inspector McGuire. 1 2 MS. PUBLICKER: Deputy. 3 MS. BORCHETTA: Deputy. Sorry. McGuire. 4 THE COURT: That's it? 5 MS. BORCHETTA: Ourlicht is a named plaintiff. 6 THE COURT: So I understand. Downs, Ourlicht and 7 McGuire is the expectation? 8 MS. BORCHETTA: Yes, your Honor. Although we don't 9 think that that will take an entire day and my understanding 10 from the city is that they will be --11 MR. MARUTOLLO: Your Honor, if I may, Detective 12 Vizcarrondo, who was originally on Plaintiffs' Exhibit witness 13 list but is now on ours or will be on our witness list that 14 will be submitted to you did come this afternoon. He should, I 15 believe, be available tomorrow afternoon, should the need 16 arise. But that may change. 17 THE COURT: I understand. 18 Okay. Thank you. Go ahead. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. MARUTOLLO: 21 Q. Good afternoon again, Sergeant Moran. A. Good afternoon. 22 23 Q. What is your educational background? 24 A. I have a bachelor degree from the University of 25 Massachusetts at Amherst.

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross

1 Q. How long have you been employed by the NYPD?

- 2 A. Approximately nine years.
- 3 Q. And did you attend and graduate from the police academy?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Can you briefly describe your assignments at the NYPD since graduating from the police academy?
- A. 103rd precinct. I was assigned to patrol.

I was transferred approximately eight months later to the 107th precinct, where I was assigned to patrol and in the school unit, youth unit. I was there until June of 2009 when I went to the medical division, where I was administrative officer.

Then two months after that I was at the housing borough Brooklyn in another administrative capacity.

Then I was assigned back to the 107th precinct in August of 2009. And I went into the -- back to the school unit.

18 And in November of 2010 I was promoted to sergeant.

- 19 And I was placed at the 83rd precinct.
- Q. And, I'm sorry, when were you promoted to sergeant,
- 21 November 2010?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And since becoming sergeant what have been your general
- 24 duties and responsibilities?
- 25 A. Supervising officers under my command. Assigning duties SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross

1 and tasks that day. Training. Ensuring everybody gets back

- 2 alive at the end of the tour. Everybody knows what they're
- 3 doing. Frequently visiting them while out on patrol and making
- 4 sure they're filling out department forms and handling
- 5 complaints by the community correctly.
- 6 Q. Now as a sergeant have you ever told an officer that you
- 7 supervised that he should increase the number of stops he
- 8 performs?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Now, on January 30, 2008 you testified that you were in the
- 11 youth team of the school detail unit; is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And while in the school unit or the youth unit, did you
- 14 attend meetings at the start of your tour?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What would be discussed at these meetings at the start of
- 17 your tour?
- 18 A. Assignments for the day would be given out. Precinct crime
- 19 trends would be talked about. Areas where we need to have more
- 20 presence. We talked about training or any kind of incidents
- 21 that happened, we talked them over, and have our questions
- 22 answered.
- 23 Q. I'd like to show you, Sergeant Moran, a document that's
- 24 been premarked as Defendants' Exhibit Z10. So I'm handing you
- what's been premarked as Defendants' Exhibit Z10. What is this SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross document? 2 A. This was a monthly activity report. 3 Q. And what months are listed in this document? 4 A. December 2007, January 2008, February 2008. 5 MR. MARUTOLLO: So, your Honor we would just like to enter into evidence parts of Exhibit Z10 which is NYC 8320 6 7 through NYC 8335. The rest of the document I believe may have 8 already been entered into evidence from a different officer. 9 THE COURT: Any objection? 10 MR. MOORE: The problem is that the document has -- it 11 has these performance sheets for other officers. 12 MR. MARUTOLLO: We're not admitting those other 13 officers. Only for Officer Moran. 14 THE COURT: By the time he puts it in the record as a 15 physical exhibit it's just going to be this officer. 16 MR. MOORE: All right. 17 THE COURT: So those --18 MR. MOORE: No. 19 THE COURT: So those portions of Z10 that relate to 20 this officer are admitted. (Defendants' Exhibit Z10 received in evidence) 21 Q. Officer Moran, if you could just turn your attention to 22 2.3 January of 2008, that monthly activity report. What were your 24 declared conditions in January of 2008?

A. Quality of life conditions, index crimes, youth related SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

25

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross

- home visits.
- Q. And what does youth related home visits mean?
- 3 A. I was part of the youth unit. We made home visits to youth 4 students in the areas that were chronically truant. They were 5 getting in trouble at school. They were getting arrested. 6

They were having juvenile reports generated.

7 We would go out to the home, talk with mom and dad and 8 the child, try to see what was wrong, why they were getting 9 into trouble, why they are always late to school. Try to 10 recommend some activities via the PAL, after-school sports, 11 trying to steer them -- get them back on the right track and 12 kind of right the wrong.

13 Q. Okay. Thank you.

And on the left-hand column, January 2008, where it says youth, what does that mean?

- A. I was part of the youth -- it was the youth -- for that assignment that day I was like the youth car out there.
- 18 Q. So you were on assignment those days?
- A. I was doing youth work, admin work, maybe we were doing 19
- 20 truants, or we were handling jobs in the schools in the area.
- 21 Q. Now were there any days in January 2008 where you did not
- 2.2 fill out a UF 250?
- 2.3 A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

- 24 Q. And on those days were you punished in any way by your
- 25 supervisors?

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. And in January 2008 or for that matter any month did any
- 3 superior officer ever tell you that you needed to make a
- 4 certain number of stops?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Okay. So now turning your attention to the January 30,
- 7 2008 incident. Where were you when you first observed
- 8 Mr. Ourlicht?
- 9 A. I was driving northbound on 164th Street.
- 10 Q. And were you in uniform?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you testified that you were in a scooter; is that
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What time did you first see Mr. Ourlicht?
- 16 A. Approximately 2:15 p.m.
- 17 Q. And from where did you observe him?
- 18 A. I came up from behind him.
- 19 Q. And did there come a point where you drove past him?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. How long did you observe him for?
- 22 A. Approximately two minutes.
- 23 Q. So what, if anything, did you observe about Mr. Ourlicht?
- 24 A. He was -- as I said before, he was blading his body, which
- was he was protecting something in his right waist hip area. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross

1 He had something bulging from that area as well, underneath his

- 2 clothes. He was kind of protecting it. He was walking weird.
- 3 It wasn't normal. Every time he took a step it looked like
- 4 something was preventing him from taking a normal step.
- 5 Q. Now after you first observed Mr. Ourlicht what happened
- 6 next?
- 7 A. I drove past him, kept him in sight, drove thought next
- 8 intersection, exited my vehicle.
- 9 Q. Did Mr. Ourlicht approach you or did you approach
- 10 Mr. Ourlicht?
- 11 A. He approached me.
- 12 Q. And what tone were you using throughout this encounter?
- 13 A. I was calm.
- 14 Q. What tone was Mr. Ourlicht using?
- 15 A. He was irate, noncompliant, showing disrespect.
- 16 Q. Was he using obscenities?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did there come a point where you frisked Mr. Ourlicht?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Why did you frisk Mr. Ourlicht?
- 21 A. I reasonably suspected by the way he was walking and
- 22 protecting the right side of his body and through the bulge
- that I saw underneath his clothes that he had a weapon.
- Q. And where did you frisk Mr. Ourlicht?
- 25 A. On the right side waist area.

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross

1 Q. And how was Mr. Ourlicht acting during the frisk?

- 2 A. He was irrational, yelling, cursing, screaming.
- 3 Q. And -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

At this point did you have probable cause to issue

- 5 Mr. Ourlicht a summons?
- 6 A. He was yelling and screaming. He threatened to fight me.
- 7 He was acting in threatening behavior. People that were on the
- 8 street were looking at him and looking at us. He was being
- 9 disorderly. He was guilty of disorderly conduct.
- 10 Q. And did there come a point where you radioed for
- 11 assistance?
- 12 A. Yes.

4

- 13 Q. And why did you do that?
- 14 A. By the way Mr. Ourlicht was acting and making the
- 15 threatening remarks and saying he wanted to fight me. I was by
- 16 myself. I asked for another car to come over.
- 17 Q. Were you concerned at all for your safety?
- 18 A. Yes, I was.
- 19 Q. Did there come a point where you decided to search
- 20 Mr. Ourlicht?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Why did you search him?
- 23 A. After he was yelling and screaming and threatening to fight
- 24 me, I reasonably suspected that he had something still on him,
- 25 that he was hiding something from me. Through my training and SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross

1 experience I've noticed that, that people sometimes will try to

- 2 do that to kind of get the attention off of, you know,
- 3 something they might have, try to divert you.
- 4 Q. Did you decide to issue Mr. Ourlicht a summons?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. I'd like to just show you what's already been marked as
- 7 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 248. What is this document?
- 8 A. This is a criminal court summons.
- 9 Q. Is that the summons you issued to Mr. Ourlicht?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- MR. MARUTOLLO: Your Honor, we move to have this exhibit entered into evidence.
- MR. MOORE: No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: 248 is received.
- 15 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 248 received in evidence)
- Q. So why did you issue Mr. Ourlicht the summons?
- 17 A. He was yelling, screaming in public. He was acting -- he
- 18 was making threatening remarks to me. Unreasonably loud and
- 19 acting tumultuous and threatening behavior.
- 20 Q. What happened after the search was conducted?
- 21 A. I did a warrant check on Mr. Ourlicht and issued him a
- 22 summons.
- 23 Q. And you did indeed fill out a memo book entry about this
- 24 incident, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross

1 Q. What training have you received from the NYPD regarding

- stop, question and frisk throughout your career?
- 3 A. We were trained in the police academy. We go to the range
- 4 twice a year for -- to re-qual and it's also a tactic training,
- 5 go over stop question and frisk. I've been to the
- 6 stop-question-and-frisk workshop. We've had several training
- 7 sessions in the precinct by the training sergeant. And I
- 8 conduct training with my officers during role calls about stop,
- 9 question and frisk. Other incidents that we talk about to help
- 10 others understand the right way to do -- handle certain jobs,
- 11 if anybody had any questions regarding something we did the
- 12 night before.
- 13 Q. Now, throughout your career did you ever speak to
- 14 supervisors about stops that were conducted?
- 15 A. Yes.

25

- 16 Q. And you mentioned a few things that you discuss currently
- as a sergeant about your supervision of officers. How do you
- 18 ensure that officers under your supervision are properly
- 19 conducting stop, question and frisks?
- 20 A. Well it's my job to frequently visit them.
- 21 MR. MOORE: Judge, I'm going to -- I think this is far
- 22 afield. This is talking about his position now as a sergeant
- 23 not -- he wasn't a sergeant back in 2008. I didn't ask him
- 24 about what he was doing as a sergeant.

THE COURT: No. But we've gone through this before.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross 1 The city could call this witness as their witness and I said we 2 were doing this all at once and although you didn't ask him 3 we're going to bring this whole thing current at the end of the 4 day. If there's ever a liability verdict, we're going to talk 5 about remedy. So this goes into that idea. He wants to know 6 what the current practice is now that he's a sergeant. 7 MR. MOORE: Fair enough, Judge. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. MOORE: I just didn't know he was promoted. 10 didn't tell me he was promoted. 11 MR. MARUTOLLO: We actually put sergeant on our 12 pretrial order and on our witness list. 13 THE COURT: When did you become sergeant? 14 THE WITNESS: November 2010. 15 THE COURT: That's a long time ago. Go ahead. 16 Whatever. 17 MR. MOORE: Whatever. 18 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question. Q. How do you ensure that officers under your supervision are 19 20 properly conducting stop, questions, and frisks? A. I have to visit them frequently while out on patrol. If I 21 22 hear they're stopping somebody, I might stop over. When I 2.3 review and sign 250s, I'll talk it over with the officer. 24 During role call. I always ask -- we talk about incidents and 25 I ask for questions and comments. I ask for feedback from the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - cross

- 1 officers.
- 2 $\,$ Q. And I believe you discussed a little bit about racial --
- 3 the NYPD's policy prohibiting racial profiling during your
- 4 direct examination but have you discussed the policy
- 5 prohibiting racial profiling with your officers?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. As a sergeant?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MR. MARUTOLLO: May I have one moment, your Honor? 10 (Pause)
- 11 MR. MARUTOLLO: No further questions, your Honor.
- 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. MOORE:
- 14 Q. Your supervisor didn't talk to you about this stop, right?
- 15 A. Sorry, sir?
- 16 Q. Your supervisor didn't talk to you about the stop you made
- on January 30, 2008 of David Ourlicht, right?
- 18 A. Conversation how?
- 19 THE COURT: Did your supervisor talk to you about the
- 20 stop?
- 21 THE WITNESS: He signed the 250.
- THE COURT: Nobody asked you that. Nobody asked you
- 23 that.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I don't recall if he asked me any
- 25 questions about it at that time.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4i9flo5 Moran - redirect

- 1 BY MR. MOORE:
- 2 Q. And the position you now have in the 83rd precinct, just
- 3 tell me what that is.
- 4 A. I'm a SNEU sergeant.
- 5 Q. I'm sorry. What?
- 6 A. SNEU sergeant.
- 7 THE COURT: SNEU.
- 8 Q. So that's not a regular patrol function, correct? That's a
- 9 detail?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And as a member of SNEU you're primarily making probable
- 12 cause stops, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So you don't have occasion to do very many stop and frisks,
- 15 right? Mostly probable cause stops, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- MR. MOORE: Nothing further, Judge.
- THE COURT: Anything further?
- 19 MR. MARUTOLLO: Just one quick question.
- 20 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. MARUTOLLO:
- 22 Q. Prior to being a SNEU sergeant were you working in any
- other capacity as a sergeant?
- 24 A. Patrol.
- 25 MR. MARUTOLLO: Thank you, your Honor. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

				400	/
D4i9flo5	Moran	n - recros	S		
No fu	rther questions	•			
THE C	OURT: Okay. We	e're done	for today.	Thank you.	
(Witne	ess excused)				
So we	ll reconvene tor	morrow at	10:00 with	the witnesses	
that you've to	ld me you're cal	lling.			
Ms. Co	ooke what about	that disc	overy reque	st that	
Ms. Borchetta	talked about?				
MS. Co	OOKE: I think N	Ms. Public	ker		
MS. P	JBLICKER: I wil	ll I ac	tually beli	eve we'll	
put together as	n affidavit and	get it do:	ne as soon	as possible.	
THE C	OURT: Okay.				
(Adjo	urned to April 1	19 , 2013 a	t 10:00)		
	No fur THE CO (Witner So well that you've too Ms. CO Ms. Borchetta to MS. CO MS. Pu put together an	No further questions THE COURT: Okay. We (Witness excused) So well reconvene tor that you've told me you're can Ms. Cooke what about Ms. Borchetta talked about? MS. COOKE: I think M MS. PUBLICKER: I will put together an affidavit and THE COURT: Okay.	No further questions. THE COURT: Okay. We're done (Witness excused) So well reconvene tomorrow at that you've told me you're calling. Ms. Cooke what about that disc. Ms. Borchetta talked about? MS. COOKE: I think Ms. Public. MS. PUBLICKER: I will I ac put together an affidavit and get it do. THE COURT: Okay.	No further questions. THE COURT: Okay. We're done for today. (Witness excused) So well reconvene tomorrow at 10:00 with that you've told me you're calling. Ms. Cooke what about that discovery reque Ms. Borchetta talked about? MS. COOKE: I think Ms. Publicker MS. PUBLICKER: I will I actually beli put together an affidavit and get it done as soon	No further questions. THE COURT: Okay. We're done for today. Thank you. (Witness excused) So well reconvene tomorrow at 10:00 with the witnesses that you've told me you're calling. Ms. Cooke what about that discovery request that Ms. Borchetta talked about? MS. COOKE: I think Ms. Publicker MS. PUBLICKER: I will I actually believe we'll put together an affidavit and get it done as soon as possible. THE COURT: Okay.

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	SCOTT GIACONA
4	Direct By Ms. Patel
5	Cross By Mr. Kunz
6	Redirect By Ms. Patel
7	TERRENCE RILEY
8	Direct By Mr. Charney
9	HELEN MCALEER
10	Direct By Ms. Borchetta
11	Cross By Ms. Cooke
12	Redirect By Ms. Borchetta
13	MOHAMED HASSAN
14	Direct By Mr. Kunz
15	Cross By Ms. Martini
16	Redirect By Mr. Kunz
17	JOSE COLON
18	Direct By Mr. Kunz
19	Cross By Ms. Martini
20	CHRISTOPHER MORAN
21	Direct By Mr. Moore
22	Cross By Mr. Marutollo
23	Redirect By Mr. Moore
24	
25	

Recross By Mr. Marutollo						
166CL						
323						
327						
324						
325						
426						
210						
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.						
	Exhibit No. Received 166CL					