New York, April 29, 10:08 a.m. NDLIN, District J
New York, April 29, 10:08 a.m.
New York, April 29, 10:08 a.m.
April 29, 10:08 a.m.
April 29, 10:08 a.m.
April 29, 10:08 a.m.
April 29, 10:08 a.m.
District J

D4t9flo1 APPEARANCES (Cont'd) MICHAEL A. CARDOZO Corporation Counsel for the City of New York Attorney for Defendants 4 BY: HEIDI GROSSMAN BRENDA E. COOKE JOSEPH MARUTOLLO MORGAN D. KUNZ SUZANNA PUBLICKER LINDA DONAHUE LISA M. RICHARDSON JUDSON VICKERS

D4t9flo1 1 (In open court; Trial resumed) 2 JAMES SHEA, resumed. 3 MS. COOKE: Housekeeping matter before we begin. 4 Reviewing the transcript there are some errors with 5 respect to admissions of exhibits from the record I just wanted 6 to clear up. 7 Defendants O3 and C4 were mistakenly recorded as O4 8 and C3 when they were listed as admitted so I like to correct 9 the record. It's O3 and C4 were admitted. 10 Further, N5 was not transcribed as received but the 11 Court received N5. 12 And, finally, T11 doesn't indicate it was accepted 13 into evidence but it was. 14 THE COURT: It was. MS. COOKE: So just those four items. 15 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 (Defendants' Exhibits 03, C4, N5, and T11 received in 18 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION CONTINUED BY MS. HOFF VARNER:

20

- 21 Q. Good morning.
- 22 A. Good morning.

24

25

2.3 Q. Thank you for coming back.

> You testified on Thursday that prior to serving as the commanding officer for the police academy you were a precinct SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

1 commander for the 47th and 49th precincts, correct?

- 2 A. Not immediately prior. But yes, I was.
 - THE COURT: What borough are those?

4 THE WITNESS: In the Bronx.

- 5 $\,$ Q. I just was going to ask for what years were you the
- 6 precinct commander for the 47th?
- 7 A. (No response).
- 8 Q. Approximately is fine.
- 9 A. Two thousand two, three, four, somewhere around there.
- 10 Q. What about the 49th?
- 11 A. 2000 until whenever I left for the 47. So I was up
- 12 there -- like I got to the -- I took over the -- I took over
- 13 the 49 either at the end of 2000 or beginning of 2001. And I
- $\,$ 14 $\,$ was there for about three years, I think. And then I moved to
- 15 the 47.

3

- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. And I stayed there about two-and-a-half years.
- 18 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 19 I want to turn your attention to Exhibit R3 which was
- 20 admitted into evidence, Bates stamped NYC_2_00005854 through
- 21 58.
- 22 This is the lesson plan coversheet for the sergeant's
- 23 leadership course that you testified a little bit about on
- 24 Thursday.
- 25 A. Okay.

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

Q. Turn to the second page of this document. We'll pull it up on the screen too.

3 This sets forth the various obligations of sergeants 4 serving as the desk officer, correct?

- 5 A. Yes, it does.
- 6 Q. And this is the training guide -- sorry. This is the
- 7 lesson plan that cues instructors on how to train newly
- 8 promoted sergeants, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You testified on Thursday that sergeants are trained to
- 11 review every street stop for reasonable suspicion, correct?
- 12 A. Trained to review every street stop completely, yes.
- 13 Q. And that would include reviewing it for reasonable
- 14 suspicion?
- 15
- A. Yes. Q. But if you look at this -- at section one of this document 16
- 17 which is R3, it doesn't tell sergeants or desk officers to
- 18 review street stops for reasonable suspicion, does it?
- A. It doesn't use the words reasonable suspicion, no. 19
- 20 Q. And it doesn't use the words legal basis, does it?
- A. No, it does not. 21
- 22 Q. And it doesn't train sergeants on how to evaluate UF 250s
- 2.3 to determine whether there was reasonable suspicion, does it?
- 24 A. Pardon me, ma'am?
- 25 Q. Well it instructs desk officers to review UF 250s, correct? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. But it doesn't instruct officers on how they might look at
- $3\,$ $\,$ a UF 250 to determine if there was reasonable suspicion for the
- 4 stop, correct?
- 5 A. The lesson plan doesn't reflect that, no.
- 6 Q. In addition to this leadership course for sergeants,
- 7 sergeants also get the Rodman's neck training, the stop,
- 9 question and frisk refresher course; isn't that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. That's the same course that the impact officers and the
- 11 patrol officers have all received?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 I apologize when you said sergeants you were still
- 14 referring to newly promoted sergeants?
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. Then my answer remains, yes.
- 17 Q. So just for the record newly promoted sergeants all get
- 18 that Rodman's Neck refresher training?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And lieutenants -- newly promoted lieutenants and captains
- 21 get that same Rodman's Neck training?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So let's turn back now to Defendants' Exhibit S11, which is
- 24 the July 2012 draft of the training guide section titled police
- 25 student's guide, policing a multiple cultural society. For the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

1 record this is Bates NYC_2_00025858 through 25954.

2 This guide, S11, provides information about various 3 cultural and ethnic groups that are part of New York City,

4 correct?

5 A. Yes.

- Q. And it makes the point that some groups are likely to have distrust or fear of the police, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.

9 But I mean are we -- do you want to point to a 10 particular -- it does make that point but I don't know if 11 you're pointing to a particular thing. I'm not sure it's in 12 what I'm reading right in front of me.

- 13 Q. Well, for example, let's look at page 11.
- 14 A. Thank you.
- Q. NYC_2_00025868. If you look at the -- I think it's the second paragraph it reads that, "Populations who come from
- 17 countries with repressive governments tend to be more fearful 18 of police than those who have not."
- 19 Do you see?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. It cites groups from Guatemala, Haiti, El Salvador
- 22 Argentina and parts of Africa as places where people from those
- 23 places of the world might be fearful of the police, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. If you turn to page 31 which is NYC_2_00025888.

 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

 (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

1 That states that African-Americans similarly have

- 2 reasons to be suspicious or distrustful of the police; isn't
- 3 that correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. People who fear or distrust the police might avoid
- 6 interacting with police officers they see on the street; isn't
- 7 that right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And they might avoid making eye contact with the police?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. They might get nervous when they see a police officer?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. But isn't it true that the NYPD trains officers that all of
- 14 these things, avoiding officers, avoiding eye contact, getting
- nervous, are characteristics of armed suspects?
- 16 A. Possible characteristics, yes.
- Q. So let's take a look at Defendants' Exhibit C8 which is the
- 18 PowerPoint on the characteristics of armed suspects that was
- 19 introduced into evidence on Thursday. Just for the record this
- 20 is the same presentation that was offered as part of the
- 21 refresher course at Rodman's Neck, correct?
- 22 A. I'm looking for it.
- 23 MS. COOKE: Chief, it's to your right there.
- 24 THE COURT: It was your left. She said it was to your
- 25 right.

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

1 MS. COOKE: I'm sorry. Your left. My right.

2 THE WITNESS: I think I have it. What page are we on,

3 attitude and behavior?

- Q. It's slide 28, yes. Attitude and behavior.
- 5 A. Okay.

4

6 Q. It's on the screen.

And this indicates that one characteristic of an armed suspect is the reaction to law enforcement passing by, for example, going into a building to avoid detection, correct?

- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And if you turn to slide 38, another characteristic of an
- 12 armed suspect is walking away from the police or abruptly
- 13 changing direction; isn't that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And if you look at slide 41, another characteristic --
- 16 sorry. The NYPD also trains officers that behaviors like eye
- 17 contact and nervousness can also be characteristics of armed
- 18 suspects?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So isn't it true that the NYPD is training officers that
- 21 the characteristics associated with minority groups who are
- 22 fearful of the police are the exact same characteristics
- associated with armed suspects?
- 24 A. No. I wouldn't agree with that statement.
- 25 Q. Why not?

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

A. Because everything -- it's not in context. We train the

2 officers to be aware of possible reactions among various

minority groups. I think I testified about that on direct. So

that they're aware of them. So that when they're dealing with

5 people they're aware of the life experiences they're bringing

6 to them. And in that context, then the same characteristics

can be characteristic of someone who is armed and is afraid of

the police because they're armed. So we're training them both

9 so that they're aware of both when they are evaluating the

circumstance and deciding: What am I dealing with? Do I have reasonable suspicion?

Like we don't -- it's impossible to say, "Eye contact is possibly because they don't like the police, so don't consider that they might be armed and avoiding eye contact."

We have to make them aware of both so that they can then judge in the context they're dealing with at that moment exactly what they're dealing with.

- 18 Q. I think that similarly you testified on Thursday that
- officers are trained that a single behavior doesn't create
- 20 reasonable suspicion?
- 21 A. Did you say "does not"?
- 22 Q. A single behavior does not create reasonable suspicion. Do
- you remember testifying that on Thursday?
- 24 A. Yes. What I think I said it's the totality of the
- 25 circumstances.

3

4

7

8

12

13

14

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

1 THE COURT: All she's saying any -- just one of

- 2 these -- if somebody happens to be a stutterer, that's not
- 3 going to do it. It can't be any one alone. Reasonable
- 4 suspicion is always the totality of the circumstances.
- Q. But that training that any single behavior does not alone create reasonable suspicion isn't included in this PowerPoint
- 7 presentation, is it?
- 8 A. That line?
- 9 Q. That line or that message in sum or substance.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 THE COURT: Taking this PowerPoint alone?
- 12 THE WITNESS: No.
- 13 THE COURT: Right. You wouldn't know.
- Q. And that message that a single behavior alone isn't enough
- 15 to create reasonable suspicion isn't part of the lesson plan
- 16 that goes with this presentation, is it?
- 17 A. No, it's not.
- 18 Q. Similarly, you testified about various physical
- 19 characteristics like an asymmetry in someone's jacket that
- 20 could lead officers to believe that the suspect is armed,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you testified on Thursday that an asymmetry in
- 24 someone's jacket pockets isn't enough to create reasonable
- 25 suspicion.

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

1 Do you remember making that point on Thursday?

- 2 A. In and of itself, yes.
- 3 Q. Right. But that point, that the asymmetry alone isn't
- 4 enough, isn't included in this PowerPoint, is it?
- 5 A. No, it's not.
- 6 Q. And it's also not part of the lesson plan that goes with
- 7 this PowerPoint, is it?
- 8 A. No, it's not.
- 9 Q. And finally, you discussed a series of unusual firearms on
- Thursday, including a pen knife, a mobile cellphone gun and
- others.
 - Do you remember discussing those?
- 13 A. A pen gun, I believe.
- 14 Q. A pen gun.
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. And with respect to a mobile cellphone gun, you testified
- 17 that these are rare; isn't that right?
- 18 A. Relatively rare, yes.
- 19 Q. I think at some point you even used the word pass?
- 20 A. I said the model that it's based on is pass.
- 21 Q. Right. And with respect to pen guns, you testified that
- 22 those are very distinctive from an ordinary pen and that you
- only find one every now and then?
- 24 A. I said -- I hope I didn't misspeak. I said they're
- distinctive upon investigation. It looks like a pen but if you southern district reporters, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

1 investigate further it's heavier and slightly -- it has the

- 2 little nob out the side but if it was just sticking out of the
- 3 top of someone's pocket maybe you couldn't tell.
- 4 Q. But, in fact, the lesson plan that goes with this
- 5 presentation of the characteristics of armed suspects which is
- 6 Exhibit W3, trains officers -- trains instructors to tell
- 7 officers that they should always be alert for these firearms
- 8 because there are many devices like these that are capable of
- 9 firing a shot; isn't that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- MS. HOFF VARNER: Just one moment.
- 12 (Pause)
- Q. So if we look at Exhibit W3 which is already up on the
- 14 screen. Thank you, Ms. Martini.
- We look at page 20 of that exhibit, which is Bates
- stamped NYC_2_00023125, this is the section that refers to
- 17 unusual firearms, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And this trains the instructors that unusual firearms
- 20 include cellphone guns, pen guns, ballistic knives, knife guns,
- 21 Derringers, zip guns, and more, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And it trains instructors that they should tell officers
- that today, "There are all times of firearms that don't look
- like firearms and there are many devices capable of firing a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - cross

- 1 shot," correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And it trains instructors to tell their officers that,
- 4 "With this in mind, officers should always be alert to these
- 5 firearms and the potential that they could be in the possession
- of the next person encountered," correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 MS. HOFF VARNER: No further questions from me. Thank
- 9 you.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MS. COOKE: Just one minute, your Honor.
- 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MS. COOKE:
- 14 Q. Good morning, Chief Shea.
- 15 A. Good morning.
- 16 Q. You testified on cross-examination on Thursday with respect
- 17 to the free-to-leave test, the reasonable person feeling free
- 18 to leave.
- Do you recall those questions?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Generally.
- 22 What are recruit officers trained regarding when an
- 23 individual is free to leave?
- 24 A. They are trained that any -- that unless they have
- 25 reasonable suspicion that the person is committing or is about SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect

1 to commit or has committed a felony or a misdemeanor described

2 in the penal law, the person is free to leave the encounter at

3 any time.

- ${\tt Q.}$ And what about the objective test as to whether or not a
- 5 person feels free to leave in a circumstance as a police
- 6 officer? What are recruit officers trained regarding that

7 concept?

- 8 A. They're trained -- I believe I mentioned it before --
- 9 they're trained that they have to keep in mind that the test is
- 10 not whether the person in front of them feels free to leave.
- 11 It's whether a reasonable person would. And they are trained
- 12 that that -- that everything they do impacts on that fear so
- they have to be aware of how they stand, how they're speaking
- 14 to the person, interrogatory nature of questions as opposed to
- just simple questioning. The person's ability to leave that
- 16 area, blocking someone in a doorway as opposed to standing next
- 17 to somebody on the street. It's almost -- again, you know, the
- 18 possibilities they could encounter are infinite.
- 19 Q. Directing your attention to Exhibit Q12 already in evidence
- 20 which is the police student's guide on policing legally street
- 21 encounters, page 13, carry over to 14.

22 Q11?

23 A. Q11?

25

24 Q. Yes. Q11.

It's on the screen as well.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect

But on page 13, at the bottom of the screen, there's a heading for the section the stop and the use of reasonable force?

4 Do you see that?

- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And then carrying over to page 14, the top paragraph.
 Do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And is that paragraph providing written instruction to
- 10 police recruits regarding the concepts you just discussed where
- an officer could be behaving in a manner which might lead a
- 12 reasonable person to believe they're not free to leave?
- 13 A. This would be their homework, for lack of a better word.
- 14 They would be required to read this before the class. There
- 15 would be teaching in addition to this.
- 17 were just discussing?
- 18 A. Yes, it does. It talks about how a forcible stop can be
- 19 constructive, or actually stopping a person, and it lists some
- 20 of the things.
- 21 Q. And directing your attention to pages 2 and 3 of this
- 22 police student's guide, Exhibit Q11.
- Do you see the mandatory legal bureau bulletins
- 24 reading?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect

1 Q. Could you explain what those are and the purpose of those

- 2 for the recruit officer training?
- 3 A. Accepting my description before, that this is homework that
- 4 has to be done before they attend the class on stop, question
- 5 and frisk. In addition to this student's quide they receive
- 6 the actual patrol guide and legal bureau bulletins that affect
- 7 this chapter and they're required to read those also. These
- 8 are approximately two dozen legal bureau bulletins over the
- 9 years, all of which address one or more element of stop,
- 10 question and frisk or stopping -- stopping someone because
- 11 sometimes it actually deals with DeBour.
- 12 Q. Chief Shea, directing your attention to questions you were
- asked during cross-examination on Thursday regarding the
- 14 scenarios and role plays for the street encounters for police
- 15 recruits.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do any of those scenarios or role plays involve the concept
- 18 of an officer dealing with this reasonable person feeling free
- 19 to leave?
- 20 A. Yes. They have to -- there are several -- separating the
- 21 scenarios and role plays and, again, we do the mock precinct at
- 22 the end of their training. The difference is that during the
- 23 scenario role plays the instructor will sometimes stop and
- 24 immediately instruct an officer; whereas, in the mock precinct
- 25 we allow them to continue and we do an evaluation upon SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect completion.

2.2

2.3

There are multiple scenarios in which they will have to deal with people out in the street in a variety of circumstances and they will have to decide. They will have to make decisions what level of intrusion am I permitted during this scenario.

For instance, in the mock precinct there will be people fitting descriptions that are in the crime information center. There will be people leaving buildings at inappropriate times. There will be — multiple encounters that we built in. One, a mother reports a young girl missing and then the officer sees the girl walking with an older man — sees a girl fitting the description walking with an older man and he has to approach and request information. And depending on how it goes, the man will speak to the young girl in a foreign language so that the officer doesn't know what he just said. The girl will claim I'm not missing; my mother is crazy. We have all kinds — and the officer will have to — and then the man will try to leave, will take the girl's hand and try to pull her away. The officer will have to decide: What level of suspicion am I at? What am I allowed to do at this level?

And there is no real right or wrong for them at that point because they're new and they're starting out and if they don't feel that reasonable suspicion, then they're not —because they have to feel and be comfortable that they can SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect

- 1 articulate it.
- Q. What about the scenarios currently at use in the Rodman's
- 3 Neck stop, question and frisk refresher course? Are any of
- 4 those scenarios -- do any of those scenarios include the
- 5 situation where someone tells the officer they want to leave?
- 6 A. All three do.
- 7 Q. And what is the -- in order to successfully complete that
- 8 scenario, what is the officer -- what should the officer do in
- 9 that situation?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

10 A. In the first scenario which deals with an anonymous call of drug sales, he or she should allow anyone to leave who wants to.

In the second scenario which involves two people fitting a description of an immediately past robbery. He should not allow the person to try to leave because he clearly has reasonable suspicion that they did it based on the proximity, the amount of time and the identical clothing from the description, and distinctive.

And in the third scenario, it's a vertical in a housing development and someone leaving and he has to allow the people to leave who want to leave.

Q. Chief Shea, with respect to the scenarios regarding stops and street encounters, the documents we looked at which identify lesson plans for those scenarios generally had some type of description or a 911 call or something the radio SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect

1 dispatcher was providing to the officers. 2

Do you recall that?

A. Yes, I do.

3

4

5

6

15

16

17

18

- Q. And why is that, that the scenarios aren't set up so that they are based on the officers wandering around making observations and conducting stops thereafter?
- 7 A. That's a -- that's for our training purposes. For lack of 8 a better word, we can force the action by giving a radio run 9 with a description. If I just had a scenario where the officer 10 was just wandering and making his own observations, the first 11 officer to do it could just wander all day for lack of a 12 better -- and might not be able to observe or articulate 13 anything and we wouldn't get any training out of that and we'd 14 grind to a halt, quite frankly.

We have to get a large number of people through this training and make sure everyone does it. So the way to make sure that the stop or the situation emerges is we have to give them the radio run with the description.

- 19 Q. In the mock precinct situation you were discussing that the
- 20 recruits attend at the end of their time at the academy, is 21 there the opportunity there for officers to conduct patrol,
- 2.2 make observations and make stops that wouldn't be forced, for
- 2.3 lack of a better word, like the scenarios we were just
- 24 discussing?
- 25 A. Yes, there are.

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect

1 Q. And do, in fact, recruits make observations of reasonably

- 2 suspicious behavior during the mock precincts and conduct
- 3 street stops?
- 4 A. Some do. Some don't.
- 5 Q. Directing your attention to Q11 again, page 20.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. This is the section titled preparation of department form,
- 8 stop-and-frisk report worksheet.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. When are recruit officers trained that they should complete
- 11 a stop-and-frisk report worksheet?
- 12 A. They are trained that they are required to complete a
- 13 stop-and-frisk report worksheet any time they stop someone
- 14 based on reasonable suspicion and the person is not free to
- 15 leave.
- 16 Q. Is that regardless of whether or not the situation results
- in arrest?
- 18 A. Yes. The outcome is immaterial. The reason for the -- the
- 19 initial reason for your stop is what controls whether you
- 20 should do a 250.
- 21 Q. And, in fact, is that instruction that regardless of the
- 22 outcome included in these paragraphs under the title
- 23 preparation of department form? Directing your attention to
- 24 the --
- 25 A. Yes. It says there are two possible outcomes. You develop SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect

1 probable cause and place the suspect under arrest or you do not

- lead to probable cause and you release the suspect. In either
- 3 case, it is important to record all the details.
- 4 Q. And this section here we're referring to on page 20, this
- 5 is the section of the police student's guide that follows the
- 6 discussion on page 11 -- I'm sorry on page 14 about the
- 7 reasonable person feeling free to leave test, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Directing your attention to Exhibit R3, which is the lesson
- 10 plan coversheet for newly promoted sergeants that counsel
- 11 questioned you about on cross this morning.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, we've looked at several lesson plans and R3 is one of them.
- 15 Are the lesson plans a script?
- 16 A. No, they are not.
- 17 Q. So is the content imparted by the instructor during the
- 18 lesson to newly promoted sergeants necessarily more detailed
- 19 than what is provided here in the lesson plan coversheet
- 20 material?

25

- 21 A. The lesson plan is the guide, for lack of a better word,
- 22 this guides them in what -- pardon me -- in what the lesson
- 23 content should include so that it stays consistent among
- 24 varying instructors.

But yes, of course they can add to it and enlarge upon SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect

- 1 it while they're teaching.
- 2 Q. So if certain words or phrases aren't present in the lesson
- 3 plan is the instructor prevented from using those words or
- 4 phrases in their instruction?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. And on cross-examination you were asked questions regarding
- 7 the characteristics of armed suspects PowerPoint?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And specifically those -- the list of behaviors, and it was
- in the context of discussing the policing a multicultural
- 11 society.
- Do you recall that? The list was --
- 13 A. The multi cultural society is not in this PowerPoint.
- Q. No. It was the list of characteristics such as blushing or
- 15 stuttering.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And counsel asked you questions about whether or not
- anywhere in that PowerPoint it said that any single one of
- 19 those characteristics would not be sufficient for reasonable
- 20 suspicion, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you said that that phrase or language wasn't present in
- the PowerPoint.
- Do you recall that?
- 25 A. Correct.

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect

Q. I'd like to show you now the video that accompanies the lesson plan with respect to characteristics of armed suspects, a portion of it, and we'll ask you a question again.

THE COURT: How long is this?

MS. COOKE: Just a clip, your Honor. We watched the whole video on Thursday. It's that same video. We're going to redirect the witness to a portion.

Beginning at 4:08.

(Videotape played)

- MS. COOKE: That was ending at 5:25. That's the video that is in evidence as Exhibit C8.
- Q. So Chief Shea in the training video are officers, in fact, instructed that single characteristics alone are insufficient for reasonable suspicion?
- 15 A. They're trained that all of these characteristics may be insufficient for reasonable suspicion, yes.
- 17 Q. And the last characteristic we saw in the video there was
- 18 someone abruptly turning away and running away from an officer
- 19 upon sight, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 21 Q. Is that one of the characteristics you were discussing
- 22 earlier in the multicultural society context where officers --
- 23 where individuals from different cultures might have different
- 24 impressions of the police?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4t9flo1 Shea - redirect

1 Q. And therefore may have different reactions upon seeing the police?

3 A. Yes.

2.3

4 Q. And what is the point of training officers with respect to varying cultures experiences with law enforcement?

varying cultures experiences with law enforcement?

A. I believe I testified already. We want to make sure — the NYPD wants to make sure its officers know that New York City has a multitude of people from different areas, all of them bring different life experiences to their interactions with the police and you can't — there is no cookie cutter approach to policing in New York City. People react differently. You have to be aware — to the extent you can, you have to try to be aware of their prior experiences, etc. and how they may react to you. Because, for instance an abrupt turning could be indicative of somebody suspicious of the police in general or

it could be indicative of somebody having a reason to be suspicious of the police at that moment.

So you have to be aware that there's two possible explanations for it so that you can keep that awareness in the totality of the circumstances. You have to consider everything. You can't just assume that they're turning away because they're armed. They may be turning away because they're historically suspicious of the police and have no historic memory of good experiences with the police.

MS. COOKE: No further questions for this witness, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Shea - redirect D4t9flo1 1 your Honor. 2 MS. HOFF VARNER: No recross, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. You're done. Thank you. 4 (Witness excused) 5 MR. MARUTOLLO: Your Honor, the defendants call 6 Detective Damian Vizcarrondo to the stand. DAMIAN VIZCARRONDO, 7 8 called as a witness by the Defendants, 9 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. MARUTOLLO: 12 Q. Good morning, Detective Vizcarrondo. 13 A. Good morning. 14 Q. Are you currently employed? 15 Α. Yes, I am. 16 Q. Where are you employed? 17 A. New York City Police Department. 18 Q. How long have you been employed by the New York City Police 19 Department? 20 A. Approximately twelve years. Q. Did you attend and graduate from the police academy? 21 22 A. I did. Q. And when was that? 2.3 A. March of 2002. 24 25 Q. What is your present rank with the NYPD? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct

- 1 A. Detective.
- 2 Q. When were you promoted to detective?
- 3 A. Approximately November of 2006.
- 4 Q. And what is your current command?
- 5 A. I'm currently assigned to organized crime investigation
- 6 division; more specifically, joint organized crime task force.
- 7 Q. When were you assigned there?
- 8 A. Recently. 2013. March.
- 9 Q. Before you joined the organized crime intelligence
- 10 division, what command were you assigned?
- 11 A. Narcotics Borough Queens.
- 12 Q. And what was your position when you were at Narcotics
- 13 Borough Queens?
- 14 A. Detective investigator.
- 15 Q. For how many years were you in Narcotics Borough Queens?
- 16 A. Approximately eight years.
- 17 Q. What were your day-to-day duties and responsibilities in
- 18 Narcotics Borough Queens?
- 19 A. Day-to-day duties consist of addressing community -- issues
- 20 in the community as well as gathering intelligence, fostering
- 21 relationships with confidential informants and things of that
- 22 nature.
- 23 Q. While in Narcotics Borough Queens how, if at all, did you
- 24 gather intelligence from the community?
- 25 A. Several ways. One of the ways was simply just engaging the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct

1 public and addressing people, whether it be at a market, you

2 know, on the street, people that you encounter throughout your

3 day.

25

- 4 Q. Now have you learned anything about narcotics activity as a
- 5 result of these community interactions?
- 6 A. I have.
- 7 Q. What have you learned?
- 8 A. Well through engaging the public I've learned specific
- 9 locations where narcotics were believed to be sold, types of
- 10 narcotics, you know, what their street names were, cost, street
- 11 value, and things like that.
- 12 Q. Do you remember any specific encounters with pedestrians
- where you gained knowledge of narcotics activity?
- 14 A. Yeah, absolutely.
- 15 Q. Can you describe such an encounter?
- 16 A. Sure. Late 2012, I was in the vicinity of 133rd Avenue and
- 96 Street, just in the area of patrolling speed, around
- 18 20 miles an hour. I saw a gentleman on the street. He was
- 19 packing and unpacking his vehicle. I waved hello to him. He
- 20 returned the hello. Approached the vehicle. Apparently knew
- 21 who I was. And we engaged in a brief conversation where he
- 22 proceeded to tell me about a location right down the block that
- 23 he believed to be a narcotics prone location or an area where
- 24 drugs were being sold.

He described individuals exiting the house, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct

1 interacting and engaging with vehicles, approaching them on the

- 2 street. And you know shortly thereafter, after doing a few
- 3 observations, we, in fact, did affect several arrests at the
- 4 location based on that information provided.
- 5 Q. Now, just going back to Narcotics Borough Queens in
- 6 general. Did you attend tactical meetings also referred to as
- 7 tac meetings while a detective in Narcotics Borough Queens?
- 8 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 9 Q. What is a tac meeting?
- 10 A. A tac meeting is a brief period prior to going onto the
- 11 street where we discuss the plan for the day, areas that we
- 12 plan on visiting as well as crime trends, each individual's
- assignment on the buy-and-bust team, and what their assignments
- 14 consist of.
- 15 Q. How often did you attend these tac meetings?
- 16 A. Anytime that we went out to the street to either do
- 17 buy-and-bust, or observations, or anything like that, we would
- 18 have a tac meeting.
- 19 Q. Did you receive any training at these tac meetings?
- 20 A. We did. There was training at every tac meeting. It was
- 21 part of the actual tac plan.
- 22 Q. Have you ever received training on stop, question and frisk
- 23 at the tac meetings?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What kind of training in this area did you receive? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct

1 A. Well, we received training on several occasions at the tac

- 2 meeting. We received training as far as the proper ways of
- 3 filling out stop, question and frisk. The different levels of
- 4 stop. And things like that.
- 5 Q. Now, at these tac meetings did your supervisor ever tell
- 6 you that you needed to complete a certain number of stops?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Turning your attention to May 29, 2007. What unit were you
- 9 working on, again, on the date of this incident?
- 10 A. I was in Narcotics Queens.
- 11 Q. And were you actually working on May 29, 2007?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And what hours were you working that day?
- 14 $\,$ A. 1327 by 2200 hours, what we commonly refer to as the two
- 15 the ten.
- THE COURT: As what?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Two to ten, 1327.
- 18 Q. Did you go to a tac meeting on May 29, 2007?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What was discussed at the tac meeting on May 29, 2007?
- 21 A. Again, we discussed the locations that we planned on
- 22 visiting, each individual's assignments. We did also discuss
- 23 crime trends and patterns within the precinct.
- 24 Q. Were you made aware of a tactical plan or tac plan at the
- 25 May 29 meeting?

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct

- 1 A. Yes, I was.
- 2 Q. Now, what is a tac plan?
- 3 A. A tac plan is a sheet of paper which consists of all the
- 4 information that I just described; again, the locations that we
- 5 planned to visit as well as the -- each individual's
- 6 assignments on the team, any crime trends within the confines
- 7 that we are assigned to work. Those would all be policed on
- 8 the tac plan.
- 9 Q. What crime patterns, if any, were discussed at the tac
- 10 meeting on May 29, 2007?
- 11 A. I believe on that day it was robberies and burglaries.
- 12 Q. Now, on May 29, 2007 did your supervisor tell you that you
- 13 needed to make a certain number of stops that day?
- 14 A. No. Absolutely not.
- 15 Q. Who, if anyone, were you working with on May 29, 2007?
- 16 A. I was working with Detective DeMarco as well as Detective
- 17 Hawkins.
- 18 Q. How were you traveling that day?
- 19 A. Motor vehicle.
- 20 Q. Were you driving?
- 21 A. Yes, I was.
- 22 Q. Do you remember what kind of vehicle you were driving?
- 23 A. Yes. It was a blue mini van if I recall correctly.
- Q. Were you in uniform?
- 25 A. No, I was not.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct

1 Q. And I notice that your head is shaved. Was your head

- 2 completely shaved at the time of this incident?
- 3 A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Did you have your shield displayed?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. Where was your shield displayed?
- 7 MS. BORCHETTA: Objection. Just time period for what 8 he's talking about displaying the shield.
- 9 Q. While you're out in the van on May 29, 2007 where was your 10 shield displayed?
- 11 A. Around my neck on a lanyard and it was positioned directly
- 12 in front of my ballistic vest.
- 13 Q. Did there come a point in time on May 29, 2007 where you
- 14 saw an individual whom you now know to be Kristianna Acevedo?
- 15 A. Yes.

4

- 16 Q. Where were you when you first saw her?
- 17 A. I was driving southbound on 43rd Street.
- 18 Q. At the time you first observed her where was your van
- 19 going?
- 20 A. We were traveling between locations, again, on 43rd
- 21 Street.
- 22 Q. Can you describe the area where you first observed
- Ms. Acevedo.
- 24 A. It's an industrial area, commercial, a lot of garages. It
- was desolate. There wasn't too much going on.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct

- 1 Q. What first drew your attention to Ms. Acevedo?
- 2 A. Well, I recall she -- her walking down the street at a fast
- 3 rate of speed and she was repeatedly looking over her shoulder.
- 4 I just got the impression that she was nervous, upset about
- 5 something.
- 6 Q. What made you think she was upset?
- 7 A. Again, just the rate of speed that she was walking at and
- 8 the repeated glancing over the shoulder.
- 9 Q. Did you believe she was suspicious?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. When you first saw Ms. Acevedo, how far away was your mini
- 12 van from her?
- 13 A. Approximately three car lengths away.
- Q. And where was Ms. Acevedo when you first saw her?
- 15 A. On the sidewalk.
- 16 Q. Did you observe anyone else on the street when you first
- 17 saw Ms. Acevedo?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
- 19 Q. Upon seeing her did you slow your vehicle down?
- 20 A. I did. I was traveling at a relatively slow rate of speed
- 21 to begin with and then I further slowed my vehicle down. My
- 22 partner seated in the front seat seemed to lower his window so
- 23 I slowed down.
- 24 Q. And your partner sitting in the front seat, was that
- 25 Detective DeMarco?

5198 Vizcarrondo - direct

D4t9flo1

- A. Yes, it was.
- Q. So why did you slow the vehicle down?
- 3 A. Well in part I just wanted to ascertain whether or not
- everything was okay, make sure that she wasn't in any sort of
- distress and, again, my partner lowered the window, appeared to
- 6 take interest in her as well. So I slowed the vehicle down.
- 7 Q. What, if anything, did you hear Detective DeMarco say to
- 8 Ms. Acevedo when you slowed down the vehicle?
- 9 A. I don't recall exactly but in sum and substance it was
- 10 something to the effect of whether or not she was okay and just
- 11 letting her know that we were the police.
- 12 Q. Did you or anyone else ask to see Ms. Acevedo's tattoos?
- 13 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Do you remember exactly what Ms. Acevedo said initially to 14
- 15 Detective DeMarco?
- 16 A. I don't recall verbatim what was said, no.
- 17 Q. But do you remember her demeanor?
- 18 A. Yes. She was upset, clearly.
- 19 Q. And where was your shield again at this point?
- 20 A. It was on the -- hanging from my neck outside -- what we
- 21 like to say the outermost garment but it was in front of my
- 22 ballistic vest because that was, in fact, my outermost garment.
- 2.3 Q. What happened next?
- 24 A. After that point after slowing the vehicle down she began
- 25 to run on 43rd Street opposite the direction I was traveling SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct

- 1 in.
- 2 Q. And what did you do next?
- 3 A. I placed the car in reverse.
- 4 Q. And why did you put the vehicle in reverse?
- 5 A. Well seeing as how she was obviously upset I wanted to just
- 6 go in reverse address her and let her know that we were, in
- 7 fact, the police; that she wasn't in any type of harm; and,
- 8 again, just to make sure that she was okay; and, again, just
- 9 any opportunity that I have to engage the public and anyone in
- 10 the community is at the very least an opportunity for me to
- 11 gather intelligence.
- 12 Q. Did there come a point when Ms. Acevedo stopped running?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. When did she stop running?
- 15 A. She stopped running -- she met an individual on the
- 16 sidewalk that appeared to be a UPS worker, if I recall.
- 17 Q. And after she reached that UPS worker, what happened next?
- 18 A. Well, I exited the vehicle. And again just approached her,
- 19 identified myself and I made it a point to make sure that my
- 20 shield was visible. Again, it was there. And explained to her
- 21 that we were the police and wanted to make sure that she was
- 22 okay and she understood that.
- 23 Q. Did you do anything with your shield?
- 24 A. Again, other than just making -- taking a look at it and
- 25 making a point to make sure that it was visible, no.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct

1 Q. Did Detective DeMarco and Detective Hawkins also identify

- 2 themselves as police officers?
- 3 A. Yeah, I believe they did.
- 4 Q. How long was your interaction with Ms. Acevedo after you
- 5 exited the van?
- 6 A. It was brief. Again, I can't put an exact time on it. But
- 7 I'd say a minute or so.
- 8 Q. At any point during the encounter did you ever ask
- 9 Ms. Acevedo to stop?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you hear Detective Hawkins say, "When you hear police
- 12 you stop"?
- 13 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you say to Ms. Acevedo, "Did you forget to take your
- 15 medication?"
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Did you hear anyone else say that?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did you or anyone else say, "How could he help? We have
- 20 guns"?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Did you ever touch Ms. Acevedo during the encounter?
- 23 A. Did not.
- 24 Q. Did you ever see Detective DeMarco or Detective Hawkins
- ever touch Ms. Acevedo?

D4t9flo1

- Vizcarrondo direct A. Did not.
- Q. Did you ever reach into Ms. Acevedo's bag? 3 A. No, sir.
- 4 Q. Did you ever take any of her belongings? 5
- A. No, we did not. 6 Q. Did you ever ask for her identification?
- A. No, I did not. 7
- 8 Q. Did you or any of the other officers bang Ms. Acevedo's
- 9 head against anything?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Now, did you receive any discipline from the NYPD for this
- 12 incident?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And what discipline did you receive? 14
- A. Forfeited one vacation day. 15
- 16 Q. Was that discipline related to Ms. Acevedo's CCRB
- 17 complaint?
- 18 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And did you meet with the -- your precinct's integrity 19
- 20 control officer regarding this complaint?
- A. Yes, I did. 21
- 22 Q. And during your meeting with the integrity control officer
- 23 what, if anything, did you discuss?
- 24 A. Again, I don't recall exactly but we discussed the general
- 25 stop and the fact that I was -- it was recommended I give up SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct one vacation day. 2 THE COURT: What were you disciplined for? 3 THE WITNESS: It was in relation to the stop. I 4 believe it was improper memo book entries. 5 THE COURT: Improper memo book? THE WITNESS: I believe that was the -- yes. 6 Q. Were there allegations -- to your knowledge were there 7 8 allegations in the CCRB complaint related to Ms. Acevedo's 9 perception that this was a stop? 10 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question. 11 Q. Sure. Were -- are you aware of any allegations from the 12 CCRB complaint related to Ms. Acevedo's stop on that day, in 13 addition to the memo book entries? 14 A. Well, yeah. There were I believe several allegations made. 15 I don't remember exactly what they were. But, yeah, that was 16 the reason for the Civilian Complaint and Review Board's 17 interaction. 18 Q. And you indicated that you lost one vacation day? 19 A. That is correct, yes. 20 Q. Have you received any discipline related to stop, question 21 and frisk since this incident in 2007? 22 A. No, I have not. 2.3 MR. MARUTOLLO: No further questions, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 24 25 Ms. Borchetta.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - direct

1 MS. BORCHETTA: One moment, your Honor.

- 2 (Pause)
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MS. BORCHETTA:
- 5 Q. Good morning, Detective Vizcarrondo.
- 6 A. Good morning.
- 7 Q. You testified that you've recently joined the organized
- 8 crime division, correct?
- 9 A. Organized crime investigation division, yes, that's
- 10 correct.
- 11 Q. Did you consider that a promotion?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You just testified about a CCRB complaint related to the
- incident with Ms. Acevedo, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you're aware that the CCRB substantiated an allegation
- for an abuse of authority related to the stop of Ms. Acevedo,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. I believe it was substantiated. For what reasons exactly,
- 20 I don't recall exactly.
- 21 Q. But you believe it was a substantiated allegation related
- 22 to a stop, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Did that substantiated CCRB complaint, to your knowledge,
- factor into your assignment to the organized crime division? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

- 1 A. I don't know if it did or it didn't.
- Q. So to your knowledge it didn't in any way affect that promotion, right?

4 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor. I believe that 5 misstates the testimony.

THE COURT: Sorry?

MR. MARUTOLLO: I think that misstates --

 $\,$ THE COURT: No. It exactly states it. He said he doesn't have any idea.

MR. MARUTOLLO: I think the question didn't say that. Perhaps I just misheard the question.

THE COURT: Or me. But the bottomline is: You have

no idea if that had any effect on the promotion?

14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know if that was factored 15 in.

- 16 Q. You testified also about community policing, correct?
- 17 A. I have, yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 18 Q. And in your understanding of community policing, that would
- 19 be the same as your understanding of a request for information
- 20 encounter, right?
- 21 A. In community policing is anytime I say hello to the public,
- 22 anytime -- maybe if the community, you know, addresses me. So,
- yes. That would also be included, yes.
- 24 Q. Well, you understand that you as a detective at the NYPD
- are required to have certain levels of suspicion to engage in SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

- certain encounters with the public, right?
 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And you understand that there is one level that is a
- 4 request for information, right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And to your understanding, that level of suspicion is the
- 7 level you would need in order to engage in community policing,
- 8 right?
- 9 A. Again, I don't necessarily have to be -- I don't
- 10 necessarily need to request information, in my opinion, to
- 11 engage in community policing. Being friendly and just being an
- 12 outgoing person and having an outgoing personality I think is
- 13 part of good community policing.
- 14 Q. You, Detective DeMarco, and Detective Hawkins were all
- 15 working in the Queens narcotics division at the time of your
- 16 encounter with Ms. Acevedo, right?
- 17 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And the three of you were still working together in Queens
- 19 narcotics when you were called for an interview with the CCRB
- 20 regarding that encounter, right?
- 21 A. That, I can't -- I don't recall. I've been on several
- teams while in narcotics borough Queens.
- 23 Q. But you were aware they were still in Queens narcotics at
- 24 the same time that you were called in for a CCRB interview
- 25 related to that incident, right?

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

- 1 A. Yes. That's a fair statement.
- 2 Q. And the three of you were all working together in Queens
- 3 narcotics when you were deposed in this case, right?
- 4 A. Working in the same building, yes.
- 5 Q. Now, you say that your attention was drawn to Ms. Acevedo
- 6 because she seemed upset to you, right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. In fact, she was, according to you, clearly upset, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you wanted to ascertain, you say, whether or not she
- 11 was okay, right?
- 12 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Now, before Detective DeMarco began speaking to
- 14 Ms. Acevedo, you say that there was no discussion among the
- three of you in the van about Ms. Acevedo, right?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. And you also say that you were at the time that you
- 18 encountered Ms. Acevedo between locations.
- Do you recall testifying to that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And by that you mean you were going between the locations
- 22 listed on the tac plan, right?
- 23 A. Not necessarily. I was clearly just -- I was traveling.
- 24 Q. But you received a list of locations on the tac plan,
- 25 right?

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

- 1 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And that day that you encountered Ms. Acevedo you received
- 3 a list of locations on the tac plan, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And that list of locations included areas where there
- 6 was -- it was thought that there would be -- there might be
- 7 drug transactions, right?
- 8 A. Yes. The location were narcotic prone locations.
- 9 Q. And in driving around in the van just before the encounter
- 10 with Ms. Acevedo, your turn was driving around to visit the
- 11 locations where there might be narcotic sales, right?
- 12 A. We traveled through the confines of the precinct we were
- 13 assigned to, yes, for that purpose.
- 14 Q. Now, after you heard Detective DeMarco say something to
- 15 Ms. Acevedo, she started running, right?
- 16 A. At some point between the time I initially saw her and --
- 17 you know, I don't recall exactly at what point she did start
- 18 running but she did run opposite the direction that we were
- 19 traveling in.
- 20 Q. And you heard her yelling for help when she started
- 21 running, right?
- 22 A. I don't recall if that's -- like I said, there was an
- 23 exchange, verbal exchange. What exactly was said, you know, I
- 24 don't recall.
- Q. Going to show you your deposition transcript in this case SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

and see if it refreshes your recollection on whether you heard

- 2 her yelling for help.
- 3 Do you recall being deposed in this case on
- 4 January 22, 2013?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Handing you a transcript of that deposition.
- 7 A. Thank you.
- 8 Q. You took an oath to tell the truth during that deposition,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And you did tell the truth, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. If you turn to page 67.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. And see at page 67 if you just read to yourself lines 9
- 16 through 11. And I'll ask you.
- You do recall her yelling for help, right?
- 18 A. Yes. I said yes. That is correct.
- 19 Q. And from the time you put the van in reverse and the time
- 20 you stopped the van, the three of you in the van said nothing
- 21 to each other about Ms. Acevedo, right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And you say that the three of you did not communicate at
- 24 all about Ms. Acevedo between the time you first saw her and
- 25 the time you all got out of the van to approach her, right? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Now your purpose in putting the van in reverse and
- 3 following her and getting out of the van was to let her know
- 4 that she was okay, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And your purpose, you say, was to make sure she was okay,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And even though your intent was to ensure she was okay,
- 10 because she clearly seemed upset to you, you still say, you
- 11 just testified that you saw this as an opportunity to gain
- 12 intelligence, right?
- 13 A. At the very minute I, you know, interact with anyone in the
- 14 public it's an opportunity, yes.
- 15 Q. And that's despite the fact that you were going to ensure
- someone that they were okay who seemed upset to you?
- 17 A. Would you rephrase the question? I'm sorry.
- 18 Q. You thought it was an opportunity to gain intelligence
- despite the fact that she seemed upset to you, right?
- 20 A. She was upset, correct.
- 21 And like I said, an interaction with the public where
- 22 I can, you know, interact with them, at the very least, is an
- 23 opportunity to gain intelligence, that's correct.
- 24 Q. So it's fair to say that at least one purpose in putting
- 25 the van in reverse was to see whether you could gain SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

- intelligence?
- A. You have to understand the thought process when this is all
- going on when she begins to run. It's very quick. So this 3
- happened in a very short period of time. And there's a number
- of factors that factor in. But yeah, absolutely, that was one 6 of them.
- 7 Q. Now your intent was in part to calm her down, right?
- 8 A. Yes. She was upset. Of course.
- 9 Q. And then you spent, you say, a minute or so trying to calm
- 10 her down, right?
- 11 A. That's correct, yes.
- 12 Q. And during that minute or so that you say is the entirety
- 13 of the time that you interacted with Ms. Acevedo outside of the
- 14 van, she still seemed upset to you, right?
- 15 A. She did.
- 16 Q. And you got back into the van after only a minute or so,
- 17 you say, even though she still seemed upset to you, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 But the fact that she stopped running and met with an 20 individual that alone was proof that she had -- she felt some
- 21 sort of security and rather than escalate or make her anymore
- 22 upset she wasn't -- you know, there was no purpose in staying
- 2.3 there any longer.
- 24 Q. But she wasn't at ease when you left her, right?
- 25 A. That's -- yeah. That's an emotional state. I have a hard SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

time -- I can't tell you what, you know, what she felt, whether
or not she was at ease.

But like I said she was aware that, you know, we were law enforcement and didn't mean her any harm.

Q. So you're not able to say whether she wasn't at ease when you got back into the van?

MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well because it calls for her state of

9 mind?

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

MR. MARUTOLLO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, right.

MS. BORCHETTA: Well.

13 THE COURT: The answer is inherent in the question.

- 14 Q. You approached her wanting to put her at ease, right?
- 15 A. I approached her. That was -- that would be ideal, as well
- 16 as, again, just to make sure that she knew we were the police
- and we weren't -- you know, we didn't mean her any harm.
- 18 Q. So the answer is yes, right?
- 19 A. That was factored in, yes.
- ${\tt Q.}$ And when you left her, your perception was that she was not
- 21 at ease, right?
- 22 A. She was still -- she wasn't happy. She wasn't in good
- 23 spirits. But, again, the fact that she stopped running and
- 24 that she was well aware of the fact that we were the police,
- 25 she was better off than she was initially when she started SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Vizcarrondo - cross

D4t9flo1

1 running.

3

8

- 2 Q. If you would turn to your deposition, page 79.
 - Do you recall giving the following answer to the
- 4 following question, lines 18 to 21.
- 5 "Q. So you were identifying yourselves to put her at ease but
- 6 she wasn't at ease when you left, right?
- 7 "A. Correct."
 - Did you give that answer to that question?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, you determine whether an encounter that you're having
- 11 with a pedestrian is a stop based on whether or not you have
- 12 reasonable suspicion, right?
- 13 A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Do you need me to rephrase it?
- 15 A. Please, yeah, or repeat it.
- 16 Q. You determine whether or not an encounter you have with a
- 17 pedestrian rises to the level of a stop based on whether or not
- 18 you have reasonable suspicion, right?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. And you don't necessarily do anything that indicates to the
- 21 person stopped that he or she is not free to leave, right?
- 22 A. I don't do -- could you repeat that question. I'm sorry.
- 23 Q. There's nothing that you necessarily do when you're
- 24 encountering someone and you believe it's a stop to indicate to
- 25 that person that he is not free leave, right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

1 A. Well if it's necessary to indicate it to them, yes, I do.

- 2 Q. But as a matter of practice you don't necessarily do that,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Again, you know, each situation is unique. Again, if
- 5 there's a need for me to refrain that person from leaving, then
- 6 I will do so.
- 7 Q. But you don't always specifically tell the person that he's
- 8 not free to leave, right?
- 9 A. No. Sometimes it's not necessary.
- 10 Q. Now, no one in the NYPD has ever told you that one reason
- 11 for including a stop and frisk in your memo book is to be able
- 12 to look back and determine the constitutionality of the stop,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Specifically, no. But it's implied. When stopping and
- 15 questioning someone, we're New York City Police Department, it
- 16 should and shall be within the constitutional boundaries.
- 17 Q. But you've never specifically been told that looking back
- 18 at the constitutionality of a stop is one reason to include
- information about a stop in your memo book, right?
- 20 A. I don't know, Ms. Borchetta, I don't know if that language
- 21 has been specifically used. So I mean I would have to say -- I
- 22 would have to answer no to that.
- 23 Q. You just testified about a meeting with an ICO regarding
- 24 the CCRB allegations for the Ms. Acevedo stop, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

1 Q. The ICO did not discuss with you anything about how you

- 2 should handle the incident with Ms. Acevedo, right?
- 3 A. No.
- 5 your memo book, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And the ICO didn't give you any instructions about how to
- 8 conduct stops in the future, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And after the incident with Ms. Acevedo, no superior
- officer ever discussed with you the incident with Ms. Acevedo,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 $\,$ Q. You testified just now about some trainings at tac
- 15 meetings.
 16
 - Do you recall that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. But even though you say that there are meetings -- I'm
- 19 sorry.
- 20 Even though you say that there are trainings at tac
- 21 meetings, you can't provide any details about those trainings,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Well, I could tell you that the training that I've
- 24 received, you know, in the academy as well as during tac
- 25 meetings and, you know, on the field, they have included the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

different levels of stop, question and frisk. Proper ways of

- 2 filling out, you know, a stop, question and frisk. But -- and,
- 3 again, not specific to the encounter with Ms. Acevedo but just
- 4 regarding any interaction with the public.
- 5 Q. So you're saying that at some tac meetings superior
- officers have discussed the levels of suspicion, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. But other than that you can't give any details about what
- 9 it is they've trained you on at tac meetings with respect to
- 10 the levels of suspicion, right?
- 11 A. Detailed, no.
- 12 Q. And at the tac meetings no supervisor has ever discussed an
- 13 example of a stop that a detective in the division conducted,
- 14 right?

19

- 15 $\,$ A. Again, stops have been discussed. The particulars of each
- 16 individual stop, it varied. There's so many variables.
- 17 Again whether or not it was a specific stop or not,
- again, it's hard for me to testify to that.
 - Q. Well were you able to testify to that at your deposition?
- 20 Do you recall that?
- 21 A. If I can refresh my memory, I could tell you.
- 22 Q. At page 130. Beginning at line 25 going to page 131, line
- 5 do you recall giving the following testimony?
- 24 "Q. Okay. At any of these tac meetings --
- 25 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. I think he asked her to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross 1 have his memory refreshed. I don't think there's a line of 2 impeachment here. 3 THE COURT: That's fair. 4 Do you want to show him that portion? 5 MS. BORCHETTA: I can show -- you can read that 6 portion. I do think it impeaches previous testimony. 7 THE COURT: Read that to yourself. 8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. What line? 9 THE COURT: What line? 10 MS. BORCHETTA: Line 25 to page 131, line 5. 11 THE WITNESS: You said lines 25 through? 12 MS. BORCHETTA: Page 130, line 25 to page 131, line 5. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes. 14 Q. So I'll ask you again. At any of the meetings, the tac 15 meetings, has a supervisor ever discussed an example of a stop 16 that an officer detective within the division had conducted? 17 A. Again, I think I just -- I believe -- and I apologize if I 18 didn't but I -- that's what I just said. 19 It's, again to the specifics of whether or not it was 20 a specific stop, again, I would -- the answer would be no. 21 But, again, the stops, they -- there are too many variables. 22 So again --2.3 Q. So it is correct that at any of the tac meetings a 24 supervisor has never discussed an example of a stop that an 25 officer detective within the division had conducted, right? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

A. Whether or not it was a specific stop, like I said before,

- the answer would have to be no, I don't know whether it was or 3
- 4 Q. Well your answer at that deposition to that exact question 5 was no; is that correct?
 - A. Yes. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Without any caveats, right?
- 8 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor. The next line 9 says, "No?"
- 10 "A. A specific stop incident."
- 11 So I mean he's testifying consistent with what he's 12 testifying to here today.
- 13 Q. And a supervisor has never discussed a specific stop 14 incident at a tac meeting when you were present, right?
- A. Not that they have not -- it's not that they haven't -- I'm 15
- 16 sorry. Excuse me. It's not that they haven't instructed me
- 17 about a specific stop. It's I don't know -- I can't recall
- 18 specifics of that. And I don't -- I know that I've been
- 19 instructed on stops. But to testify to the specificity of it,
- 20 I, you know.

6

- 21 Q. Well I understand that you're testifying about general
- 22 training that you've received. But I'm asking you whether a
- 2.3 supervisor has ever discussed a specific stop incident with you
- 24 at a tac meeting.
- 25 A. Again, I'm sure that they have. Do I recall a specific SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross incident or specific stop with detailed instruction, no, I do

- 2 not.
 3 Q. Okay. Page 131 of your transcript, lines 12 to 14.
- 4 Do you recall giving the following testimony?
- 5 "Q. So a supervisor has never discussed a specific stop
- 6 incident at a tac meeting with you?
- 7 "A. No."

8

2.3

- You gave that testimony, right?
- 9 A. Page 131, could you repeat the lines again?
- 10 Q. 12 to 14.
- 11 A. That's the answer. Yes. Correct.
- 12 Q. No one within the NYPD has ever discussed with you that the
- 13 NYPD prohibits quotas, right?
- 14 A. I've never -- it's not really an issue that's been
- discussed, quotas, within the ranks.
- Q. So no one within the NYPD has ever discussed the NYPD's
- 17 prohibition on quotas with you, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And no one within the NYPD has ever discussed with you that
- 20 the NYPD prohibits requiring a certain number of stops in a
- 21 certain timeframe, right?
- 22 A. Again, yeah, that's an accurate statement.
 - MS. BORCHETTA: No further questions.
- 24 THE COURT: Anything further?
- Yes. Mr. Marutollo.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross 1 MR. MARUTOLLO: No further questions. 2 THE COURT: Okay. You're done. Thank you. 3 (Witness excused) 4 MR. MARUTOLLO: Your Honor, we would note that the 5 next scheduled witness is Detective Michele Hawkins. However, 6 she's unavailable at this time. She may still be coming in 7 today. But we do have our next witness that's ready. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MR. MARUTOLLO: So we can call Lieutenant Dewkoemar 10 Mohan. 11 DEWKOEMAR MOHAN, 12 called as a witness by the Defendants, 13 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 14 BY MR. MARUTOLLO: 15 Q. Good morning, Lieutenant Mohan. 16 A. Good morning. 17 Q. Are you currently employed by the NYPD? 18 A. Yes. Q. And where were you born? 19 20 A. I was born in South America. A country called Suriname, south America. Small country. 21 Q. What's your educational background? 22 2.3 A. I'm currently finishing up my master's in criminal justice 24 from John Jay. 25 Q. Do you have a bachelor's degree?

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. How long have you been employed by the New York City Police
- 3 Department?
- 4 A. Approximately 16 years.
- 5 Q. And did you enter and graduate from the police academy?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And when did you graduate from the police academy?
- 8 A. I graduated from the police academy in December of 19 -- I
- 9 entered, actually, in December of 1997. Graduated, I believe
- 10 it was in June of '98.
- 11 Q. After graduating from the police academy where were you
- 12 assigned?
- 13 A. I was immediately assigned to transit district 11 which is
- in the Bronx, mainly patrolling the trains.
- 15 Q. How long were you assigned to district 11 in the Bronx?
- 16 A. Approximately seven years.
- 17 Q. What were your duties and responsibilities in district 11?
- 18 A. Mainly patrolling the trains, subway patrol.
- 19 Q. Did there come a time when you were promoted to sergeant?
- 20 A. Yes. I was promoted to sergeant in October of 2005.
- 21 Q. How did you receive that promotion?
- 22 A. Through the sergeant's test.
- 23 Q. Upon being promoted to sergeant did you receive any
- 24 training?
- 25 A. Yes. The training consists of about approximately a month SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

- 1 in the police academy leadership training.
- 2 Q. And after your promotion to sergeant, where were you
- 3 assigned?
- 4 A. In the 40th precinct in the Bronx.
- 5 Q. What were your duties and responsibilities as a sergeant in
- the 40th precinct in the Bronx?
- 7 A. Mainly supervising my subordinates.
- 8 Q. And did you work as a patrol squad supervisor at any point?
- 9 A. I was patrol squad, correct.
- 10 Q. Did there come a time when you were promoted to lieutenant?
- 11 A. Yes. I was promoted to lieutenant in March of 2012.
- 12 Q. And where were you assigned as a lieutenant?
- 13 A. I am currently assigned in the 44th precinct in the Bronx.
- Q. What are your duties and responsibilities as a lieutenant
- in the 44th precinct?
- 16 A. I supervise the command operations in the 44th precinct on
- my tour.
- 18 Q. Do you attend roll call every time you're working as a
- 19 lieutenant?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you ever speak at roll call?
- 22 A. All the time.
- 23 Q. And what do you speak about at roll call as a lieutenant?
- 24 A. Speak about --
- 25 MR. MOORE: Judge, I'm going to object. I think it's SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Vizcarrondo - cross D4t9flo1 beyond the scope of what this witness was called to testify to. It's my understanding he was called to testify with regard to 3 Officer Serrano. And he wasn't promoted to a lieutenant until 4 March 12. 5 THE COURT: March 12 of. 6 MR. MOORE: March of 2012. He was in a different 7 precinct, so. 8 MR. MARUTOLLO: I think it still goes to the 9 plaintiffs' Monell claim about supervisory liability. 10 THE COURT: It may. I don't think that was the point 11 of the objection. I think the point of the objection was the 12 lack of notice to the plaintiffs that he was being called to 13 discuss roll call --14 MR. MARUTOLLO: Just one question. 15 THE COURT: -- roll calls at precincts. 16 All right. One question. 17 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm mainly responsible -- mainly 18 talk about matters of importance, anything that the commanding officer would tell me to relate to the officers at roll call. 19 20 We talk about bulletins, any new interim orders that came 21 about; also messages from the precinct detective units, 22 anything that would, you know, fight crime pretty much. That's 2.3 what we discuss. 24 Q. So Lieutenant Mohan, going back to your time at the 40th 25 precinct what area does the 40th precinct cover? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

ERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, F (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

- 1 A. It covers mainly the South Bronx area.
- 2 Q. And when you were a sergeant at the 40th precinct how did
- 3 you ensure that officers under your supervision conducted stops
- 4 based on reasonable suspicion?
- 5 A. Well, we talk about it in roll call. We will respond to
- 6 individual stops when an officer would have that. We also
- 7 respond to major radio runs making sure we get there and verify
- 8 that the officers, indeed, have reasonable suspicion to stop
- 9 individuals, make sure they have the proper memo book entries
- in that stop; also making sure that they offer them the
- 11 tear-out, what is the stop and frisk -- question, frisk
- 12 encounter. Sometimes individuals, they take them. Sometimes
- 13 they don't. But it's offered to them all the time.
- 14 Q. Do you -- did you ever review UF 250s during your time as a
- 15 sergeant in the 40th precinct?
- 16 A. All the time.
- 17 Q. And how often would you review UF 250s from your officers
- 18 in your squad?
- 19 A. On a daily basis at the end of the tour before we go.
- 20 Q. Now while in the 40th precinct did you ever speak with
- 21 your officers about their stops when reviewing the UF 250s?
- 22 A. All the time, yes.
- 23 Q. And while in the 40th precinct did you ever assign
- officers under your supervision to be your driver?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

- 1 Q. And why did you do that?
- 2 A. We take officers to driver us mainly to gauge their
- 3 experience actually to see if they're lacking any kind of
- 4 training needs. And if they do, we will address it. How to
- 5 interact with the public. Things to that nature.
- 6 Q. Did you ever consider that assignment to be a punishment?
- 7 A. Never.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with an officer named Pedro Serrano?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What is your familiarity with officer Pedro Serrano?
- 11 A. I have seen Officer Serrano in and out of the precinct on
- 12 most occasions. I was never his direct supervisor. And I also
- 13 believe that he was on my roster for violence reduction
- 14 overtime. I don't remember how many times.
- 15 MR. MOORE: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that last.
- 16 Could you just repeat that last answer, that last -- repeat the answer.
- 18 THE WITNESS: He was on my roster for violence 19 reduction overtime.
- MR. MOORE: He was in your woster?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Roster. R-O-S-T-E-R. Roster.
- MR. MOORE: That's the word I didn't understand.
- 23 THE WITNESS: We just use a sheet basically to put
- officers down for that particular overtime, or any details that

we go to.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross

1 Q. What would you base that, the names on those sheets on?

How would you assign -- I'm sorry. Withdrawn.

How would you assign, if at all, officers to get violence reduction overtime?

5 A. Violence reduction overtime is strictly voluntary.

However, sometimes it's not feasible to get that amount of people on the roster. So based on the department

needs, will have to pick somebody that's available.

- 9 Q. Did you ever consider assigning violence reduction overtime to be punishment?
- 11 A. Never.

6

7

8

- 12 Q. Did you ever tell Officer Serrano to go out and get five
- 13 summonses during a tour?
- 14 A. No. Never.
- 15 Q. Did you ever tell Officer Serrano to get any predetermined
- 16 number of summonses during a tour?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Did you ever tell Officer Serrano that he needed to
- increase the number of summonses he issued during the violence
- 20 reduction overtime tour from two to five?
- 21 A. I would never do that, no.
- MR. MOORE: Judge, I would object.
- One, to the leading nature of the question; and two,
- 24 if he was never his direct supervisor, I don't know how this
- 25 testimony is relevant.

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross MR. MARUTOLLO: I believe Lieutenant Mohan testified 1 2 that he was at times his violence reduction impact overtime 3 supervisor. 4 THE COURT: You did say that, right? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: So you can answer the question. 6 MR. MOORE: Also, judge --7 8 THE COURT: It was leading. 9 MR. MOORE: Leading. 10 THE COURT: I know. 11 You can answer the question. 12 Do you remember the last question? 13 Did you ever tell him to do a certain number of stops? 14 THE WITNESS: No. I never did that. 15 Q. Did you ever call Officer Serrano and tell him to meet you 16 in the vicinity of the Grand Concourse and 138th Street in 17 order to assign him an arrest of an individual for driving 18 while intoxicated? 19 MR. MOORE: Judge, just object on the leading nature. 20 THE COURT: There is no other way to ask that question 21 so I would definitely allow that one. 22 Did you ever do that? THE WITNESS: No. 2.3 24 Q. By the way, is it any violation of NYPD policy to assign an officer to process an arrest actually made by another officer? 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross A. Actually no, it's not. We do that all the time. It's called reassigning an arrest to somebody else. 3 Q. Did you ever tell Officer Serrano to sign any document 4 indicating that he saw something that he did not actually see? 5 A. No. 6 MR. MOORE: Judge, again, just the leading nature. 7 THE COURT: It's really not leading. These are 8 eliciting denials. There is no other way to put it. He's not 9 trying to get information. It's to elicit a denial. There is 10 no other way to elicit a denial than to state the proposition 11 and he says no. That's it. 12 MR. MARUTOLLO: This is a direct rebuttal to Officer 13 Serrano. 14 THE COURT: I realize. I know exactly what you're 15 doing. 16 Q. Have you ever told an officer to perjure himself by saying 17 that he observed something he did not actually observe? 18 MR. MOORE: Objection, Judge. 19 THE WITNESS: No. Never. That's absurd. 20 THE COURT: It's not leading. I don't understand your 21 objection. 22 Sorry. Your answer was? 2.3 THE WITNESS: No. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 Q. Now Lieutenant Mohan, do you know if the NYPD has a policy SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo1 Vizcarrondo - cross prohibiting racial profiling? A. Yes. 3 Q. And what do you understand that policy to be? A. I believe there is interim order 2012 that came out, and we discuss this interim order regularly at roll call. And up to 6 this date we still do. The officers are reminded of that 7 interim order. 8 Q. Were you aware of the racial profiling prohibition prior to 9 this interim order 2012? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Were you familiar with that throughout your time as a 12 sergeant in the 40th precinct? 13 A. Yes. It's directly taught in the police academy. MR. MARUTOLLO: May I have one moment, your Honor? 14 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 (Pause) 17 MR. MARUTOLLO: No further questions, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 Who -- Mr. Moore. 20 MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. 21 Because I didn't anticipate he would be coming on now 22 I have some documents in the back because remember we skipped a 2.3 witness?

> THE COURT: So maybe. MR. MOORE: Maybe we should take the break now. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

24 25

```
D4t9flo1
                              Vizcarrondo - cross
               THE COURT: I thought it would be just very few
 1
 2
     questions. But, okay, let's take about ten minutes and
 3
     reconvene.
 4
               (Recess)
 5
               (Continued on next page)
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

D4T8FLO2 Mohan - cross

- 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. MOORE:
- 3 Q. Good morning, Lieutenant Mohan.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 Q. You said that you were never the patrol supervisor of
- 6 Officer Serrano, correct?
- 7 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 8 Q. On occasion you would supervise him in an impact overtime
- 9 assignment?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. That was pretty rare though, wasn't it?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You also said that one of the things you do when you
- 14 supervise your officers is to make sure they make proper memo
- 15 book entries, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. You're one of a number of supervisors at the 40th Precinct,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Sometime in 2005 until 2012 you were a sergeant and you
- 21 supervised patrols?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Did you do that for the entire time you were a sergeant at
- the 40th Precinct?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4T8FLO2 Mohan - cross

1 Q. During that time you would have meetings with other

- 2 supervisors, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.

4

- Q. And with the commanding officer of the precinct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. And the executive officer?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And integrity control officer?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. They never told you that there was a problem with respect
- 11 to memo book entries being filled out by officers, did they?
- 12 A. That was never discussed.
- 13 Q. Did they tell you that each year from 2005 to 2012 the
- 14 precinct failed the audit with respect to whether officers were
- preparing memo book entries related to stop and frisk?
- MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. This is beyond the scope
- 17 of the direct examination.
- 18 THE COURT: I will allow it.
- 19 A. I'm not aware of that, no.
- 20 Q. You also testified that there was -- you would never tell
- 21 somebody to -- it's OK to assign an arrest to another officer
- who wasn't present, right?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. But it's not OK to tell an officer to say that they
- 25 personally witnessed an arrest if they were not present, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Mohan - cross

- 1 correct?
- 2 A. Could you rephrase that again, sir?
- 3 Q. It's not OK to tell an officer to say that they personally
- 4 witnessed an arrest if they didn't, right, even though they are
- 5 being assigned the arrest, that's not OK, right?
- 6 A. That's not OK, no.
- 7 Q. That would be a violation of department of regulations,
- 8 right? Correct?
- 9 A. I don't think I am understanding you correctly. We always
- 10 do that, I mean, regularly do that. It's not a violation
- 11 against department policy. So you could assign an arrest to
- 12 another officer.
- 13 Q. Right. You can assign an arrest, but you can't -- if there
- is paperwork that would require the officer to say I personally
- observed somebody do something, you can't direct them to sign
- 16 that paperwork if they weren't personally present, right?
- 17 A. The paperwork, when they do the arrest processing, it will
- 18 be in the arrest processing whether -- you have to indicate
- whether you're the arresting officer or you're the assigned
- 20 officer on the arrest paperwork.
- 21 THE COURT: I was going to say you didn't answer his
- 22 question. Let him try one more time.
- 23 $\,$ Q. It would be a violation of department policy for an officer
- 24 to say they personally observed an arrest when they didn't do
- 25 that, correct?

D4T8FLO2 Mohan - cross

- A. Correct.
- Q. Have you been present at roll calls when Lieutenant Barrett
- or Lieutenant Doute have led the roll calls? 3
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. You have never been present when either of them did the
- 6 roll calls?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. You don't usually go to the patrol roll calls then,

9 correct?

10

11

12

15

16 17

18

19

- MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. It was asked and answered in his direct examination. He testified about that already.
 - MR. MOORE: We cannot have a speaking objection.
- THE COURT: Do you attend patrol roll calls or not? 13 14
 - THE WITNESS: I always attend patrol roll calls.
 - THE COURT: If you always attend them, how come you

have never heard these two lieutenants do roll calls?

THE WITNESS: Because Lieutenant Doute was assigned after I left the 40th Precinct.

THE COURT: And the other one?

20 THE WITNESS: Lieutenant Barrett, I know her briefly 21 in the 40th Precinct, maybe a couple of months.

22 THE COURT: But if you always attend the roll calls,

2.3 then one would think you would have heard them.

24 THE WITNESS: Judge, it depends. I was working the midnight to 2 in the 40th Precinct and mostly the ICO, which 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Mohan - cross

1 Lieutenant Barrett was the ICO in the 40th Precinct, she

- 2 probably would be working the second platoon. And Lieutenant
- 3 Doute, I believe he was the ICO for a brief period of time in
- 4 the 40th Precinct. I don't know him.
- 5 Q. Well, you were in the 40th Precinct in July of 2010,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. July of 2010, no, I wasn't.
- 8 Q. I'm sorry. I thought you said you were in the 40th
- 9 Precinct until 2012.
- 10 A. No.

16

- 11 Q. Before you got promoted to lieutenant?
- 12 A. I was in the 40th Precinct up until 2009. I was
- transferred to the 30th Precinct in January of 2010.
- 14 Q. OK. I don't think you testified to that on direct. That's
- 15 why I was confused.
 - After 2009, you weren't at the 40th Precinct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. In any of the roll calls, did any of the people conducting
- 19 the roll calls ever mention numbers, like we would like to see
- 20 a certain amount of summonses, a certain amount of arrests, a
- 21 certain amount of 250s, anybody ever mention numbers?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. You have never heard that at any roll call you ever
- 24 attended as a member of the New York City Police Department, is
- 25 that correct?

D4T8FLO2 Mohan - cross

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. From time to time in the precinct, when you were at the
- 3 40th Precinct, you would get reports from your supervisors
- 4 saying there is a spike in crime in a certain area, correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And your supervisors -- would it be your understanding that
- 7 your supervisors would expect you as a patrol supervisor to
- 8 address that condition?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. One of the ways of addressing that condition would be to
- 11 engage in enforcement activity, correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. And enforcement activity often includes arrest?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Summons?
- 16 A. Summons.
- 17 Q. Is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And also stop activity, filling out 250s, correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. In terms of measuring whether that condition was being
- 22 addressed by enforcement activity, your supervisors would look
- at the number of summonses, the number of arrests and the
- 24 number of 250s being generated, correct?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct.

D4T8FLO2 Mohan - cross

1 Q. If the numbers were too low in relation to the condition,

- 2 there would be questions addressed to you and other supervisors
- 3 about the low nature of those numbers, correct?
- 4 A. No, that's not correct.
- 5 Q. That never happened?
 - A. That never happened.
- 7 Q. So they would just mention the numbers but they wouldn't
- 8 discuss it any further?
- 9 A. They expect the officers to go out there to address
- 10 conditions. That could be arrests, summonses or stop, question
- 11 and frisk. But there was never any numbers mentioned, whether
- 12 they were low or high, it was never mentioned.
- 13 Q. The question was, they would look at the numbers to
- 14 determine whether enforcement activity is addressing that
- 15 condition, right? Isn't that what you just testified to a
- 16 minute ago?

6

- 17 A. I testified that, yes, they expect you to go out there and
- 18 address the condition, whether it's in the form of arrests,
- 19 250s, or summonses.
- 20 Q. Right. And part of the determination by the supervisors at
- 21 the precinct, in terms of whether a condition is being
- 22 addressed, is to look at the numbers in each of those
- 23 categories of enforcement activity, right?
- 24 MR. MARUTOLLO: It calls for speculation that
- 25 question.

D4T8FLO2 Mohan - cross MR. MOORE: I don't think so. 1 2 THE COURT: Do you make those determinations as a 3 supervisor yourself? 4 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 5 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 6 Q. But in the meetings you have attended, there have been discussions, have there not, about the numbers in each of those 7 enforcement activities, correct? 8 9 A. I have never discussed those numbers with my superiors. 10 THE COURT: Superiors, equal or below, have you 11 discussed it at any meeting? 12 THE WITNESS: No. 13 MR. MOORE: I have nothing further. THE COURT: Anything further for this witness? 14 15 MR. MARUTOLLO: Can I have one moment, your Honor? 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. MARUTOLLO: Q. A few questions, Lieutenant Mohan. When you were working 19 20 at the 40th Precinct, did you conduct roll calls with other 21 supervisors, present? 22 A. Yes. 2.3 Q. Were there any other superior officers with you at these 24 roll calls? A. No superiors, just other officers. The officers and maybe

25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Mohan - redirect D4T8FLO2 another sergeant. Q. Would Lieutenant Barrett then not be at the roll calls you 3 were present at? 4 A. If I am working, it all depends what tour she was working. 5 I believe she probably was at certain roll calls addressing ICO 6 related issues. 7 MR. MARUTOLLO: One moment, your Honor. 8 No further questions. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 Anything further? 11 MR. MOORE: No. 12 THE COURT: All set. Thank you. 13 MR. MARUTOLLO: The defendants call Sergeant Eduardo 14 Silva. 15 MR. MOORE: I am just going to observe that Sergeant 16 Silva has been in the courtroom. THE COURT: He has. I observed it, but nobody said 17 18 anything. 19 MR. MOORE: There is an order. 20 THE COURT: I understand but nobody said anything. MR. MOORE: I just noticed it.
THE COURT: There is another officer stepping out. 21 22 23 EDUARDO SILVA, called as a witness by the defendants, 24 25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Mohan - redirect

THE COURT: State your full name, your first and last, 2 spelling both names for the record.

3 THE WITNESS: Eduardo Silva, E-D-U-A-R-D-O, S-I-L-V-A.

- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. MARUTOLLO:
- 6 Q. Good morning, Sergeant Silva.
- 7 Are you currently employed by the NYPD?
- 8 A. Yes, I am.
- 9 Q. How long have you been employed by the NYPD?
- 10 A. Approximately ten years.
- 11 Q. What is your educational background?
- 12 A. I am presently in school right now finishing my college 13
- degree.
- 14 Q. Did you enter and graduate from the police academy?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. When did you graduate from the police academy?
- 17 A. December 2003.
- 18 Q. After graduating from the police academy, where were you
- 19 assigned?
- 20 A. I was assigned to the 115th Precinct in Queens North, which
- 21 covers Jackson Heights, Corona, Elmhurst and East Elmhurst.
- 22 Q. What were your duties and responsibilities in the 115th
- 2.3 Precinct?
- 24 A. I was assigned to operation impact. My general duties and
- 25 responsibilities were to keep the peace, prevent crime, general SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

1 enforcement in order to improve the quality of life in the

- 2 neighborhood.
- 3 Q. How long were you assigned to that precinct?
 - A. Up until July 2004.
- 5 Q. Where were you assigned next?
- 6 A. July 2008, I was promoted and I was assigned to the 40th
- 7 Precinct.

4

- 8 Q. You say you were promoted?
- 9 A. I'm sorry. I am going to correct myself. From the 115th
- 10 Precinct, I was transferred to the 112th Precinct in July of
- 11 2004, and that's in Queens North also, which covers Forest
- 12 Hills and Rego Park.
- 13 Q. What were your duties and responsibilities in the 112th
- 14 Precinct?
- 15 A. In the 112th Precinct, I was assigned to patrol duties,
- 16 keeping the peace, preventing crime, and general enforcement to
- improve the quality of life in the neighborhood.
- 18 Q. Did there come a time when you were promoted to sergeant?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. When was that?
- 21 A. That was in July of 2008.
- 22 Q. Now, upon being promoted to sergeant, did you receive any
- 23 training?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. After your promotion to sergeant, where were you assigned? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

1 A. I was assigned to the 40th Precinct in the Bronx.

- 2 Q. Is that your current assignment?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- 4 Q. What area does the 40th Precinct cover?
- 5 A. It covers Mott Haven and Melrose in the South Bronx.
- 6 Q. What is your current assignment within the 40th Precinct?
- 7 A. I am the business conditions sergeant.
- 8 Q. What are your duties and responsibilities as the business
- 9 conditions sergeant?
- 10 A. I lead a team of nine officers whose duties and
- 11 responsibilities are to address general enforcement and quality
- of life conditions in the area.
- 13 Q. How long have you been the business conditions sergeant at
- 14 the 40th Precinct?
- 15 A. Approximately, I would have to say going on a year.
- 16 Q. As the business conditions sergeant for the 40th Precinct,
- do you review UF-250s completed by officers under your
- 18 supervision?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. Do you discuss the underlying facts of the UF-250s with
- 21 officers under your supervision?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Now, prior to your current duties as the business
- 24 conditions sergeant, what was your assignment?
- 25 A. I was the anticrime supervisor.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

- 1 Q. How long were you the anticrime supervisor?
- 2 A. Approximately one year and a half.
- 3 Q. What were your duties and responsibilities as the anticrime
- 4 supervisor?
- 5 A. I led a team of four officers to patrol the confines of the
- 6 40th Precinct in plain clothes and unmarked vehicles in order
- 7 to address high crime activity.
- 8 Q. Prior to working as the anticrime supervisor, what was your
- 9 assignment?
- 10 A. I was assigned as a C2 supervisor and patrol.
- 11 Q. This is still when you're a sergeant at the 40th Precinct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Now, over the course of your almost five years at the 40th
- 14 Precinct, how would you describe the crime levels in that
- 15 precinct?
- 16 A. It's a very high crime precinct.
- 17 Q. What are you basing that on?
- 18 A. I am basing it on my experience of the five years I have
- 19 been there. I have responded to numerous shootings, robberies,
- 20 stabbings, chain snatchers, phone snatchers. I review
- 21 complaint forms every day prior to turning out my cops.
- 22 Q. Now, as a sergeant, do you ever speak with your officers
- 23 about the crime conditions that they are trying to address?
- 24 A. Yes, on a daily basis.
- Q. How do you inform your officers of these crime conditions? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

1 A. Prior to turning them out on roll call, I address all of

- 2 the conditions that are going on in the command at the time.
- 3 Q. How do officers under your supervision address crime
- 4 conditions?
- 5 A. They address it through general enforcement, summonses,
- 6 effecting arrests, doing directives, community interaction, and
- 7 just by mere presence.
- 8 Q. When you say directives, what are you referring to?
- 9 A. Directives is when I have them go to particular locations.
- 10 Let's say, for example, say we have a bank robbery, I have them
- 11 go to different banks in the location and conduct directives
- 12 there.
- 13 Q. On July 31, 2010, what was your assignment?
- 14 A. I was assigned as the violence reduction overtime
- 15 supervisor.
- 16 Q. What tour were you working that day?
- 17 A. I was 2130 by 0605 in the morning.
- 18 Q. What is the violence reduction tour?
- 19 A. It's a tour that is requested by the command in order to
- 20 address specific crime conditions in our command.
- 21 Q. Do you know how precincts receive violence reduction tour
- 22 assignments?
- 23 A. To the best of my knowledge, it's either requested by the
- 24 commanding officer or it's actually given to us by the patrol
- 25 borough, in this case, patrol borough Bronx, to address SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

- high-crime conditions.
- Q. In 2010, how often would the 40th Precinct receive violence
- 3 reduction tour overtime?
- 4 A. Approximately, three times a week.
- 5 Q. Why is that?
- 6 A. It's a high crime precinct, and from the time I have been
- 7 in the 40, we get a vast amount of shootings during the summer 8 period.
- 9 MR. MOORE: Object to the characterization of a vast 10 amount of shootings. I ask that that be stricken.

11 THE COURT: That's his characterization of it. I am 12 not going to strike it. I don't know exactly what it means 13 obviously in numbers, but it certainly means high.

THE WITNESS: I have worked in other commands where we 14 15 have never had a shooting at all, and since I have been here, 16 numerous amount of shootings.

- 17 Q. How are officers selected to work the violence reduction 18
- 19 A. For the most part, it was on a volunteer basis. If you
- 20 were scheduling a day off, you were able to do the overtime.
- 21 Obviously, if you were sick or on vacation you were not able to
- 22 do the overtime.
- 2.3 Q. Were officers assigned to overtime as the month progressed?
- 24 A. Yes, they were.
- 25 Q. Why was that?

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

- 1 A. We had to fill the spots.
- 2 Q. Now, what were your duties and responsibilities as a
- 3 sergeant working in the violence reduction tour on July 31,
 4 2010?
- 5 A. I was in charge of the roll call. I had to address my
- 6 officers about specific conditions going on in the command. I
- 7 would have to respond to any arrest incidents, respond to any
- 8 unusual incidents would be any robberies, shootings, any major
- 9 crimes that occurred within the area that I was covering, and
- just reviewing all of my officers' paperwork.
- 11 Q. Did you review the audio that was secretly recorded by
- 12 Officer Serrano on July 31, 2010?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
 - THE COURT: When did you do that?
- 15 THE WITNESS: I reviewed it in my lawyer's office.
- THE COURT: When?
- 17 THE WITNESS: I would say approximately six to eight
- weeks ago.

14

- 19 Q. Was the audio a complete recording of the July 31, 2010
- 20 roll call?
- 21 A. No, it was not.
- 22 Q. What was missing from the audio of the roll call?
- 23 A. Pretty much the entire roll call. My officers were not
- 24 given their specific assignments, their vehicle assignments,
- their meal times, the color of the day, the return date. They SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

weren't trained. Every roll call has a small portion that is directed towards training.

- 3 Q. Was there any discussion of crime conditions or crime 4 patterns that was not included on that audio?
- 5 A. They weren't included at all in that audio.

THE COURT: Was there any discussion that day?
THE WITNESS: I discussed it, but it wasn't on the

8 tape.

9 THE COURT: You know you discussed it on that very

10 day?

6

7

16

11 THE WITNESS: Yes. Every roll call, you have to
12 address your officers on the conditions out there, any
13 shootings that happen, any robberies, any particular areas in
14 the zones that we are covering so they will be aware of it so
15 they won't be in any danger and able to address it.

- Q. What was your role at the roll call on July 31, 2010?
- 17 A. Specifically everything I already mentioned, plus I would
- 18 give my officers my phone number so they can reach out to me,
- 19 check in, and let me know what's going on.
- 20 Q. You indicated that your officers would check in with you at
- 21 certain points during the tour, is that right?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Is that check-in, is that something you would do on a
- 24 regular tour, in other words, not a crime reduction tour?
- 25 A. On a regular tour.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

Q. Why would you do that? Why would you have them check in?

- A. I am the direct supervisor. I am in charge of them. I am
- responsible for them. I had a few officers I know who were 3
- 4 ordered to do overtime that I was afraid they were going to be
- 5 malingering. So I need for them to know that they are going to
- 6 be in touch with me and vice versa.
- 7 Q. What, if anything, did you hear Officer Serrano ask you
- 8 during this roll call?
- 9 A. He had suggested that I said that I wanted five Cs, five
- 10 250s, and that's why I said, Serrano, I just cut him off, and
- 11 he just started giggling, and I guess the rest of the officers 12 present started laughing.
- 13 Q. What was your understanding of Lieutenant Doute's comments 14 at this roll call regarding any fives?
- 15
- MR. MOORE: Object to the foundation.
 THE COURT: Did you hear Doute say that on the tape? 16
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: You heard what he said?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 THE COURT: What is your question?
- 21 Q. Did you hear Lieutenant Doute say "any fives"?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 2.3 THE COURT: What did that mean to you?
- THE WITNESS: In my opinion, it's that Lieutenant 24
- 25 Doute was --

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

THE COURT: I am not interested in what you think he 2 meant. What did it mean to you?

3 THE WITNESS: To go out and address the crime

4 conditions and performance goals set that day would have been five criminal court summonses or an arrest.

- Q. Did you believe that, again, did you believe that
- Lieutenant Doute mandated five C summonses, five verticals and 7 8 five 250s during this tour?
- 9 A. No, I did not. Neither I or Lieutenant Doute ever mandated 10 any officer at a command of anything.
- 11 Q. Did you hear anyone on the audio -- withdrawn.

12 At any point during this roll call on July 31, 2010,

- 13 did any superior officer threaten punishment if officers did
- 14 not reach a certain level of activity?
- 15 A. No.

5

6

- 16 Q. Did Lieutenant Doute instruct you to do a status check at
- 17 any point during the tour?
- 18 A. Yes. In the beginning of the tour.
- Q. What did that status check mean to you? 19
- 20 A. It goes back to having my officers check in with me because
- 21 there are a few officers it is known that they're going to come
- 22 to work and basically not address any conditions.
- 2.3 Q. When you say not address conditions, what do you mean?
- 24 A. Basically, come in to get paid and do nothing.
- 25 Q. Have you ever punished or retaliated against in any way any SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

officers who worked under you for failing to reach a certain

- amount of activity?
- 3 A. Never.
- 4 Q. Sergeant Silva, I am handing you what has been premarked 5 for identification as Defendants' Exhibit D10.

What is this document?

- 7 A. This is a report I submitted at the end of my violence 8 reduction tour.
- 9 Q. Did you complete this document?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- 12 A. Yes.

6

15 16 17

13 MR. MARUTOLLO: I would like to enter this document into evidence, Defendants' Exhibit D10. 14

MR. MOORE: No objection. THE COURT: D10 is received.

(Defendants' Exhibit D10 received in evidence)

- 18 Q. Sergeant Silva, according to this document, what activity
- overall was conducted during the July 31, 2010 violence 19
- 20 reduction overtime tour?
- 21 A. There was one arrest effected for driving a vehicle for
- 22 suspended license. There were a total of 15 criminal court
- 2.3 summonses issued, six for consumption of alcohol, one for
- 24 public urination, seven for disorderly conduct, one for
- 25 excessive noise, and those 15 criminal court summonses resulted SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

- in two return on warrants and also two verticals.
- Q. How many UF-250s were conducted during this tour?
- 3 A. None.
- 4 Q. Did you punish any of the officers who worked during this
- 5 violence reduction tour for failing to complete any UF-250s?
- 6 A. No, I did not.
- 7 Q. Did the 40th Precinct receive violence reduction overtime
- 8 tours in August 2010, in other words, after this July 31, 2010
- 9 tour?
- 10 A. Yes, they did.
- 11 Q. Did they receive that overtime tour even though there were
- 12 no UF-250s?
- 13 MR. MOORE: Object to the form, Judge. How does he
- 14 know why they were directed.
 - MR. MARUTOLLO: I can rephrase.
- 16 Q. Were you still a sergeant in the 40th Precinct in August of
- 17 2010?

15

- 18 A. Yes, I was.
- 19 Q. Did you supervise any violence reduction overtime tours in
- 20 August of 2010?
- 21 A. I am aware that there were. I am not too sure if I did or
- 22 not.
- 23 Q. Did you ever serve as Officer Serrano's direct supervisor
- on any other occasion besides July 31, 2010?
- 25 A. Yes. I was his direct supervisor for approximately two and SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct 1 a half years. Q. How would you describe Officer Serrano as a police officer? 2 3 MR. MOORE: Can we first establish the period of time 4 he supervised him? 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 Do you recall? 7 MR. MOORE: Also, Judge --8 THE COURT: I can only do one thing at a time. 9 Do you recall when you supervised him? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. From the time I got to the 11 command, which was August 2008, up until December of 2010. 12 THE COURT: What was your other problem, Mr. Moore? 13 MR. MOORE: If he is going to talk about evaluations, 14 the city never produced the evaluations of Officer Serrano. So 15 we are a little bit at a disadvantage. 16 THE COURT: Were they called for? 17 MR. MARUTOLLO: They were never requested. 18 MR. MOORE: If he is going to ask him to testify about 19 it? 20 THE COURT: Then they will have to produce them to you during a break, but they are not at fault for not doing it 21 22 since they weren't called with. 2.3 If you want to get into the area of evaluations, then 24 you will have to go and find them. 25 MR. MARUTOLLO: Can I have a moment, your Honor? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4T8FLO2 Silva - direct

1 THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MARUTOLLO: Your Honor, defendants will not ask any questions about the evaluations that were conducted. We just want a general question about a description of Officer Serrano as a police officer, not necessarily about evaluations.

THE COURT: OK.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace.$ MR. MOORE: Note my objection, Judge, unless we can see the evaluations.

THE COURT: I will allow that.

You are not allowed to talk about evaluations, but what is your own opinion or evaluation of this officer?

THE WITNESS: My opinion of Officer Serrano is a basic average officer. The only thing that really pops out from the time that I supervised him was that on frequent occasion he would have me respond to almost three quarters of his jobs because he was very reluctant in making decisions. So he would want me to come over there and make a decision for him. That's the only thing that stands out.

MR. MARUTOLLO: No further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Moore.

21 CROSS-EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. MOORE:

23 Q. During the time you were the sergeant -- are you still in

24 the 40th?

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25 A. Yes, sir.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - cross

1 Q. During that period of time, have there been any discussions

- in the 40th Precinct about the fact that officers are not
- 3 putting into their memo books details of their stop and frisk
- 4 activity, ever recall any discussion about that?
- 5 A. Can you repeat that, sir?
- 6 Q. Do you recall any discussion during the time you have been
- 7 in the 40th Precinct, any discussion of the fact that officers
- 8 who are engaging in stop and frisk activity are not putting
- 9 into their memo books details of those stop and frisks?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Officers are required to do that, correct?
- 12 A. Yes, they are.
- 13 Q. Are you aware that during the time you have been a
- 14 supervisor at the 40th Precinct that the 40th Precinct has
- 15 consistently failed the audit with respect to whether officers
- 16 are filling in the details of their stop and frisk activity in
- 17 their memo books, are you aware of that?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Nobody in the precinct ever discussed that with you?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Your commanding officer or the executive officer never
- 22 talked to you about that?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- 24 Q. Now, you listened to the tape that Mr. Serrano made of a
- 25 roll call on July 31, 2010, correct?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - cross 1 A. Yes, sir. 2 Q. Have you seen the transcript, a portion of the transcript 3 of that? 4 THE COURT: Have you seen the transcript? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. MR. MOORE: Judge, I am going to show him what has 6 7 already been marked as 297T2. 8 THE COURT: It's in evidence? 9 MR. MOORE: In evidence. 10 MR. MARUTOLLO: Just for the record, I don't believe 11 the transcript itself is in evidence. I know the audio is. 12 MR. MOORE: I thought we had an agreement with respect 13 to the transcript. If it's not in, we would move it. 14 THE COURT: There was one day they read a lot of ${\tt T}$ 15 numbers in. 16 MS. BORCHETTA: We specifically moved this into 17 evidence. 18 MR. MARUTOLLO: Sorry. 19 Q. You recognize here the name of Lieutenant Doute, correct? 20 A. Yes. Q. If you go down the page, it indicates that you were also 21 22 present at this roll call, correct? 23 A. Yes, I was. 24 Q. You see in the first paragraph there Lieutenant Doute is

talking about conditions, correct? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

25

D4T8FLO2 Silva - cross

- 1 A. Line 6?
- 2 Q. Line 6.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. He says, "In the meantime, I will try to keep the rest of
- 5 my dogs off the conditions guys to give you and to let your
- 6 feeding frenzy first and then I will let them do the rest. You
- 7 know what I mean. So any questions regarding that? Five,
- 8 five, five, five, five, any questions?" Do you see
- 9 that?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. You said you remember actually being at this roll call, you
- 12 said that on your direct, correct?
- 13 A. I was present at the roll call.
- Q. You remember Lieutenant Doute saying "five, five, five,"
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And that's five, five areas of enforcement activity
- 18 he is looking for, correct?
- 19 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. I would note for the
- 20 record there are unintelligible phrases that were on this audio
- 21 that aren't listed on this transcript, in the five, five
- 22 section.
- 23 THE COURT: That's not a basis for the objection. But
- 24 the question was improper because you're asking what the
- 25 speaker said.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - cross

1 Q. Did you understand that five, five, five to -- withdraw.

2 You understood that five, five to be referring

- 3 to enforcement activity that Lieutenant Doute wanted the
- 4 officers to engage in, correct?
- 5 A. That was the performance goal for violence reduction.
- 6 Q. So with respect to the performance goal, it would be five
- 7 summonses, five arrests, and five what else, five stops?
- 8 A. It's basically -- somewhere down there it says five of
- 9 anything.
- 10 Q. What do the fives refer it?
- 11 A. It can be any type of general enforcement, summonses --
- 12 Q. It could be 250s?
- 13 A. UF-250s.
- Q. You wouldn't call that a quota, would you?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. You would call it a performance goal though?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. You are aware that officers are evaluated based upon how
- 19 they meet their performance goals, correct?
- 20 A. Officers are evaluated for --
- 21 Q. Can you just answer that question, Sergeant?
- 22 MR. MARUTOLLO: He was trying to answer that question.
- THE COURT: Were you interrupted?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Go ahead.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - cross

1 A. Officers are evaluated on performance goals plus other

2 things.

8

- 3 Q. I understand. But part of what their evaluation is based
- 4 upon whether they meet the performance goals that are set for
- 5 them, correct?
- A. Yes. But their overall evaluation is not concerned about performance goals.
 - THE COURT: But it's one component?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. You know who Captain Materasso is, correct?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Is she still in the 40th Precinct?
- 13 A. Yes, she is.
- Q. Did she ever tell you that 50 percent of the officers'
- 15 evaluation would be based on meeting performance goals?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Were you aware that she had that standard in mind in
- 18 evaluating officers?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. So although it's only a part of the evaluation, still
- 21 performance goals would be a part of an officer's evaluation,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. If they failed to meet the standards set for them in the
- 25 performance goals, it could lead to them having some adverse SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - cross

- 1 employment consequences, correct?
- 2 A. What do you mean by that?
- 3 Q. They could get a new assignment, they could be put on a
- 4 different shift. There's all kinds of things that could happen
- to them if they don't meet the performance goals set for them, correct?
- 7 A. Not that I am aware of.
- 8 THE COURT: You think there is no consequence at all for not meeting performance goals?
- 10 THE WITNESS: It depends where -- I don't know where 11 his question is coming from.
- 12 THE COURT: I am just asking you, aren't are
- 13 consequences if you don't meet your performance goals?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 15 THE COURT: What might those consequences be?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Exactly what counsel said.
- 17 THE COURT: Like, in your words?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Change of assignment possibly, change of partnership.
- 20 Q. They could even be transferred out of the precinct,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Not that I am aware of, no.
- 23 Q. So this transcript indicates that you were present while
- the phrase "five, five, five" was mentioned, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - cross

1 Q. At some point Officer Serrano says, "You said five Cs, five

- 2 250s and what else?" Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Then you say, "Serrano." You're trying to stop Serrano
- 5 from talking, right?
- 6 A. Pretty much.
- 7 Q. You wanted to stop him because he was getting too specific
- 8 about the actual numbers of types of enforcement activity that
- 9 were being expected, right?
- 10 A. Not at all, sir.
- 11 Q. After you say "Serrano," then Lieutenant Doute says, he
- 12 repeats "five, five, five." Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. He repeats it again, "five, five, five. OK." Then he says,
- 15 "Any five, you know what I mean." Correct?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Did you understand when he said, "you know what I mean" to
- 18 be, you know you have certain performance goals and you have
- 19 got to go out and meet it, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MR. MOORE: One second, Judge.
- Nothing further, Judge.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
- MR. MARUTOLLO: A few questions.
- THE COURT: All right.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Silva - cross

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. MARUTOLLO:
- 3 Q. Sergeant Silva, Mr. Moore asked you questions about officer
- 4 $\,$ memo books during the cross-examination. While a sergeant at
- 5 the 40th Precinct, do you review officer memo books?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. Do you scratch or sign officer memo book entries?
- 8 A. On a daily basis.
- 9 Q. Do you review entries related to stop, question and
- 10 possibly frisk in these memo book entries?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 THE COURT: Did you ever find these entries not
- 13 complete enough?
- 14 THE WITNESS: I review more the form itself.
- THE COURT: The UF-250 form more than the memo book?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. But I also instruct my officers
- 17 exactly what to put down in the memo books.
- 18 THE COURT: Do you check the memo books?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 THE COURT: Did you ever find an insufficient
- 21 description in the memo books?
- THE WITNESS: No.
- THE COURT: Never? They were all perfect?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Pretty much, your Honor.
- Q. Just going back for a second to the transcript of SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4T8FLO2 Silva - redirect Lieutenant Doute indicating "five, five and five," did you 2 understand that to mean a total amount of activity of 15 of 3 something? 4 A. No. 5 MR. MARUTOLLO: Can I have one moment, your Honor? 6 No further questions, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. 8 Anything further? 9 MR. MOORE: No. 10 THE COURT: All set. Thank you. 11 MR. MARUTOLLO: Defendants call Sergeant Stephen 12 Monroe. 13 MS. BORCHETTA: Can we raise one issue? According to 14 the city's list of witnesses, this is the final witness 15 identified for today, except for Detective Hawkins who might 16 come. 17 THE COURT: Do you have your revised list in front of 18 you? I left my in chambers. 19 One second. OK. 20 MS. BORCHETTA: If they need to move up any witnesses, 21 we might need to get attorneys who are not here here. So we 22 would just ask that if somebody from the city could identify 2.3 anybody else being called today by 1:00. 24 MR. MARUTOLLO: We can do that right now. Detective 25 Hawkins, who was here last Wednesday and Thursday, we were SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4T8FLO2 Silva - redirect hoping that she will be here. However, we are not 100 percent sure on that. If Detective Hawkins is not here in the afternoon, we will continue with the order, which is, I 3 4 believe, Detective Santos Albino and then Sergeant Justin 5 Dengler. 6 THE COURT: OK. 7 In the meantime, we have Sergeant Monroe. 8 STEPHEN MONROE, 9 called as a witness by the defendants, 10 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 11 THE COURT: State your full name, first and last, 12 spelling both for the record. 13 THE WITNESS: Sergeant Stephen Monroe, S-T-E-P-H-E-N, 14 M-O-N-R-O-E. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. MARUTOLLO: 17 Q. Good afternoon, Sergeant Monroe. 18 A. Good afternoon. Q. Are you currently employed by the NYPD? 19 20 A. Yes, I am. Q. What is your educational background? 21 A. I have a BF degree in behavioral science. 22 2.3 Q. How long have you been employed by the New York Police

A. Approximately 20 years.

24

25

Department?

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct

- 1 Q. When did you join the NYPD?
- 2 A. August 1993.
- 3 Q. Did you enter and graduate from the police academy?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. When did you graduate from the police academy?
- 6 A. March 1, '94.
- 7 Q. After graduating from the police academy, where were you
- 8 assigned?

4

- 9 A. 70 Precinct, Brooklyn South.
- 10 Q. How long were you assigned to the 70 Precinct?
- 11 A. Approximately six years.
- 12 Q. What were your duties and responsibilities as an officer in
- 13 the 70 Precinct?
- 14 THE COURT: What borough is that?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Brooklyn. Brooklyn South, ma'am.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 17 Then he asked for your duties and responsibilities you
- 18 had in that precinct.
- 19 A. I did patrol and general enforcement.
- 20 Q. Where were you assigned next?
- 21 A. The 60 Precinct in Brooklyn South.
- THE COURT: Also Brooklyn South?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q. What were your duties and responsibilities as an officer in
- 25 the 60 Precinct in Brooklyn South?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct

1 A. I did patrol, general enforcement, I was the truancy

- 2 officer, and conditions.
- 3 Q. How long were you assigned to the 60 Precinct?
- 4 A. About ten years.
- 5 Q. Did there come a time when you were promoted to sergeant?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. How did you attain the position of sergeant?
- 8 A. I took a test.
- 9 Q. Why did you want to become a sergeant?
- 10 A. To use my knowledge and my experience to help other police
- 11 officers.
- 12 Q. When were you promoted to sergeant?
- 13 A. October 2010.
- 14 Q. So just to be clear, prior to being promoted to sergeant,
- did you have at least 15 years of patrol officer experience?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. Upon being promoted to sergeant, did you receive any
- 18 training?
- 19 A. Yes. I took a leadership management course.
- 20 Q. After your promotion to sergeant, where were you assigned?
- 21 A. The 40th Precinct in South Bronx.
- 22 Q. Is that your current assignment?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Again, you said that covers the South Bronx?
- 25 A. South Bronx, Mott Haven.

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct

1 Q. What are your duties and responsibilities as a sergeant in

- 2 the 40th Precinct?
- 3 A. I am a patrol supervisor. I supervise approximately ten
- 4 police officers. I supervise their patrol duties. I supervise
- 5 their paperwork and their arrestees.
- 6 Q. How would you describe the crime levels in the 40th
- 7 Precinct?
- 8 A. It's very high.
- 9 Q. How do you know that?
- 10 A. From my experience there and the crime statistics of the
- 11 precinct.
- 12 Q. What kind of crime conditions are prevalent in the 40th
- 13 Precinct, if any?
- 14 A. It's a lot of robberies, a lot of assaults and shootings.
- 15 Q. Now, what is your understanding of the racial makeup of the
- officers working at the 40th Precinct?
- 17 A. It's mostly Hispanic and black.
- 18 Q. As a sergeant, do you ever speak with your officers about
- 19 the crime conditions that they are trying to address?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. How do you inform your officers of these crime conditions?
- 22 A. I speak with them about crime conditions at roll call. I
- 23 will address like what type of robbery patterns, type of
- 24 larceny patterns. Also, there is a quest for excellence form
- 25 that is given to each sector, and I will explain that. Each SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct

1 sector -- a precinct is broke down in four sectors: Adam Boy,

- 2 David, Frank, George, Henry and John. Those are the four
- 3 sectors. The quest is a precinct condition for each sector.
- 4 Like, for example, Sector Adam would have maybe a robbery
- 5 pattern in a certain area or a quality of life condition or
- 6 maybe an auto condition, traffic.
- 7 Q. Would you communicate the information on these quest for
- 8 excellence condition reports to your officers?
- 9 A. Yes, I would. I would hand them out. Also, I would tell
- 10 them each sector, what the most important condition in the
- 11 sector they should address.
- 12 Q. Are you familiar with the NYPD's Operations Order 52?
- 13 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Pursuant to Operations Order 52, do you review officer
- monthly conditions impact measurement reports?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. How do you review these reports?
- 18 A. Well, I sign them three times a month and I also review
- 19 their memo books.
- 20 Q. You discuss these reports with your officers?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. As a sergeant, how do you ensure that officers under your
- 23 supervision are conducting lawful stops based on reasonable
- 24 suspicion?
- 25 A. Well, in the field we get radio runs. Most of the radio SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct

1 runs usually have some type of description. I usually go to

- the location of where the call is given with my officers, and I
- 3 observe the stops looking for safety and their approach of the
- 4 suspect.
- 5 $\,$ Q. Will you ever physically witness a stop while out on patrol
- 6 with these officers?
- 7 A. Yes, I will. I am out there monitoring the radio runs.
- 8 Q. Do you ever review UF-250s of officers in your squad?
- 9 A. Yes, daily.
- 10 Q. Do you review officers' memo books of officers in your
- 11 squad?
- 12 A. Yes, I do. When I am on patrol, I will usually sign them
- 13 and review them.
- 14 Q. Do you ever speak with officers about stops that they have
- 15 made?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. What do you speak to them about regarding these stops?
- 18 A. I talk to them about their approach, their safety, the type
- 19 of condition that is addressed.
- 20 Q. Now, just going back for a second to UF-250s. During your
- 21 review of these UF-250s, do you pay any attention or any
- 22 special attention to the location listed on the UF-250?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Why do you do that?
- 25 A. Depending on the condition of that sector, like maybe a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct

1 robbery pattern, assault pattern, or maybe there was a shooting

- 2 in that area.
- ${\tt Q.}\,{\tt So}$ do you see if the locations match up with the conditions
- 4 that are to be addressed?
- 5 A. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. Have you ever punished an officer under your supervision by
- 7 assigning them to work as your driver?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Why would you assign an officer to work as your driver?
- 10 A. Mostly because I needed a driver.
- 11 Q. I'm sorry?
- 12 A. Because I needed a driver.
- 13 Q. As a supervisor, have you ever subjected officers under
- 14 your command to a quota?
- 15 A. Never.

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

25

THE COURT: Have you ever reviewed a memo book entry that you considered inadequate?

THE WITNESS: Ma'am, when you say inadequate?

THE COURT: When you reviewed the memo book entry for a UF-250 stop, did you ever think the memo book entry was not completed or not complete at all?

THE WITNESS: If the officer needs instruction in the accuracy or completeness of the memo book entry, yes, I will.

24 THE COURT: So you have seen entries that were not

complete enough or were not accurate enough over the years? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. 2 THE COURT: Each time you saw that, you told the 3 officer? 4 THE WITNESS: I instructed them on the proper way to 5 fill them out. 6 Q. As a sergeant, have you ever punished an officer under your 7 command for failing to conduct a certain number of stops, 8 summonses or arrests? 9 A. No. 10 THE COURT: Are you aware of performance goals? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. 12 THE COURT: What is a performance goal? 13 THE WITNESS: A performance goal would be addressing 14 your conditions. 15 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 16 THE WITNESS: Addressing your conditions in your 17 sector or specified in the quest. 18 THE COURT: Or specified? 19 THE WITNESS: When I say conditions, like in the 20 quest, different quality of life conditions, shooting patterns, 21 when they go in and they talk to the public, when they go to 22 areas where there are shootings, they do verticals and 2.3 directive patrols. 24 THE COURT: So the performance goals are never 25 numerical? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

	D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct
1	THE WITNESS: Numerical in what way?
2	THE COURT: Numbers. Performance goals are never
3	stated in terms of numbers?
4	THE WITNESS: For the officer?
5	THE COURT: Officers or the precinct or the tour, did
6	anybody ever say a performance goal is numbers?
7	THE WITNESS: No.
8	THE COURT: You never heard that?
9	THE WITNESS: Not for the officers, no.
10	THE COURT: For who? If it's not for the officers,
11	then for who?
12	THE WITNESS: When you say performance goals, you are
13	talking about?
L 4	THE COURT: Did anybody in a supervisory level state
15	to the officers during roll call or during I guess roll
16	call, the performance goals that are expected?
17	THE WITNESS: They are expected to address the
18	conditions in sectors.
19	THE COURT: They are never numerical?
20	THE WITNESS: Never.
21	BY MR. MARUTOLLO:
22	Q. Sergeant Monroe, how do you evaluate officers who are
23	working under your supervision?
24	A. Well, through my 15 years on patrol and my three years of
25	supervising, I evaluate officers by their conditions, address
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
	(212) 805_0300

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct

1 their conditions, how they interact with the public, their

- 2 accuracy and completeness of the reports.
- 3 Q. You are familiar with an officer named Pedro Serrano?
- 4 A. Yes, I am.
- 5 Q. How long have you been Pedro Serrano's immediate
- 6 supervisor?
- 7 A. Approximately two years.
- 8 Q. Over the course of your supervision of Officer Serrano, how
- 9 would you evaluate Officer Serrano's job performance?
- 10 A. Over my two years?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. Mediocre.
- 13 Q. Going back for a second, did you have any input on Officer
- 14 Serrano's 2010 performance evaluation?
- 15 A. No, I did not.
- 16 Q. So what year did you first begin to evaluate Officer
- 17 Serrano?
- 18 A. I believe 2011.
- 19 Q. With respect to this first evaluation, do you remember what
- 20 score you gave Officer Serrano during this 2011 performance
- 21 evaluation period?
- 22 A. A 3.
- 23 Q. That is on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5
- 24 being the highest, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct

1 Q. Why did you give Officer Serrano a score of 3 in 2011?

2 A. He showed lack and drive.

THE COURT: He what?

THE WITNESS: He showed lack of initiative and drive.

- 5 Q. Did you also evaluate Officer Serrano in 2012?
 - A. Yes, I did.

3

4

6

- 7 Q. What score did you give Officer Serrano during the 2012
- 8 evaluation period?
- 9 A. I believe a 3.
- 10 Q. Why did you give Officer Serrano a 3 in 2012?
- 11 A. From my two evaluations, 2012 he regressed somewhat. Most
- of the calls or jobs that I go to -- we call assignments over
- 13 the radio jobs, whereas you would call them assignments or
- 14 assignments. For an officer to have his amount of time, which
- was eight years, he called me regularly to most of his jobs to
- supervise him or answer questions that I felt that he should
- 17 already know if he had patrol experience.
- 18 Q. How would you evaluate Officer Serrano's decision-making
- 19 ability in 2012?
- 20 A. Poor.
- 21 Q. Why is that?
- 22 A. Well, if he is calling me to a large amount of his jobs to
- answer questions that he should know, that I feel he should
- 24 know, in my 15 years of experience on patrol and three years as
- 25 a supervisor, he is calling me to ask questions and make SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct

1 decisions for him.

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Did you consider Officer Serrano's decision-making ability to be problematic for you?
 - A. Well, if I am going --
- 5 MR. MOORE: Object to the form. I don't know what 6 that means.

7 THE COURT: Was he an officer that you felt needed 8 more supervision than other officers?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: That creates a problem for you, is that right?

THE WITNESS: Officer Serrano had eight years of patrol experience. In my 15 years on patrol, I wouldn't call a supervisor as much as he called the supervisor to his location. And the questions that he asked me were not questions that were to help him solve a problem. He wanted me to go there and solve the problem.

THE COURT: OK.

- Q. Is it fair to say when you had to respond to OfficerSerrano, that you could not therefore be physically with other
- 21 officers?
- 22 A. If I am going to a majority of his jobs, I can't fairly
- 23 supervise other younger police officers on patrol.
- Q. Sergeant Monroe, do you remember a June 2012 meeting with
- 25 Captain Martine Materasso and Officer Serrano?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct

1 A. Yes.

4

12

- 2 Q. Why were you present at that meeting?
- 3 A. We were -- his evaluation, he was appealing it.
 - Q. Was that his 2011 evaluation?
- 5 A. Yes, it was.
- 6 Q. What, if anything, occurred at the meeting?
- 7 A. We discussed his evaluation and him addressing his
- 8 conditions. I think we discussed, if he addressed his
- 9 conditions a little better, we would do an interim eval.
- MR. MOORE: Would do a what?
- 11 A. Interim eval.
 - THE COURT: Interim evaluation.
- 13 A. So if he addressed his conditions more better, we would do
- 14 a better interim eval for him, meaning we would give him a
- 15 better score.
- 16 Q. Was that raised with Officer Serrano in the room?
- 17 A. Yes, it was.
- 18 Q. Did Captain Materasso receive a phone call during this
- 19 meeting?
- 20 A. Yes, she did.
- 21 Q. What was your understanding of that phone call?
- 22 THE COURT: He didn't have any understanding of the
- phone call.
- You weren't on the phone call, were you?
- THE WITNESS: No, I wasn't.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct THE COURT: I can't allow that. 2 Q. Did you indicate to Officer Serrano that he needed to do a 3 certain amount of activity at that meeting? 4 A. I indicated he needed to address his conditions in the 5 sector. 6 MR. MARUTOLLO: Can I have one moment? 7 THE COURT: Did you tell him that he had to increase 8 his numbers? 9 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. 10 THE COURT: He didn't have to increase his stops, 11 summonses or arrests? 12 THE WITNESS: No. He had to address his conditions. 13 THE COURT: I know. But you didn't tell him to pick 14 up his activity level? 15 THE WITNESS: I told him to address his conditions in 16 the sector. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 Q. Sergeant Monroe, what happened after Captain Materasso received that phone call? 19 20 A. Herself and Officer Serrano -- she asked Officer Serrano to 21 go with her to some type of narcotics location. Q. Sergeant Monroe, I would like to show you what has already 22 2.3 been entered into evidence as Defendants' Exhibit B10. 24 I will hand you a hard copy. 25 THE COURT: You said that's already in evidence? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct MR. MARUTOLLO: Yes. 2 THE COURT: It's on the screen, right? 3 MR. MARUTOLLO: Yes. 4 Q. Before we talk about Exhibit B10, Sergeant Monroe, can 5 conditions in a sector be addressed without enforcement 6 activity? 7 A. Without enforcement activity? 8 THE COURT: You kept answering before that you told 9 him to address conditions, and I kept saying, did you tell him 10 to pick up activity? So I guess what the counsel is saying, 11 can you address conditions without increasing enforcement 12 activity, was that the way you addressed conditions? 13 THE WITNESS: It depends on the condition. 14 Q. If there was a robbery at a location, would there be ways 15 to address that condition without stops, arrests or summonses? 16 A. A robbery location? 17 THE COURT: If you had a robbery condition, is there a 18 way to address it other than stops, summonses, arrests? 19 THE WITNESS: It depends on. 20 THE COURT: The answer is? 21 THE WITNESS: It depends. 22 THE COURT: Even on the robbery condition? 2.3 THE WITNESS: It depends. 24 Now, here he has directive patrols. If that's a robbery pattern in that location, the officer should be going 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct to the location and serving the area for suspicious activity, 2 any type of suspicious activity or whatever the officer feels 3 would bring his level of suspicion up or just serving the area. 4 If on the quest sheets -- let me go back. On the 5 quest sheets, for that particular sector, there is usually like 6 a description of -- say it's a robbery pattern. There is usually a description of a perpetrator doing the robbery, some 7 8 type of general description. 9 Q. Can physical presence by uniformed officers address 10 conditions? 11 MR. MOORE: Object to the leading nature of that 12 question. 13 THE COURT: Sustained. 14 Q. Turning to Defendants' Exhibit B10, which is already on the 15 screen, Sergeant Monroe, do you recognize this document? 16 17 Q. This document is Officer Serrano's October 2012 monthly 18 performance report, right? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. I'm sorry. Monthly conditions impact measurement report, 21 right? 22 A. Yes. 2.3 THE COURT: You said October '12? 24 MR. MARUTOLLO: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Wasn't it signed in April '12?

THE COURT: Wasn't it signed in April '12?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

	D4T8FLO2 Monroe - direct
1	MR. MARUTOLLO: On the top right-hand corner it says
2	October 2012.
3	THE COURT: Later on I thought it was signed April
4	' 12.
5	Is that 11? Somebody in your group said 11. I saw it
6	as a 4, but I'm told it's 11.
7	Well, on that most interesting note, we will reconvene
8	at 5 after 2. We are taking our lunch recess now.
9	(Luncheon recess)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

AFTERNOON SESSION

2.3

2:11 p.m. (In open court; trial resumed)

MS. BORCHETTA: Your Honor, I'm sorry. We need to interject an objection hopefully with time for the city potentially to respond to it.

We object to the witnesses the city has indicated they would call if we finish early, which it looks like we would today, which is Detective Albino and Sergeant Dengler. And our objection begins with the fact that we were supposed to question Detective Hawkins this morning. The first we learned that we weren't going to be questioning Detective Hawkins was when the city said during court that the next witness it was calling was Detective Vizcarrondo, skipping her. We still don't know why she isn't here.

 $\,$ And we, therefore, had no notice that Sergeant Dengler and Detective Albino might go today.

We understand that it's the way of proceedings that sometimes we do go faster. However, we're particularly burdened by calling these two witnesses early.

These two witnesses conducted searches into NYPD records to identify John Does. It involves a number of stops. It involves a lot of documents. It is also the subject of an extensive fact specific stipulation between the parties that was not finalized until late last night.

2.2

2.3

And our entire cross-examination of them is tailored necessarily to that stipulation, which we could not begin preparing until today. There are also a number of documents that we agreed to produce for -- as part of the city's stipulations when those witnesses appeared that we literally physically have not had time to get together. My understanding is it's about 60 exhibits.

THE COURT: All right. Look. That's very convincing. MR. MARUTOLLO: Your Honor, may I --

THE COURT: Can we skip over those two and bring in more ordinary people? Who else are you going to object to if they said well we'll skip --

MS. BORCHETTA: Your Honor, we said at the beginning of the lunch break that we would be willing to move on to Inspector Lehr or Sergeant Marino.

MR. MARUTOLLO: May I be heard regarding -THE COURT: It's a waste of my time to hear these
arguments. It truly is. It's a convincing enough case. She's
got to get 60 exhibits together. The stip wasn't reached until
late last night. They're not ready for the cross. I don't
need a counterargument. I'm not going to go forward with it.
Either I'm going to stop at 2:30, which is a true waste of my
time. It will throw us off the schedule for summations, which
is not critical to everybody, or you're going to bring in two
more -- I don't know what word to use -- ordinary witnesses
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

2.3

that don't require special preparation. They are perfectly prepared to go with Lehr or she said Marino. I don't know what's wrong with Cirabisi. Any of these people. Even the stop people. I can't imagine why you can't go with Barrett or White. They're all the same. Five choices to find two people so we use the afternoon.

I'm just asking you to be flexible and do it. I don't want an argument.

MR. MARUTOLLO: No, your Honor.

One note I was offering perhaps to might help the situation is the parties have indeed reached a stipulation regarding, in lieu of direct live testimony, which is about I believe it's ten pages long, single-spaced, which frankly I was planning on reading into the record, which may take some time.

Additionally, Ms. Patel has e-mailed and indicated that Sergeant Dengler, who was one of the individuals who will be testifying, that there is an exhibit ready and that they are I believe prepared for Sergeant Dengler to go first instead of Detective Albino who has the more extensive investigation.

I not sure if that will be of any assistance because it seems that, at the very least, Sergeant Dengler will be able to testify today particularly as the stipulation has been -- it was finalized last night, your Honor, but it was sent from last Sunday, a week before, have been discussing it all week and at plaintiffs' request it was discussed --

2.3

THE COURT: It didn't get done until last night. They didn't expect the witness today. They got 60 exhibits to put together. They're not ready for their cross. There's a lot of other people you could call who are more ordinary or plain vanilla — that was the word I was looking for.

Call some of these people. Call their precincts. See if Lehr can come down. See if Marino can come down. I don't see what's wrong with Barrett or White. Then you'd take these people and be done with it. We spend more time talking about it.

MS. RICHARDSON: Your Honor, in an effort to try to assist, I've already reached out to Sergeant Marino. The problem is that he was not scheduled to testify until later this week and he is in New Jersey. He does not believe that he can get back before 4:30 today. So he's unavailable.

THE COURT: There's one. There were other choices here. There's Lehr in the 67th precinct. I don't know why there can't be Cirabisi in the 107. I don't know there can't be White. I don't know why there can't be Barrett. There's a lot of other choices.

MR. MOORE: And then there's Hawkins. We haven't heard any explanation why she didn't show up.

THE COURT: I don't know if the defendants know. My impression was they were thinking she might walk through the door any minute earlier this morning.

2.3

MR. MARUTOLLO: It was intended to be about the same amount of time for Detective Hawkins as was for Detective Vizcarrondo.

THE COURT: But you haven't found her, or she's not here, either one or the other.

MS. PUBLICKER: Just briefly we have been calling other witnesses and we've had the issue that some of them have criminal trials going on that our notifications can't supersede.

THE COURT: I don't believe all the five names I just brought up are in criminal trials. There aren't enough going on to keep five of them busy.

So somebody should go out in the hall and call all five that I mentioned to see what you can do to fill the day; otherwise, I'm going to stop. But then you're going to suffer at the end of this. That's what's going to happen. I'm not staying a day after the 20th at 5:00, as we've discussed.

MR. MARUTOLLO: May I proceed your Honor? THE COURT: With this witness.

I don't see anybody from the defense team leaving to make phonecalls. I asked them to. Maybe I should just direct it. Would somebody leave the room and make phonecalls of the five names I suggested.

MS. PUBLICKER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

D4t9flo3

1 STEPHEN MONROE, resumed.

- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. MARUTOLLO:
- 4 Q. Sergeant Monroe, before the break we were discussing your
- 5 June 2012 meeting with captain Martine Materasso. Do you
- 6 recall that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you indicated that you told Officer Serrano, during
- 9 that meeting with Captain Materasso, that he needed to address
- 10 his conditions?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What did you mean when you told Officer Serrano that he
- 13 needed to address his conditions?
- 14 A. What I meant by addressing conditions was depending on what
- 15 the condition is, might be shooting condition or robbery
- 16 location or shooting location -- we've had shootings in the
- 17 past -- I meant by him addressing the condition, going to the
- 18 location. His very presence as an officer, when you go to the
- 19 locations, interactions with the public, surveying the
- 20 location, looking for suspicious activity.
- 21 When you address the public and you're out there.
- 22 Visibility to the public. They'll come to you and they'll tell
- you what's going on, or you'll see what's going on.
- With his eight years of experience as a patrol officer
- in the South Bronx I would assume that he -- when I go address SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct

conditions, he can go out and survey the locations; survey the shooting locations or robbery locations specified in the request sheets.

Some of these locations that they have may be descriptions of like gang members or — descriptions of robbery patterns, some type of description. Address the conditions by going on the location and surveying, looking for suspicious activity and asking the question why, what's going on here, what's out of place. And then you go from there.

- 10 Q. So if a shooting occurred in a given location, how would 11 you expect officers under your supervision to address that kind
- 12 of condition?
- 13 $\,$ A. Well I would expect them to go to locations, survey the
- 14 area, do verticals, directed patrols, looking for something
- 15 that's out of place. You go from there and --
- 16 Q. Would you ever consider their presence alone to be
- 17 sufficient?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And when you mentioned verticals, what did you mean by
- 20 that?

4

5

6

7

9

- 21 A. Verticals. Verticals are when -- say we get -- give you an
- 22 example of the location where they had maybe shootings. When
- 23 you go into a building, do verticals. You go into the
- 24 building. You're entering the building. You're going into the
- lobby. You're going into the vestibule. You're going to the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct

1 roof. You're going down the stairwells. You're looking for

2 suspicious activity. Probably maybe -- depends on what the

3 officer notices, encounters.

- 4 Q. I'd like to turn your attention back to Defendants' Exhibit B10 which has already been entered into evidence.
- Again, Sergeant Monroe, what is this document? I know you have a hard copy in your hand.
- 8 A. This is a performance conditions impact measurement report.
- 9 Q. Is this from October 2012?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. Did you complete any part of this document?
- 12 A. Yes. I signed it three times.
- Q. And on the back page of this document did you complete any other sections?
- 15 A. Yes. I made comment in the supervisor's comment section.
- 16 Q. First, Sergeant Monroe, with respect to this document, what
- are the conditions that are to be addressed by Officer Serrano
- during October 2012?

21

22

- 19 A. Shootings and robberies.
- 20 Q. And how many days --
 - THE COURT: Does it say that on the form somewhere? Is it on the top?
- 23 MR. MARUTOLLO: It's not on the very top, your Honor.
- 24 It's on -- you can see right here. Robberies and shootings.
- 25 THE COURT: But those are individual days, aren't SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct 1 they? 2 MR. MARUTOLLO: Right. THE COURT: So for some days, the conditions to be 3 4 addressed are shooting and robberies, right? THE WITNESS: The days he was on patrol. 5 6 THE COURT: All the days he was on patrol? 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Now you see --8 Q. What do you mean when you say that the days he's on patrol 9 he was assigned to address robberies and shootings? 10 A. Some of these days he has what CRV, that's something 11 outside -- like detail outside of command. He's RDO. 12 Q. Are you referring to his assignments? 13 A. Yes. 14 THE COURT: I guess he's saying whenever it doesn't 15 say shootings and robberies it's because he's assigned to 16 something else or on an RDO. 17 THE WITNESS: Except five and six he doesn't have any 18 conditions. Q. During this period, October 2012 --19 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. -- does it indicate how many days Officer Serrano was on 22 patrol? 2.3 A. Thirteen. Q. And approximately how long is each day on patrol? 24 A. Eight hours and 30 minutes. 25

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct

1 Q. Now, the patrol time in your platoon during this period was

- 2 usually between the hours of 4:00 p.m. and midnight, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Now during your career you've worked two other platoons,
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And those were platoons, the day tour from eight to four
- 8 and midnights from twelve to eight; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. In your own experience do you find there are more or less
- 11 crimes during the four to twelve tour?
- 12 A. On the four to twelve there are more crimes.
- 13 Q. And in your experience what are you basing that on?
- 14 A. My experience there and the statistics. The stats of the
- 15 precinct.
- 16 Q. Looking at Defendants' Exhibit B10 there's a box there
- 17 that's checked and also circled that says ineffective. Did you
- 18 check and circle that box?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Underneath that box are also handwritten comments in the
- 21 box stating officer's impact on declared conditions; is that
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And the comments in that section indicate that "P.O.
- 25 Serrano indicates he had 20 car related stops. However, his SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct 1 activity does not reflect effective policing. P.O. Serrano 2 only has one B summons and no UF 250s." 3 Did you write those comments? 4 A. Yes, I did. 5 Q. And you rated him as ineffective in response to how he 6 addressed his declared conditions? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. How would you compare Officer Serrano to other officers 9 under your supervision working in the same tour and same sector 10 as Officer Serrano? 11 MR. MOORE: I'm going to object to that, Judge, unless 12 we have some evidence similar to what's being presented here. 13 There is no way to verify what he's going to say in terms of --14 MR. MARUTOLLO: It's his personal knowledge, your 15 Honor. 16 MR. MOORE: How he rates with the other officers. 17 THE COURT: When you say you rated him as ineffective 18 is that on the form? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. Right there. It's an X and a 20 circle. 21 THE COURT: Right. 22 How many other officers were under your supervision on 2.3 the same tour and the same sector as Officer Serrano? 24 THE WITNESS: During that month of October? 25 THE COURT: Yes. Let's say that. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct How many were under your supervision in the same 2 sector and the same tour? 3 THE WITNESS: About five or six. 4 THE COURT: Five or six others? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. Six. 6 THE COURT: And do you recall whether they were 7 rated -- any of them were rated ineffective or were they all 8 rated effective? 9 THE WITNESS: Some of these officers I wasn't their 10 squad supervisor so I wouldn't know what their --11 THE COURT: So how many of those six did you 12 personally rate? Any? 13 THE WITNESS: Four. THE COURT: You rated four others? 14 15 THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm. 16 THE COURT: Did you rate any of those four 17 ineffective? 18 THE WITNESS: No. 19 Q. Why didn't you rate those four officers ineffective? 20 MR. MOORE: Once again, I think he's trying to elicit testimony that we have no way of verifying its accuracy. 21 22 THE COURT: It's under oath. He says he personally rated them and he rated none of them ineffective. I take his 2.3 24 word for that. 25 MR. MOORE: Beyond that why should it be -- I SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Monroe - direct understand that's what he said. Now he's going to go into 2 details. 3 THE COURT: Not much detail. He's going to say why 4 did you rate them effective or, in the negative, why didn't you 5 rate them ineffective? I'll allow that. Why didn't you rate them 6 7 ineffective? 8 THE WITNESS: Why didn't I? In comparison? 9 THE COURT: I didn't ask that. 10 Why were they rated effective, those four; or put in 11 the negative, why weren't they rated ineffective? 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Well the other officers in my squad answered, you know, just as many -- I wouldn't say they answered -- I don't recall how many jobs they answered but it 13 14 15 was, you know, it was a high amount of jobs. And on their 16 impact mission form, they addressed the conditions more 17 vigorously.

- 18 Q. Now --
- A. In comparison to Officer Serrano. 19
- 20 Q. Now Officer Serrano lists 161 radio runs during October of
- 21 2012; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 2.3 Q. According to this document?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. First, can you explain to the court how officers respond to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct

1 radio runs?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

A. Well we receive the radio runs over central, 911. You call 911 and 911 calls central. You call 911 with say it was a robbery, for an example. You'll call 911. Say I've been robbed at this location. You'll give a brief description. Say send the police.

911 will dispatch that to our central, which will come over the air, dispatch a sector with the location where you're at and maybe a description if you gave one.

In my fifteen years on patrol and my three years as a supervisor, Officer Serrano if he had like maybe $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ MOORE: He's going beyond what the question was at this point.

THE WITNESS: I'm answering the question.

THE COURT: One second.

I'll strike starting with "in my fifteen years" because that was no longer responsive to, "Can you explain how officers respond to radio runs?" He finished explaining that and suddenly came up Officer Serrano's name, which had nothing to do with the question.

- 21 Q. Just going back, Sergeant Monroe, is there a primary sector
- officer assigned when there's a radio run?
- 23 A. (No response).
- Q. First -- so, is there?
- 25 A. Yes.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct

- 1 Q. And what does that mean?
- 2 A. Well the primary sector would be the sector that central
- 3 designates to go to that particular location or job.
- 4 Q. Is there a secondary officer assigned to the sector?
- 5 A. Depending on the job. If there's a robbery in progress or
- 6 maybe an assault in progress or maybe a shooting with -- or a
- 7 man with a gun with a description, there will be a backing
- 8 sector.
- 9 Q. So, turn your attention to Officer Serrano. What did it
- 10 mean to you that Officer Serrano had 161 radio runs in October
- 11 of 2012 and no UF 250s?
- 12 A. Well that --
- 13 MR. MOORE: I'm going to object to that question,

14 Judge.

15

16

17

18

19

21

- THE COURT: Why?
- MR. MOORE: Because I think he's asking a compound
- question. He's comparing apples and oranges on some level.
- THE COURT: Overruled.
 - What does it mean to you that he had 161 radio runs
- 20 and no UF 250s?
 - THE WITNESS: Judge, it means to me if he's a primary
- 22 sector going to say for example a robbery job or a person that
- 23 states that they've been robbed. He gets to there. He's
- 24 supposed to be doing a canvass making some type of
- 25 investigation.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct

If he's the backing sector, all right — if he's the primary sector he should get their further information, should be putting over a description of the perpetrator.

If he's the backing sector, he's going to be going to the location; if he's getting the description, he's going to be doing a canvass also for that perpetrator.

Now if you're doing a canvass, if you're doing police work in the South Bronx, it's -- it was a very high crime sector, you're going to make an investigation. You're going to canvass the area. You're looking for possible individuals fitting those descriptions.

THE COURT: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: And you're going to possibly make a stop.

THE COURT: Possibly.

THE WITNESS: If they fit the descriptions that the sector or the central put over. In the general area.

- Q. Now, were you familiar with these radio runs in
- 19 October 2012?
- 20 A. Yes. Most of them, yes.
- 21 Q. Did you monitor the radio as part of your duties as
- 22 sergeant?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

- 23 A. I monitored the radio constantly.
- 24 Q. And do you recall if any of these radio runs had detailed
- 25 descriptions related to them?

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct 1 A. In my experience --2 MR. MOORE: This is based on his personal knowledge. 3 THE COURT: Yes. He said he monitored the radio. 4 THE WITNESS: In my experience, depending on the jobs, 5 30 was to be a robbery, an assault in progress --6 THE COURT: That's not the question. The question was 7 very specific to October 2012. When you heard the radio, did 8 some of the radio calls have descriptions? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, they did. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 Q. And if they had descriptions what did that mean to you then 12 that Officer Serrano had zero 250s in response to these 161 13 radio runs? 14 A. Well that means he's going to the location or going to the 15 job and he's not doing a canvass. He's just taking a report. 16 THE COURT: Wait a minute. He's not doing a canvass. 17 Would you write a UF 250 if you just asked questions of 18 somebody? 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. If you're going to the location. 20 Someone, say we're using a robbery for an example, and the 21 person says okay this -- a person had a particular clothing or 22 whatever the case may be and he went southbound on 138 Street 2.3 or northbound, whatever. And you get there in a reasonable 24 amount of time. You're supposed to do a canvass with the 25 complainant. Look for the perpetrator. Or if the complainant

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct

can't come with you, you still should be doing a canvass
looking for --

THE COURT: I'm sure that's true. But why would that necessarily result in 250s?

THE WITNESS: Well it wouldn't necessarily result in a 250 unless you see a person fitting the description that the complainant gave. Because you're only going to look for the people that the description that the complainant gave.

Q. But in your experience in this South Bronx precinct what -- I mean did you have any -- what did you think, that there was 161 radio runs and he never found anyone that fit the description?

MR. MOORE: Object to that, Judge.

THE COURT: That's sustained. I mean I don't know if all 161 of those had a description. It's an unfair question the way it was posed.

- Q. Well did officers in your squad, Sergeant Monroe, during the same time period fill out more UF 250s to address the same condition?
- 20 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 21 $\,$ Q. And did other officers in your squad during the same time
- 22 period make more arrests and issue more summonses to address
- the same conditions?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Now is there a certain number of UF 250s that Officer SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct

- 1 Serrano needed to complete each month?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. So then why did you note on Exhibit B10 that he did not
- 4 complete any UF 250s? What was your purpose in doing that?
- 5 A. Well if he's going to 161 jobs with 13 days on patrol like
- 6 I said before he's either going to be the primary sector or the
- 7 backing sector. Now we have to at least make an attempt to
- 8 find the perpetrators or the perpetrators that did whatever
- 9 crime happened. We have to make an attempt. We are police
- officers. Make an investigation. Make an attempt. We have to go out there and try to find the person. If this is a
- 12 reasonable amount of time. And in my opinion he didn't make
- any attempts.

 MR.
 - MR. MOORE: I'm sorry. I didn't hear.
- 15 THE WITNESS: In my opinion he didn't make any
- 16 attempts.
- 17 Q. I'd like to show you what's already been entered into
- 18 evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 296.
- Sergeant Monroe, did you ever send a text message to Officer Serrano indicating that you need to do more 250?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And first were there more texts between you and Officer
- 23 Serrano than what's shown on the screen right now in
- 24 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 296?
- 25 A. Yes, there was.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct

1 Q. And do you remember what these other texts were referring

- 2 to?
- 3 A. I needed to do the -- I needed to calculate all my squad
- 4 members' activity. I didn't have his. So I texted him, I
- 5 don't have your activity report. He sent me a picture of his
- 6 activity report. And I couldn't make out the numbers on the
- 7 bottom of it because the picture was kind of faded. So I asked
- 8 him, you know, the number of days and the number of radio runs
- 9 he had.
- 10 Q. Just the activity report that you're referring to, is that
- 11 Defendants' Exhibit B10, is that the report you're talking
- 12 about?

21

- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Now it says on here, on Plaintiffs' Exhibit 296 that
- there's 14 days on patrol and 109 radio runs?
- 16 A. He's got 13 and he lists nine radio runs. But he has 161
- 17 radio runs.
- 18 Q. So why did you state to Officer Serrano that he needed to
- do more UF 250s in a text message?
- 20 A. Well if you're going to -- let me see. Okay.
 - He listed here -- I think 12 directed patrols.
- 22 Q. Twelve directed patrols you say?
- 23 A. And one vertical.
- 24 MR. MOORE: He's now referring to a document. The
- 25 question is why did he list on the -- why did he indicate on SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct

1 the text message that there was --

2 THE COURT: Yes. Why did you say to Officer Serrano 3 that he needed to do more 250s in a text message?

THE WITNESS: He's going to -- he has 13 days on patrol. 161 jobs that he answered. Now, in that many jobs, and that area is a high crime area. Some of the jobs -- I can't tell you how many are jobs like robberies in progress, assaults in progress, assaults in progress, assaults in the past where he would have been, again, the primary sector or the backing sector. He -- he doesn't seem to me that he did any type of canvass for anybody or looked for anybody.

THE COURT: And you base that on the absence of 250s?
THE WITNESS: If there's a detailed description and you're looking for the perpetrator in a reasonable amount of time there should be some type of UF 250.

THE COURT: Okay.

- $\ensuremath{\text{\fontfamily{17}}}$ Q. Now, during the course of your supervision of Officer
- Serrano, did you ever review his paperwork such as his activity
- 19 log?

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And how often would you review his activity log?
- 22 A. When I did patrol daily.
- 23 Q. What conclusions, if any, did you reach regarding Officer
- 24 Serrano's activity log and paperwork?
- 25 A. Well he's listing that he has like on -- on this report SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - direct he's listing 20 -- 20 car stops. Directed conditions. By verticals. And directed patrols. All right. 3 Here he has one B summons -- I mean one mover. That's 4 a vehicle summons. If he's doing car stops you have to stop a person for an infraction. Some type of infraction. That's why you make a car stop. You don't just systematically stop people 6 in cars. We have APL locations where there's accidents, a 7 8 large amount of accidents like pedestrian crosswalks, people 9 yielding -- not yielding to the right of way. Not yielding 10 to -- not obeying pavement markings. If he's stopping these 11 people he's not documenting it in his activity report. 12 MR. MARUTOLLO: May I have one moment, your Honor? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 (Pause) 15 MR. MARUTOLLO: No further questions, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Moore. 17 MR. MOORE: Yes, Judge. 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. MOORE: 20 Q. Sergeant Monroe you're still at the 40th precinct, 21 correct? A. Yes. Yes, sir. 22 2.3 Q. And you're aware, are you not, that after Officer Serrano 24 testified in court he was transferred out of the 40th

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

25

precinct?

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross 1 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: I'll allow. Do you know if he was 3 transferred? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. He was transferred. 5 THE COURT: When was he transferred? 6 THE WITNESS: Where? THE COURT: When? How long ago was he transferred 7 8 out? 9 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 10 THE COURT: Roughly. Was it a year, a month, a week? 11 Roughly. 12 THE WITNESS: Roughly, maybe a week ago. Maybe. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 Q. And he was -- when he was in the 40th precinct he was 15 working a four to twelve shift, correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And you're aware that one of the reasons he was working a 18 four to twelve shift is because he has two young children, 19 right? 20 MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection, your Honor. What's the 21 relevance? 22 THE COURT: We'll see. 2.3 Did you know that? 24 THE WITNESS: I knew he had a -- he just recently had a daughter. But I wasn't aware of the reason that's why he was 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross

- 1 working the four to twelve.
- Q. You're aware now that he's -- the shift he's on is a
- 3 midnight shift, twelve to eight?
- 4 A. I was unaware of that.
- 5 Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit B10. In 13 days of work
- 6 Officer Serrano responded to 161 radio runs, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. There's a number right here.
- 9 Now, the other officers you supervised, the other four
- or five you supervised, none of them did 161 radio runs in 13
- 11 days, did they?
- 12 A. (No response).
- 13 Q. Yes or no, Officer, if you remember.
- 14 A. Did I remember?
- 15 Q. Do you remember whether any of the other ones did 161 radio
- 16 runs in 13 days of patrol?
- 17 A. They did close to it.
- 18 Q. Do you know any who did less than 161 radio runs?
- 19 A. Not off the top of my head.
- 20 Q. In 13 days?
- 21 A. Not off the top of my head.
- 22 Q. Do you know of any who did more than 161 in 13 days of
- 23 patrol duty?
- 24 A. There probably are but I don't know off the top of my head.
- 25 Q. So you don't know whether Serrano's radio runs are better SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross

1 or worse than anybody else on the -- that you were supervising

- 2 in October of 2012, correct?
- 3 A. In the amount of jobs on the four to twelve it's about
- 4 average.
- 5 Q. You're not aware whether it's more or less than the
- 6 average, right? As you sit here today you can't tell me
- 7 whether it's more or less than the average; is that correct?
- 8 A. It's about the average.
- 9 Q. So it's about -- so you think it's about the average of all
- 10 the other officers you were supervising, right?
- 11 A. On the four to twelve?
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. On the four to twelve. Yes. Exactly.
- 14 Q. Whatever shift you were working when you were supervising
- 15 Serrano, that was the four to twelve, right?
- 16 A. Yes, that is.
- 17 Q. And you see there there's an indication for directed
- 18 patrol. There's a category for that, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And what is a directed patrol, that category up here?
- 21 A. (No response).
- 22 Q. What is directed patrol?
- 23 A. Directed patrol is when an officer goes into a specified
- 24 location or to a specified location listed by conditions or
- 25 listed by the request sheet.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross

1 Q. Do you know whether any officers under your supervision on

- 2 the four to twelve shift in October of 2012 did more or less
- 3 than the number of directed patrols that Officer Serrano did?
- 4 A. In my squad, yes.
- 5 Q. Do you know --
 - A. They did about as many, yes.
- 7 Q. As you sit here today, you're saying that all of them did
- 8 more?

6

- 9 A. All of them did more?
- 10 Q. Yeah.
- 11 A. I can't say that, because by looking -- all of their
- 12 activity reports for that month.
- 13 Q. Well, you're saying -- you said here in court or before
- 14 lunch that you considered Officer Serrano a mediocre officer.
- Do you remember that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. So how many officers in your squad in October of 2012 did
- 18 more directed patrols than Officer Serrano?
- 19 A. (No response).
- Q. Or can you tell us? You don't know one way or the other?
- 21 A. Not off the top of my head, no.
- 22 Q. The primary responsibility of an officer on patrol in a
- patrol car is to respond to radio runs, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So that would be the majority of the statistics that are SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross

1 captured in this form for a patrol officer would be the number

- of radio runs they're assigned to, correct? That would be the
- 3 overwhelmingly large statistics that's being captured in this
 4 document, correct?
- 5 A. When you say statistics, what do you mean?
 - THE COURT: That's the largest category for numbers,
- 7 is the number of radio runs, right?
 - THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 9 Q. And how many officers -- do you know the exact number of UF
- 10 250s that the other officers on your squad did in October of
- 11 2012?

6

8

- 12 A. I would have to review the supervisor's -- the calculation
- 13 for that month.
- 14 Q. You don't have that information as you sit here today,
- 15 right?
- 16 A. No. Not off the top of my head, no.
- 17 Q. Do you know the number of C summonses or A summonses or B
- 18 summonses that the other members of that squad wrote in
- 19 October 2012 as you sit here today?
- 20 A. Not off the top of my head, no.
- that squad made during this period of time, October 2012?
- 23 A. (No response).
- Q. As you sit here today?
- 25 A. Not off the top of my head.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross

Q. And you say that you believe that the number -- that his activity does not reflect effective policing. Do you see that?

- 3 A. (No response).
 - Q. That's what you said, right?

MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection to the form of the question. MR. MOORE: I'm sorry.

- Q. That's what's written in the report, correct?
- A. Where? I said ineffective. You're saying effective?

 THE COURT: There's a phrase, "However his activity
 does not reflect effective policing." It says it right there.

11 THE WITNESS: It says ineffective.

12 THE COURT: No. No. A sentence in your 13 handwriting, "Police officer Serrano indicates he had '20 car

related stops' however his activity does not reflect effective policing."

15 policing."
16 D

4

5

6

7

17

18

Do you see that now?

THE WITNESS: Yes. That's true.

THE COURT: So the question is.

19 Q. And you're basing that on the fact that he did so many 20 radio runs, correct?

- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And it's your belief that had he been doing effective
- 23 policing he would have been making summonses and arrests and
- 24 stops given that large amount of radio runs, correct?
- 25 A. No.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross Q. What is -- why does the number of stops indicate to you that he's not doing effective policing? 3 A. Well if he's going out and he's being an assertive and effective police officer and he's asking questions making 4 5 investigations. 6 Now the 40 precinct is a high crime area. Now in that 7 number of days, in that number of time -- amount of jobs, he 8 should be, in my opinion, writing more UF 250s if he's going 9 out and being an effective assertive police officer. 10 Q. Do you know what he was actually doing in response to those 11 160 radio runs? Do you know as you sit here today? 12 A. Well --13 Q. Yes or no. Do you know as you sit here today what he was 14

doing on these 160 radio runs?

MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE WITNESS: When you say what he was doing, what do you mean by that?

THE COURT: What was he actually doing in the field on those days, do you know, personally?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I was his patrol supervisor. I was there.

THE COURT: Okay. So what was he doing?

THE WITNESS: I was on the desk or on patrol.

THE COURT: So what was he doing?

THE WITNESS: He's going to the jobs and he's not, you SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross

1 know, he's obviously not doing canvasses. He's obviously

2 not --

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Q. You were there, Officer. What was he doing? Not obviously.

What was he doing or not doing? You said --

6 THE COURT: Don't ask both at once. That makes it tougher.

What was he doing? You said -- you tried to tell us what he was not doing.

THE WITNESS: Going to jobs and writing reports.

THE COURT: He was going to jobs and writing reports? THE WITNESS: And writing reports, yes.

Q. And there were -- and this is what you personally observed, right?

THE COURT: You personally observed him going to jobs and writing reports?

17 THE WITNESS: Not all the jobs, no.

- 18 Q. How many -- what percentage of these 161 jobs were you personally present at?
- 20 A. Off the top of my head I couldn't tell you.
- 21 Q. Well, you said he used to call you all the time because
- 22 he'd always have questions. Would you say you were present for
- 23 50 percent of these radio runs?
- 24 A. In his case?
- 25 Q. Yeah.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross

- 1 A. He would call me a good amount of times, yes.
- 2 Q. What percentage of these radio runs that he responded to
- 3 were you present and observing what he was doing?
- 4 A. I'd say about half.
- 5 Q. So for 80 -- for 80 of these runs were there and you
- 6 observed what he was doing, correct?
- 7 A. When he called me to the scene or when I scratched him.
- 8 That means when I, you know, when I want to go to a job, he was
- 9 there, and scratch his memo book and reviewed his memo book.
- 10 Q. Sergeant Monroe, you testified on direct that one of the
- 11 reasons you considered Serrano to be a mediocre police officer
- is that he would call you to the scene and he would ask you
- 13 questions about what to do all the time. And you felt, based
- on his experience, that was -- you shouldn't be doing that
- 15 after eight years as a police officer, right?
- 16 A. That was my assessment over a year of evaluations, over the
- 17 twelve months.
- 18 Q. Right. So tell me what you --
- 19 A. None of specifically the month of October. That assessment
- 20 was over the year, over the twelve months.
- 21 Q. So do you think October is different than any other month
- given your assessment of Officer Serrano?
- 23 A. When you say different --
- Q. Well you're trying to say --
- 25 THE COURT: Was it an unusual month or was it a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross

1 typical month?

4

- 2 THE WITNESS: It was probably a typical month.
- 3 Q. So typically when you would respond to 50 percent of these
 - radio runs and you would have concerns that he was being
- 5 mediocre, what wasn't he doing that you were concerned about? 6 What wasn't he doing?
- 7 A. Well the jobs he would call me to would be like regular --
- 8 simple jobs that he wouldn't need supervision for. But he
- 9 would ask for supervision or ask for the answer. When in my
- 10 experience, with his eight years on patrol, he should already
- 11 know the answer.
- 12 Q. So can you tell us as you sit here today anything that you
- 13 believe he should have been doing that he wasn't doing on the
- 14 80 or so runs that you actually responded to him on?
- 15 A. What I believe he wasn't doing, making a proper
- 16 investigation.
- 17 Q. Making identification of what?
- 18 A. No. A proper investigation.
- 19 Q. By doing what or not doing -- withdraw that.
- 20 What was he doing that led you to conclude he wasn't
- 21 doing proper investigation?
- 22 A. He didn't ask the questions.
- 23 THE COURT: He wasn't asking questions, you said, of
- 24 people.25

THE WITNESS: When you go to a job, and they need SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross

1 help, or a robbery happened, or something happened, all right.

- 2 It's our job to get the information from them to help them. If
- 3 we don't get the proper information from -- then we can't help
- 4 them properly, can we?
- 5 Q. You're saying he wasn't even getting the information from
- 6 the person who was the victim?
- 7 A. Not when I come there and I'm getting it from them.
- 8 Q. So that's sort of the most basic fundamental activity for a
- 9 police officer to do, right? When they respond to a call and
- 10 they get the information from the victim about what happened,
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Mm-hmm.
- 13 Q. And you're saying he didn't do that? You had to instruct
- 14 him constantly about that, right?
- 15 A. Most times, yes.
- 16 Q. And yet you still gave him a three on the evaluation,
- 17 right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And before -- are you aware that before in the years before
- 20 that Officer Serrano consistently got fours on his evaluation.
- 21 Are you aware of that?
- 22 A. I'm unaware of that.
- 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Before that, before what?
- 24 MR. MOORE: Before the evaluation he gave him in --
- 25 for 2011.

D4t9flo3 Monroe - cross

THE COURT: So he's saying before your 2011 evaluation 1 2

did you know he had received fours? 3

THE WITNESS: I was unaware of that.

THE COURT: Okay.

- Q. When you gave him the evaluation for 2011 and it was a three he obviously appealed it, right? You're aware of that, right?
- A. 2011?
- 9 Q. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

10 And he appealed it because the three was less than 11 what he had been getting in the past from evaluations from his 12 supervisors, right?

13 A. Yes.

Q. Now, I just want to check because it says here officer's impact on declared conditions.

Do you see that?

And it looks like both effective and ineffective are checked, right?

THE COURT: First there was a mark on --

THE WITNESS: That was an initial error on my part.

21 But I put an X and I put a circle so you knew exactly what it

22 meant.

2.3 ${\tt Q.}$ Does that mean that -- did you change that because your 24 supervisor said he wanted you to change it from effective to 25 ineffective?

```
D4t9flo3
                               Monroe - cross
      A. No. That was an error on \ensuremath{\mathsf{my}} part.
      Q. Now, in a situation where there's a radio run reporting
 3
      a -- let's say a robbery and the suspect is a black male 14 to
 4
      21, what would you expect Officer Serrano to do in that
 5
      context?
 6
      A. (No response).
      Q. When he responds?
 7
 8
      A. Repeat that question.
 9
               THE COURT: If the description that's put out is black
10
      male 14 to 21, what would you expect him to do when he got to
11
      the area?
12
               THE WITNESS: When he's the primary sector?
13
               THE COURT: Yes. Let's say that.
               THE WITNESS: I expect him to get a further
14
15
      description.
16
               (Continued on next page)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

1 Q. What if the only description he has is a black male 14 to

- 2 21, would you expect him to go out and stop all black males 14
- 3 to 21 to determine if they are perpetrator?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Maybe not all, but simply go focus on black males 14 to 21
- 6 to look for the perpetrator?
- 7 A. I expect him to go to the scene and get a further
- 8 description.
- 9 Q. What if he can't get any further description than that,
- 10 what if there is no further description?
- 11 A. Well, we do a general canvass and go from there.
- 12 Q. You would stop all males 14 to 21 to determine if they --
- 13 A. No.
- Q. When you say a general canvass, a general canvass is you're
- 15 going up and stopping people, right?
- 16 A. It doesn't mean that.
- 17 Q. What does a general canvass mean?
- 18 A. You're surveying the initial area, the immediate area.
- 19 Q. One of the ways you survey is you look for people who are
- 20 around the area, right?
- 21 A. No. You're looking for suspicious activity, something
- that's out of place.
- 23 Q. Now, these radio runs that you're talking about, they don't
- 24 distinguish between whether he is the primary responder or a
- 25 back-up responder, correct?

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

- 1 A. Yes, they do.
- 2 Q. You can tell from looking at this form whether --
- 3 A. You're talking about the form?
- 4 Q. Yes, I am.
- 5 A. No, it doesn't.
- 6 Q. In these 161 radio runs, which are reflected in Defendants'
- 7 Exhibit B10, you can't tell me, as you sit here, can you,
- 8 whether those radio runs are runs where he was the primary
- 9 responder or a back-up responder?
- 10 A. Not by this one, no.
- 11 Q. So you would just be speculating as to the amount of runs
- 12 that were either primary or backup, correct?
- 13 A. I couldn't give you a specific number, no.
- 14 Q. Now, radio runs are not police initiated enforcement
- 15 efforts, correct?

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

16 A. What do you mean by that?

17 THE COURT: We have heard the testimony here about 18 self-initiated stops versus responses to radio runs. They are 19 different, right?

THE WITNESS: Self-initiating stops, it depends -- it would depending on -- we are talking about --

THE COURT: Self-initiated is you're just doing patrol and just observe something and you stop somebody. It's not responding to a radio run, it's an observation, right?

THE WITNESS: It would be an observation, but SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Monroe - cross D4T8FLO4 depending on the condition, depending on the location, 2 depending on time of day, depending on what you're stopping 3 them for. 4 THE COURT: But it's not a response to a radio run. 5 There is no radio call saying, suspect fleeing, red jacket, 6 it's not like that? THE WITNESS: If the officer is driving along on 7 8 patrol and he is surveying a sector and sees suspicious 9 activity. 10 THE COURT: Correct. That's self-initiated as opposed 11 to responding to a radio run? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 BY MR. MOORE: 14 Q. Self-initiated are where most of the stops and frisks take 15 place, correct? 16 A. No. 17 Q. In your experience, you said 15 years -- how long have you 18 been a police officer? 19 A. 20. 20 THE COURT: Do you know whether the majority are 21 self-initiated or a response to radio runs? 22 THE WITNESS: The majority? 2.3 THE COURT: Of stops, UF-250 stops. THE WITNESS: That depends on the precinct. That 24 25 depends on the time of day. That depends on the conditions. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

1 THE COURT: Overall, do you know the statistics for

- 2 the City of New York overall?
 - THE WITNESS: Not off the top of my head, no.
- 4 Q. You also indicated in answer to your counsel's question
- 5 that you had a problem with how Serrano filled out his memo
- 6 books, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.

3

- 8 $\,$ Q. Did you have a problem with the way any of your other
- 9 officers filled out their memo books?
- 10 A. It depends on the officer.
- 11 THE COURT: All he is asking you, were there any other 12 officers where their entries in memo books were not perfect,
- were not up to par?
 14 THE WITNES
 - THE WITNESS: Of course, yes.
- 15 Q. In fact, that was a problem in the 40th Precinct while you
- 16 were there as a sergeant, correct?
 17 A. What do you mean by that?
- 18 Q. That officers were failing to fill out the details of stops
- 19 and frisks in their memo book, that was a problem that existed
- in the 40th Precinct when you were there?
- 21 A. Precinct wide, I don't know.
- 22 Q. I'm sorry?
- 23 A. The 40th Precinct itself, I don't know that to be true.
- Q. What about your officers?
- 25 A. It depends on the officer.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

1 Q. So there were some officers who had problems with filling out their memo books --

MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. Asked and answered.

Q. -- with the information about a stop and frisk?

THE COURT: I will allow it. We are limiting it now to his officers.

A. If they did, I would correct them and instruct them.

THE COURT: The question is only, was that a problem that was common among your officers, not just one officer, but a lot of the guys had problems with filling out the memo book correctly and it was generally a common problem?

THE WITNESS: Amongst my guys, it wasn't that

13 prevalent, it wasn't that often.

THE COURT: You don't know about the whole precinct? THE WITNESS: I don't know about the whole precinct.

- Q. When did you become a sergeant, October of 2010?
- 17 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

- 18 Q. That's when you went to the 40th Precinct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. From time to time you had meetings with your fellow
- 21 supervisors in the 40th Precinct, correct?
- 22 A. When you say meetings, what do you mean by that?
- 23 Q. You discussed police matters within the 40th Precinct with
- your fellow supervisors?
- 25 A. We discussed conditions to be met, crime conditions. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

1 Q. It's a simple question. Did you used to meet regularly 2

with your fellow supervisors in the 40th Precinct?

MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. Asked and answered. He said they would meet for conditions.

THE COURT: I will allow the question. All he is asking you is did you meet with your fellow supervisors on a regular basis in the 40th Precinct?

THE WITNESS: When you say meeting --

9 THE COURT: Did the supervisors get together and talk 10 about things?

> THE WITNESS: Was this a scheduled meeting? THE COURT: I don't care if it's scheduled or

13 unscheduled.

14 THE WITNESS: We had scheduled meetings with the CO.

- 15 Q. The executive officer would be present as well sometimes?
- 16 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

- 17 Q. The integrity control officer, correct?
- 18 A. Sometimes.
- Q. The platoon lieutenants would be present sometimes? 19
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall anybody ever mentioning in those meetings, 21
- 22 those regularly scheduled meetings, that officers in the 40th
- 2.3 Precinct --
- 24 A. They weren't regularly scheduled. The CO would schedule
- 25 them.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

1 Q. Whether they were regularly scheduled or just happened, you

- 2 had meetings with your command structure in the 40th Precinct,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. During those meetings, nobody ever told you there was a
- 6 problem with respect to officers filling out their memo books
- 7 with the details of stop and frisks, right?
- 8 A. When I went to the meeting, I never heard that.
- 9 Q. Nobody ever told you that for the years that you were
- 10 there, as a supervisor in the 40th Precinct, that the precinct
- 11 failed the audit with respect to memo books and putting details
- of stop and frisk in memo books, nobody ever told you that,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. No, I never heard that.
- 15 Q. Nobody ever gave you any specific direction or directives
- 16 to try to fix that problem since you have been a sergeant in
- 17 the 40th Precinct, correct?
- 18 A. When you say nobody?
- 19 Q. Nobody in the command structure of the 40th Precinct.
- 20 A. I don't recall.
- 21 Q. Now, you have done two evaluations of Officer Serrano,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. I am showing you what has already been entered into
- evidence as Defendants' Exhibit C10. Do you recognize this as SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

a performance evaluation of Officer Serrano for the rating

- 2 period December 2010 to December 2011?
- 3 A. Yes.

4

8

- Q. You're identified here as the rater on the first page,
- 5 Stephen Monroe, that's you?
- 6 A. Yes, that's me.
- 7 Q. He wasn't listed as chronic sick, right?
 - You see it says not chronic, right?
- 9 A. Not chronic, yes.
- 10 Q. So he was a dependable officer in terms of showing up for
- 11 work, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. In the evaluation you are asked to check off or put a
- 14 rating number for each of these different areas, performance
- 15 areas and behavioral dimensions, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You have a 3 in all those categories, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You see here that you added also some additional
- 20 information with respect to this evaluation, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. With respect to category number 13, which goes to the
- 23 question of police ethics and integrity, read for us what you
- 24 wrote there.

MR. MARUTOLLO: Objection. This document is already SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

1 in evidence.

2 THE COURT: There is no reason that the witness has to 3

read it.

4 "Police Officer Serrano adheres to the ethics of the 5 department and quidelines."

- Q. You wrote that, correct?
- A. Yes. 7

6

- 8 Q. When you wrote it, you believed it at the time, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you believed he was a person of integrity, right?
- 11 A. When you say integrity?
- 12 THE COURT: One that adheres to the ethics usually
- 13 means --
- 14 A. I believe he adheres to the ethics of the guidelines of the
- 15 department.
- 16 Q. If he is somebody with integrity, he is somebody who tells
- 17 the truth, right?
- 18 A. Well --
- Q. Is that how you would understand the term integrity to 19
- 20 mean?
- 21 A. Well, when he adheres to the ethics of the department, I am
- 22 relating to his ethics towards the police guidelines.
- Q. It actually says "police ethics/integrity." 23
- 24 THE COURT: You mean category 13 on the front page?
- 25 MR. MOORE: Yes.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

THE COURT: Can I see that again? 1

- 2 "13. Police ethics/integrity." OK.
- 3 Q. It's really putting the two of those things together. But 4 don't you understand, Sergeant Monroe, that when somebody has
- 5 good integrity that they are somebody who tells the truth? 6
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. You also write, with respect to his judgment, that's 7 8 another category, right?
- 9 You write, "PO Serrano always demonstrates an ability to sound decisions." What you meant there was to make sound 10 11 decisions, right?
- 12 A. I said what I meant. Ability to make sound decisions.
- Q. Make wasn't in there. That's why I said to make sound 13
- 14 decisions.
- 15 So you considered Police Officer Serrano as somebody
- 16 who had the ability to make sound decisions, right?
- 17 A. In 2011, yes.
- 18 Q. We don't have his 2012 evaluation. Do you think that in
- 2012, he all of a sudden became somebody who didn't have the 19
- 20 ability to make sound decisions?
- A. In my opinion, he regressed. 21
- Q. In your opinion what? 22
- 2.3 A. He regressed.
- Q. His activity actually increased though, right, in 2012? 24
- 25 A. I have to look at the --

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

1 Q. Do you know whether his arrest numbers increased in 2012?

A. Again, I have to go back.

3 THE COURT: He doesn't know. He would have to look at the forms he says.

MR. MOORE: That's why I asking his memory, Judge.

THE COURT: He said he would have to look at the

forms.

2

5

6 7

8

9

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

22

24 25

- Q. Do you recall whether his summons activity, sitting here today without looking at the form, whether that increased or decreased in 2012?
- 11 A. To give an accurate answer, I will have to go back and look.

THE COURT: Let's go on.

On interpersonal he said, "Officer Serrano handles sensitive situation with a care and empathy."

"Overall, Serrano is a competent police officer and has the ability to be a leader."

Q. So you don't mention in here anything about him being a mediocre police officer, right?

20 THE COURT: Look at the recommendation on the front 21 page.

There. "Continue in present assignment." That's what you recommended, that he stay right where he was, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. But you don't say anywhere in here that he is a mediocre SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

- 1 police officer, right? You don't use that term.
- 2 A. In this form, no. But there is a -- they left off one of
- 3 the items because it's -- can you put that up again, please?
 - Q. Sure. Which one are you referring to?
- 5 A. This is from 26 to 13. They left off the latter comments
- 6 that I made. The one comment I made that he --
- 7 Q. Wait. I'm sorry. Are you saying this form is not 8 complete?
- 9 A. No, it's not complete. It's abbreviated.
- 10 Q. Before you say what is there, this is a document that was
- 11 produced by the city and it has a Bates stamp number on it. So
- 12 if you're going to talk --
- MR. MOORE: Judge, if he is going to talk about a
- document that has different information on it, we should at
- 15 least have it.

4

- 16 THE COURT: That would be a different document. This
- document is complete. It says page 2 of 2. So this is a
- 18 complete document.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Then it's complete.
- 20 THE COURT: There may be another document you're
- 21 referring to.
- THE WITNESS: No. It's complete then.
- 23 THE COURT: This one is complete.
- 24 Q. Now, following this evaluation, you're aware that Officer
- 25 Serrano appealed the evaluation, right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4

Monroe - cross

- A. Yes.
- Q. And he has a right to do that, right?
- 3 A. Yes, he does.
- 4 Q. Did you say you were present at a meeting where his appeal
- 5 was discussed with Captain Materasso?
- 6 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Did you take any notes of that meeting or do you have any 7
- 8 notes from that meeting?
- 9 A. No, I didn't.
- 10 Q. Do you recall that meeting taking place sometime in June of
- 11 2012?
- 12 A. To my recollection, yes.
- Q. Besides yourself, Captain Materasso and Officer Serrano 13
- 14 were present, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Was there anybody else present?
- 17 A. I don't recall.
- 18 MR. MOORE: One second, Judge.
- 19 Q. Do you recall Captain Materasso saying to Officer Serrano
- 20 in that meeting that the reason he got a 3 on his evaluation
- 21 was because his activity wasn't high enough?
- A. No. 22
- 2.3 Q. Do you recall her mentioning anything about the need to
- increase his activity in terms of C summonses, arrests and 24
- 25 250s? Do you recall anything about that?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

- 1 A. I don't recall that.
- 2 Q. Do you recall Captain Materasso saying that 50 percent of
- 3 an officer's evaluation in her precinct was based on their
- 4 activity? Do you recall her saying that?
- 5 A. No, I don't recall that.
- 6 Q. Did she ever say that to you?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. In any event, Captain Materasso denied the appeal, right?
- 9 A. Excuse me?
- 10 Q. She denied the appeal, right?
- 11 A. What do you mean denied the appeal?
- 12 Q. He was appealing his evaluation.
- 13 THE COURT: The evaluation didn't change, she didn't
- 14 reverse it?
- 15 THE WITNESS: She did not reverse it.
- 16 Q. Then the next year, for the year 2012, you gave him another
- 3 on his evaluation, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. He appealed that as well, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. He had a meeting with Deputy Inspector McCormack, are you
- 22 aware of that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you present for that?
- 25 A. No, I was not.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

- Q. Why weren't you present for that?
- A. I believe I took my son to the doctor that day.
- 3 Q. That's a good reason.
 - MR. MOORE: One second, your Honor.
- 5 Q. Now, you mentioned in your testimony --
 - MR. MOORE: Just a couple more questions judge.
- 7 Q. You mentioned in your testimony that you became aware of
- 8 Operations Order 52, right?
- 9 A. Yes.

4

6

- 10 Q. How did you become aware of that?
- 11 A. How did I become aware of it?
- 12 Q. Yes. Was it distributed to you and you read it? Was it
- 13 discussed in the precinct?
- 14 A. I believe it was distributed.
- 15 Q. I'm sorry?
- 16 A. I believe it was distributed.
- 17 Q. Showing you what was previously marked as Plaintiffs'
- 18 Exhibit 285 in evidence. Can you identify this as Operations
- Order 52? Can you recognize this document as Operations Order 19
- 20 52?
- 21
- A. Yes, it is.
 Q. You have read it before? 22
- 2.3 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. It talks about department managers, you see that in
- 25 paragraph 3, where it says "department managers can and must SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

- 1 set performance goals." Do you see that?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You're a department manager, right?
- 4 A. Yes
- 5 Q. As a sergeant, you're a department manager, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. It indicates that performance goals have to be -- well,
- 8 this is in the context of talking about proactive enforcement
- 9 activity, right? You see the phrase in the first paragraph,
- "proactive enforcement activity"?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Proactive enforcement activity includes arrests, summonses,
- 13 and 250s, right?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. You say that doesn't include that?
- 16 THE COURT: What does proactive enforcement activity
- 17 mean?
- 18 THE WITNESS: It means going out into the public and
- 19 being visible and being assertive.
- THE COURT: It might result in UF-250s or summonses?
- 21 THE WITNESS: If necessary.
- 22 Q. Officers are evaluated based, in part, on their performance
- 23 activity, correct?
- 24 A. Only?
- 25 Q. I said in part. That's part of the evaluation on their SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

- 1 enforcement activity?
- 2 A. When you say performance?
- 3 Q. I misspoke. Their enforcement activities, that's part of
- 4 how you evaluate an officer, correct, partly?
- 5 A. When you say enforcement?
- 6 Q. Arrests, summonses, 250s. That's part of how you evaluate
- 7 an officer.
- 8 A. It depends on the officer.
- 9 Q. That's what you referred to in your quarterly evaluation
- 10 that we looked at earlier, right?
- 11 A. It depends on the officer.
- 12 Q. Pardon?

14

- 13 A. It depends on the officer.
 - THE COURT: It depends on the officer.
- 15 Q. I don't think that answers my question. The question is,
- 16 are you saying some officers are evaluated on enforcement
- 17 activity and some aren't?
- 18 A. It depends on their duties.
- 19 Q. For a patrol officer on duty in a precinct, part of how you
- 20 evaluate him is based upon their enforcement activity, right?
- 21 A. I evaluate them on how they address the conditions. That
- 22 means, when they go out and they aggressively go out and be
- 23 visible and address their conditions by going to the public,
- 24 asking questions, if they need to make arrests and 250s, yes.
- 25 Q. So that's a yes, right?

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

1 In evaluating an officer, if you believe they don't

- 2 have sufficient enforcement activity, you can take adverse
- 3 employment consequences against them, correct?
- 4 A. Adverse? No.
- 5 Q. You can affect their employment in a negative way?
 - A. No.

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 7 Q. No, you can't? You can't give them a bad evaluation?
- 8 A. A bad evaluation?
- 9 Q. An evaluation that is below standard or substandard?
- 10 A. I wouldn't do that.
- 11 Q. Well, look at paragraph 14 and 15 in this document.

Look at paragraph 15. It says, "Uniformed members of the service who remain ineffective, who do not demonstrate activities impacting on identified crime and conditions, or who fail to engage in proactive activities despite the existence of crime conditions and public safety concerns, will be evaluated accordingly and their assignments reassessed."

You agree with that, right?

- A. It depends on the officer.
- 20 Q. I don't know what that means. Is it dependent on whether
- 21 you like the officer?
- 22 A. I depends on how he addresses his conditions. Each officer
- 23 has his own way of doing things.
- 24 Q. Well, I am talking about the way the police department
- wants officers to do business, OK, not how each officer wants SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross

1 to do their own business. I am talking about how the police 2 department wants their officers to perform.

In that context, would you agree with me that the amount of enforcement activity an officer takes, in terms of their proactive activities, can be used to reassess them in

terms of their employment with the police department?

7 A. No.

3

4

5

6

- 8 Q. Even though that's what it says in this operations order,
 9 right?
- 10 A. I think what they mean is for the officer to be visible,
- 11 the officer should go out and make an investigation, and be
- 12 assertive in the public.
- 13 Q. That's what you think it means?
- 14 A. If in their investigation, in surveying the locations, in
- 15 interaction with the public, and from surveying suspicion
- 16 activity, if a summons or arrest should be made from that, yes.
- 17 Q. If they are not doing that, then they can be subject to
- having their employment reassessed, right?
- 19 A. It depends on the officer.
- 20 Q. Presumably it depends on the supervisor as well, right?
- 21 A. It depends on the officer.
- 22 Q. When you say it depends on the officer, what do you mean?
- 23 A. Well, visibility to the public, he is going out and making
- 24 himself available to the public, that's how we get information,
- 25 that's how we get information as to the criminal activity, when SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - cross 1 the officer goes out and addresses that. He is being 2 assertive. 3 MR. MOORE: I have nothing further. 4 THE COURT: Any redirect? 5 MR. MARUTOLLO: May I have one moment, your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Sure. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. MARUTOLLO: 9 Q. Sergeant Monroe, do you have the ability to transfer 10 officers out of a precinct? 11 A. No, I do not. 12 Q. Did you have any involvement in the decision to transfer Officer Serrano? 13 14 A. No, I did not. 15 MR. MARUTOLLO: No further questions, your Honor. 16 MR. MOORE: Nothing. 17 THE COURT: You're done. Thank you. 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: What are we doing next? 20 MS. GROSSMAN: We were able to locate Inspector Lehr. 21 THE COURT: Do you expect Hawkins tomorrow? 22 MS. GROSSMAN: No. 23 THE COURT: Do you expect her ever? 24 MS. GROSSMAN: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Who do we have for tomorrow? Who is on SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4T8FLO4 Monroe - redirect 1 tap? 2 MR. MARUTOLLO: Detective Albino and Sergeant Dengler 3 who will be first tomorrow. 4 THE COURT: I notice Ms. Borchetta is not here. 5 MR. CHARNEY: That's fine. 6 THE COURT: You know you're getting Albino and Dengler 7 tomorrow. 8 Who else? 9 MS. RICHARDSON: We also have Sergeant Marino, 10 Lieutenant Telford, and we also have Police Officer Kha Dang. 11 KENNETH LEHR, 12 called as a witness by the defendants, 13 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 14 THE COURT: State your full name, first and last, 15 spelling both for the record. 16 THE WITNESS: Sergeant Kenneth Lehr, K-E-N-N-E-T-H, 17 L-E-H-R. 18 MS. GROSSMAN: Your Honor, I just wanted to alert the 19 Court to the fact that Inspector Lehr testified in the Ligon 20 proceedings. So we are going to try to avoid duplicating the 21 testimony, but there may be a few questions that we just have 22 to ask just to move on to other topics. 2.3 THE COURT: OK. 24 (Continued on next page) 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

- 1 BY MS. GROSSMAN:
- 2 Q. Inspector Lehr, good afternoon.
- 3 A. Good afternoon.
- 4 Q. Can you tell us when you became employed with the police
- 5 department?
- 6 A. January 20, 1987.
- 7 Q. When did you become promoted to sergeant?
- 8 A. November 25, 1997.
- 9 Q. What is your current assignment now?
- 10 A. I am the commanding officer of the 67 Precinct.
- 11 THE COURT: Where is that?
- 12 THE WITNESS: East Flatbush.
- 13 Q. When did you become the commanding officer of the 67
- 14 Precinct?
- 15 A. January 30, 2012.
- 16 Q. That's a position that you hold now?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Were you commanding officer of any other precinct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Which one?
- 21 A. Prior to my current assignment, I was the commanding
- officer of the 9th Precinct from January 30, 2010 to
- 23 January -- excuse me -- January 3, 2010 -- January 30, 2010, to
- 24 January 3, 2012.
- 25 THE COURT: Where is that one?
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

1 THE WITNESS: That would be Alphabet City in the East

- 2 Village.
- ${\tt Q.}$ ${\tt Prior}$ to your time as CO in the 9th Precinct, were you the
- 4 commanding officer of any other command?
- 5 A. Yes. From May 20, 2006, through January of 2010, I was
- 6 commanding officer of Transit District 33.
- 7 Q. Now, you gave testimony on or about October 19, 2012 in the
- 8 Ligon matter. Do you remember that?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- 10 Q. Did you have an opportunity to review your trial testimony
- in the Ligon proceeding?
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- 13 Q. Do you adopt that testimony here today in the Floyd matter?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MS. GROSSMAN: I understand we are going to be
- designating appropriate portions of the transcript for the
- 17 court.

18

- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 19 Q. Now, can you just tell the Court the boundaries of the 67
- 20 Precinct?
- 21 A. The 67 Precinct, if you go north to south, the north
- 22 portion is East New York Avenue, down to Avenue H, as in Henry.
- 23 East to west, it would be East 98th Street to Bedford Avenue.
- Q. What are the demographics of the 67 Precinct?
- 25 A. The 67 Precinct is 90 percent black, 7 percent Hispanic, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

and white and Asian Pacific, pretty much evenly split, one and

- 2 a half each.
- 3 Q. Generally, what are the crime conditions that you are
- 4 confronting in the 67 Precinct?
- 5 A. The 67 Precinct is one of the busier precincts in the city.
- 6 We address all seven major index crimes, which is murder, rape,
- 7 robbery, felony assault, burglary, grand larceny, grand larceny
- 8 auto, and also shootings are a big part of what we do.
- 9 Q. How many officers are assigned to the 67 Precinct?
- 10 A. I have 188 police officers on my command roster that have a
- 11 67 Precinct command card. In addition to that, I have an
- 12 impact zone, which is policed by 64 police officers, and I have
- an impact response team which consists of 24 officers, making
- 14 the total officers that turn out at the 67 Precinct 276.
- 15 Q. How many anticrime officers do you have?
- 16 A. Ten.
- 17 Q. How many teams does that make up?
- 18 A. It's two teams, each supervised by one sergeant, two teams
- 19 of one and five.
- 20 Q. Who supervises the anticrime unit in the 67 Precinct?
- 21 A. The specials operations lieutenant.
- 22 Q. How many officers are assigned to your conditions teams?
- 23 A. One sergeant, five police officers.
- Q. Is there only one conditions team?
- 25 A. That's correct.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

1 Q. With respect to your impact zones in the 67, where are they

- 2 located?
- 3 A. The impact zone is from East New York Avenue down to Avenue
- 4 B, as in boy, and it runs from east to west, it would be 98th
- 5 Street to 93rd Street, so it's five blocks wide if you go east
- 6 and west and eight avenues long north and south.
- 7 Q. What is the supervisor to officer ratio for those officers
- 8 assigned to impact?
- 9 A. The impact officers are supervised by one lieutenant and
- 10 six sergeants.
- 11 Q. On any given tour, how many officers would you find
- 12 assigned to this particular impact zone?
- 13 A. If everybody was scheduled to work and not on vacation,
- 14 you're looking at about 42 per tour.
- 15 Q. How many lieutenants and sergeants assigned to those 42
- 16 officers?
- 17 A. On a given night, when two squads are in, one lieutenant
- 18 and four sergeants.
- 19 Q. With respect to the impact response team, how many squads
- 20 make up the impact response team?
- 21 A. Three squads, each consisting of one sergeant and eight
- 22 police officers, and they are also supervised by a lieutenant.
- 23 Q. Can you explain to the Court how you determine how to
- 24 deploy officers in the 67 Precinct?
- A. We review -- in real-time, we read crime reports as they SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

- 1 come in, and we make adjustments as we see trends and patterns
- develop. Basically, geographically and by time of day, we will
- 3 plot them out on a map, we will identify where we are recording
- 4 the crimes, and at what time of day, and then we make
- 5 adjustments to personnel accordingly.
- 6 Q. Now, when you make these decisions every day, are you
- 7 looking at crime that occurred the previous year when making
- 8 your deployment decisions?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. What are you basing your decisions on?
- 11 A. Well, we review the crimes in real-time, and then based on
- 12 what we see developing we will deploy, really day to day and
- 13 tour to tour.
- Q. What is the definition of real-time?
- 15 A. As we get the reports that are recorded, we will make
- 16 adjustments with our personnel accordingly.
- 17 Q. Now, are you also looking at crime that occurred seven to
- 18 eight weeks early to determine how you're going to deploy your
- 19 officers, for example, tomorrow?
- 20 A. If we were to do that, it would be from trends that we see
- 21 developing right now in real-time, and then we would look at
- 22 that as part of a larger issue that has been persistent. And
- then in that regard, we may, but it would be based on a current
- 24 crime that got recorded, we are responding to that, and by
- looking at that we would look back further and see, is this a SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

1 crime that we continue to take over a six- or seven-week

- 2 period, and we look at that because if we are still taking it,
- 3 then we probably have been ineffective in eradicating it so we
- 4 may have to address what our approach has been.
- 5 Q. Would it be fair to say that the majority of your command
- 6 resources are in response to real-time crime conditions?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Who in your command is actually making the decisions about
- 9 where to send officers?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 Q. Now, are the officers broken up into particular sectors?
- 12 A. Yes. I mean, baseline coverage when we turn out a platoon,
- 13 there's 14 sectors in the confines of the 67 Precinct. It's
- 14 about three and a half miles square, pretty expansive. The 14
- 15 sectors, there will be a radio car, we turn out a platoon, a
- 16 radio car, and we will have responsibility for every one of
- 17 those sectors. And then the way that works, if a call gets
- dispatched to 911, that call will ultimately get called out
- 19 over the air and assigned to one of the sectors, and if that
- sector is tied up, then the next closest available.
- 21 Q. Do you have a sector map in your precinct?
- 22 A. I do.
- 23 Q. I am going to show you what has been marked as Defendants'
- 24 Exhibit J14.

MS. HOFF VARNER: If I could just ask you to move it SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

1 into evidence before putting it on the screen.

MS. GROSSMAN: Sure. We ask for admission of J14.

 $\,$ MS. HOFF VARNER: No objection on authenticity, but I do question the relevance of this document.

THE COURT: I don't know that yet. I can't rule on relevance. Whatever it is, it's harmless. It is an accurate map. I will let in J14 and see what the relevance is.

(Defendants' Exhibit J14 received in evidence)

- Q. Inspector, are the demographics that you described in terms of the 67 Precinct equally distributed throughout the 67 Precinct?
- 12 A. Yes.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

21

22

2.3

2425

- Q. How do you use a sector map in order to determine how you assign officers?
- A. Well, as we record crimes, my crime analysis staff will use a map and have an indication on that map of where the crimes are occurring, and they will basically indicate these symbols of where the crimes are happening, different for the three tours, whether it's the midnight tour, the day tour, or the third platoon which is 4 to 12.

Based on that, we will deploy our resources geographically to -- we will draw a correlation between -- we are trying to deploy exactly at the right time of day, in the right geographic area, where we are recording crimes.

Q. What are the top three sectors in terms of recorded seven SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

- 1 major index crimes?
- 2 A. Through April 14, which I believe was week 15 for the
- 3 calendar year, the top sectors were Sector Adam, Sector David,
- 4 and Sector Ida, in that order.
- 5 Q. So right here on the sector map, you see an A?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Does that represent Sector Adam?
- 8 A. It does.
- 9 Q. And right over here, does this say Sector D?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Does that represent Sector David?
- 12 A. Yes, it does.
- 13 Q. What was the third sector that you mentioned?
- 14 A. I, as in Ida. It's a little bit harder to see on this map,
- but if you go from A right across, the next one over is H, as
- in Henry, and then it looks like a triangle is Sector Ida as it
- 17 goes up. Correct. That's Sector Ida. It looks like a
- 18 triangle.
- 19 Q. I just took the liberty of circling Sector A for Adam,
- 20 Sector D for David, and Sector I for Ida.
- 21 Now, is there any correlation between the crime in
- 22 these sectors and stop, question and frisk encounters that have
- 23 occurred in these sectors?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What correlation do you see in Sector Adam? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

1 A. Well, through April 14, Sector Adam was -- we recorded 15

- 2 percent of the total index crimes year to date in Sector Adam.
- 3 In looking at the stop, question and frisk encounters by 67
- 4 Precinct personnel in the same time period, it was a percentage
- of 17 percent of the overall total. So it was 15 percent of
- 6 the crime, 17 percent of the stop, question and frisk
- 7 encounters.
- 8 Q. What about Sector David?
- 9 A. Sector David, for the same time period, accounted for 10
- 10 percent of the total major index crimes recorded, and 12
- 11 percent of the stop, question and frisk encounters performed by
- 12 67 Precinct personnel.
- 13 Q. What about Sector Ida?
- 14 A. Sector Ida came in at about 8-1/2 percent of the crime, and
- 15 we recorded, I believe, 10 percent of the stop, question and
- frisk encounters by 67 Precinct personnel.
- Q. What are the sectors with the least amount of the seven
- major index crimes recorded in the 67 Precinct?
- 19 A. Sector Eddie and P as in Peter.
- 20 Q. Is there any correlation between the crime in these sectors
- and the stop, question and frisk encounters?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain?
- 24 A. Well, Sector Eddie and Peter -- I mean, Frank and Peter,
- $\,$ 25 excuse me. The two sectors that were the lowest were F as in SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

1 Frank and P as in Peter. They each represented about 4 percent

- $\,$ of the total index crimes through April 14. And they also
- 3 accounted for about 8 percent of the total stop, question and
- 4 frisk encounters when you combine the two sectors by 67
- 5 Precinct personnel.
- 6 Q. Looking on the map, you see I am circling Sector F over
- 7 here. Is that Sector Frank?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And Sector P is here to the right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. What do these statistics tell you, in terms of the way
- 12 you're managing your personnel in the 67 Precinct?
- 13 A. Well, what I am looking for is a correlation between our
- enforcement efforts and the crime, and what it tells me is
- 15 that, if you look at the way -- the percentage of encounters
- are consistent with the percentage of crime. That's at the top
- 17 end of the spectrum and the bottom end.
- 18 Q. Now, how do you identify command conditions for the
- 19 personnel in at your precinct?
- 20 A. Excuse me?
- 21 Q. How do you identify the command conditions, crime
- 22 conditions?
- 23 A. Well, we review a number of things, which include 311 calls
- 24 for quality of life complaints, 911 calls, particularly if they

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

1 course, we review the crime reports that we are recording

- and -- as I said, we break them down into time of day, what
- 3 particular tour, and geographically as well. Then we break
- 4 them down into, what is it emanating from? In other words, if
- 5 there is a condition that happens on the midnight shift, and
- 6 it's in a particular sector, is it specific to a night-life
- 7 condition where it's emanating from a club situation? Or is it
- 8 a daytime condition, where it is close to a school corridor
- 9 where it's specific to a school condition? Because these are
- 10 factors that come into the thought process and who we are going
- 11 to assign to address that particular condition.
- 12 Q. How do you communicate these conditions to the officers in
- 13 your command?
- 14 A. When we're reviewing the stuff, I turn out a lot of roll
- 15 calls. I speak to my supervisors, particularly the supervisors
- 16 who have a specific task in special operations and are very
- 17 specifically focused on individual type of crimes, specific
- 18 type of crimes. In addition to that, we detail the crimes and
- 19 the conditions in the quest for excellence, which we make
- 20 available to everybody in the precinct, supervisors and police
- 21 officers.
- 22 Q. Do you post any of the information in the precinct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Where is the information posted?
- 25 A. It's posted in the muster room as well as it's kept at the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

desk for the supervisors. So it's in the muster room for

- 2 anybody's perusal and it's at the desk for supervisors so they
- 3 can quickly -- you just have it available.
- 4 Q. What is your understanding of the purpose of quest?
- 5 $\,$ A. Quest, it provides structure and guidance in terms of
- 6 enforcement efforts.
- 7 Q. How do you evaluate now whether your officers in the 67
- 8 Precinct are actually addressing these crime conditions?
- 9 A. Well, what we are looking for is a reduction in the rate of
- 10 occurrence on these conditions, reduction in crime and
- 11 reduction in quality of life conditions. If we are not seeing
- 12 the reduction in crime, what I am looking for is to see, are we
- 13 still recording crimes but for a different reason? In other
- 14 words, is it the same thing reoccurring or is it a different
- 15 condition that we have to adjust our approach?
- 16 Q. How do you ensure that officers in your command are not
- going out and just trying to bring in numbers for numbers'
- 18 sake?
- 19 A. Well, what I do is I give very specific instruction on what
- 20 we are looking to combat, and we measure the results of that in
- 21 real-time, just like we are looking at the crimes as well. I
- 22 am looking -- we are always measuring to see if there is a
- 23 direct correlation between the enforcement contacts and what
- the police officer is doing in the field with the crime
- conditions, and we will see if we are having a positive effect SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

and slowing down the rate of occurrence or eradicating the crime completely.

- 3 Q. How do you know that supervisors are actually out there supervising officers who are making stops?
- 5 A. Well, I listen to the radio a lot. The 67 Precinct is a
- 6 very busy precinct and you can hear it on the radio, you will
- 7 hear it. So you could hear the response to radio runs when
- 8 they come over. I also see it. Myself, I do respond -- I do
- 9 spend a fair amount of time in the field. I do respond to a
- 10 pretty good number of live active radio runs, and I am able to
- 11 see the performance of my supervisors in the field and, you
- 12 know, I watch very closely the guidance they are giving to the
- officers; whether it's at a crime scene or a commercial armed
- 14 robbery or a shooting scene, I pay very close attention to the
- direction that the supervisor is giving to the officers.
- So I hear it, I see it, and then I review the results
- 17 in terms of, you know, the reports that come out, how many
- 18 times they are on the scene, if that's indicated on the
- 19 reports. So I am pretty comfortable saying that they are out
- there giving proper direction in that regard.
- 21 Q. Now, have there been occasions when you have had to attend
- 22 CompStat meetings?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Do you feel pressure from those CompStat meetings to
- 25 increase your enforcement activity?

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

- 1 A. No.
- Q. What message do you receive from these CompStat meetings?
- 3 A. I think the CompStat meetings are an opportunity to
- 4 demonstrate that we are addressing the conditions properly.
- 5 Q. Now, are you aware of investigations that are conducted by
- 6 the office of chief of department?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Are you as a commanding officer responsible for making sure
- 9 that the investigations, we will call them OCD investigations,
- 10 are distributed to the appropriate personnel in your precinct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Who is responsible for conducting the OCD investigations in
- 13 your command?
- 14 A. They get distributed to different supervisors. The admin
- 15 lieutenant will distribute them, and they will go out to
- 16 lieutenants and sergeants.
- 17 Q. Who is responsible for managing the intake of these OCD
- 18 investigations?
- 19 A. The administrative lieutenant, he distributes them and is
- 20 responsible for the retrieval.
- 21 Q. What exactly is he trying to retrieve?
- 22 A. When the investigation is completed, they will go back to
- 23 him, and then they come to me or my executive officer for
- 24 review.
- Q. What paperwork is generated in response to an office of SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

- 1 chief of department investigation?
- 2 A. That would depend on the type of investigation. If it's
- 3 for something -- we will just take a situation, if the
- 4 investigation is in regard to an incident in the street, and
- 5 let's say, for instance, the officer initially is unidentified,
- 6 there is a whole host of paperwork that would have to be
- 7 generated. Even if the officer is identified, we are going to
- 8 pull the Sprint job, the 911 if it's a radio run, because
- 9 sometimes that contains information that can add to the
- 10 information. The officer's activity log would be included in
- 11 what would be retrieved in addition to. If there was any type
- 12 of reports taken, in terms of a complaint report; if there was
- 13 an arrest made, an online booking sheet; if it was a stop,
- 14 question and frisk encounter, the stop, question and frisk
- 15 report. All these things would be compiled and part of the
- 16 investigation.
- 17 Q. Now, prior to becoming commanding officer, did you serve as
- 18 the integrity control officer in any of your prior assignments?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. When did you hold that position of integrity control
- 21 officer?
- 22 A. From December of 2000 to December of 2005.
- Q. What precinct did you serve as ICO?
- 24 A. 66.
- Q. As ICO, did you have responsibility for conducting OCD SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

5350 Lehr - direct

D4T8FLO4

- investigations?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. How many of those OCD investigations would you say you
- 4 conducted, approximately?
- A. Well over 100.
- 6 Q. When you were sergeant, did you also conduct OCD
- 7 investigations as a sergeant?
- 8 A. I did.
- 9 Q. How is it that you went about conducting an OCD
- 10 investigation in those roles?
- 11 A. Well, the first thing, obviously, when you get assigned the
- 12 investigation, the first thing I like to do is contact the
- 13 complainant. Before I do anything else, I want to speak to the
- 14 complainant and find out if the information that I have on that
- 15 written report details the whole encounter. If there is any
- 16 more information that I can get, I will add that to my own
- 17 file, build out on it so I can identify exactly what the
- 18 complaint is about.
- 19 Q. The written report that you just made reference to, is that
- 20 a summary from the Civilian Complaint Review Board, a summary
- 21 of the allegations?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 2.3 Q. OK. Go on.
- 24 A. Then once I have a preliminary interview with the
- 25 complainant, then I will talk to the subject officer or SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

officers and get any pertinent paperwork in regard to it and conduct an investigation.

- 3 Q. So let's say a complaint comes in regarding an unidentified
- 4 officer. What steps would you take to try and identify that
- 5 officer?
- 6 A. Well, like I said, the first thing I do is interview the
- 7 complainant because I may be able to find out some additional
- 8 info.
- 9 Q. What kind of information would you hope to find out?
- 10 A. The location is good because then I can run the location
- and Sprint to see if there was a radio run at the same time and
- 12 I can see basically if anybody went over the radio to say they
- 13 were responding. Then I can take a look at the precinct roll
- 14 calls and I can track down any officers that were working that
- particular tour, collect their activity log entries to see if
- they were there, and any other pertinent reports that were
- 17 taken from there.
- 18 Q. What information that you would get from the complainants
- 19 might help you identify the officer, the unknown officer?
- 20 A. I mean, what happens typically, the first thing you would
- 21 ask is, was the officer in uniform or was he in plain clothes,
- 22 what is the physical description of the officer, did you get a
- 23 radio car number or a shield number or a name, you know, hair
- 24 color, these type of things.
- Q. Now, in terms of a complaint where you actually had an SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

1 identified officer, do you also interview the officers?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you document that in the work that you're doing when
- 4 you're keeping track of the steps you're taking with respect to
- 5 your OCD investigation?
- 6 A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- 7 Q. Now, did you interview the complainants over the phone or in person?
- 9 A. Well, going back to December 2000 and 2005, if my memory
- 10 serves correct, I just got a cell phone around that time. So
- 11 back then it was pretty common to have to go out to somebody's
- 12 residence and try and make personal contact. But with cell
- phones it's made it much easier to contact people either

process didn't further inconvenience them.

- through an e-mail or via phone. Basically, what I would do at that point is by phone or in person.
 - Q. If the complainant wants to being speak over the phone, do you just accommodate -- let me rephrase the question.

What determines whether you're going to speak to the complainant by phone or in person?

A. I always like to take into consideration, if somebody took the time out to file a complaint, then they have already had a negative interaction with the police. So I always would extend myself and ask what is most convenient for the complainant, and I would make arrangements to be available for them just so the

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

Q. If a complainant wanted to speak to you in person, would

- 2 you make arrangements to speak to the complainant in person?
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 Q. Now, what happens if you made attempts to reach out to the
- 5 complainant but you didn't receive any return calls, what would
- 6 you do next?
- 7 A. Well, if we were unable to make contact through phone, we
- 8 would go to the house if there was an address provided. I used
- 9 to go a minimum of three different times. I would go in the
- daytime and go in the evening, just in case the person had a
- 11 day job or a night job, I wouldn't know that usually in
- 12 advance. If that was also unsuccessful, I would leave a letter
- 13 at the address provided, if I had the address. I would leave a
- 14 letter with my contact information. I had an answering machine
- in the office and sometimes that worked.
- 16 Q. When you were unable to make contact with the complainant,
- did you then continue with the investigation anyway?
- 18 A. Well, yes. I would still have to -- I would still have an
- 19 interview with the officers responsible for generating the
- 20 complaint in the first place and try and find out exactly, you
- 21 know, what could they have done better, or could we have done
- 22 something better to not have the complaint in the first place.
- 23 Q. After you do your interviews and gather all the paperwork,
- 24 what happens next, in terms of -- let me rephrase the question. 25 After gathering the paperwork in connection with the

After gathering the paperwork in connection with the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

1 OCD investigation, what would you do with that paperwork?

- A. I would type up the findings of my investigation and turn
- 3 it into my commanding officer for review.
 - Q. Where was that paperwork maintained?
- 5 A. Which paperwork?

4

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 6 Q. The OCD paperwork.
- 7 A. I would give it to my commanding officer for review.
- 8 Q. Now, when you were done with the OCD investigation, did you
- 9 actually transmit the paperwork and arrange to send that off
- 10 through channels to the office of chief of department?
- 11 A. The investigations they have, there is an official
- 12 department form which you type the results of the investigation
- on. The corresponding paperwork that I would compile for the
- investigation, what I used to do is I would make my own file on
- it. I had a filing cabinet where I would keep a folder with
- all the accompanying documents for every chief of department

17 communication that I did.

I did that because when I first started doing the job, there would be occasions where, let's say I was doing an investigation and then sometime later, maybe a third party would call in a similar complaint, it would come in under a different number, but it would become evident it was the same thing. So rather than go back and retrieve all the documents again, I found it was easier to just keep everything on file, which is what I did.

D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct

1 Q. Does the police department require that the underlying

- 2 paperwork in support of an OCD investigation be transmitted up
- 3 to the office of chief of department?
- 4 A. That was not a requirement. It's just something that I did
- 5 to cut down on having to redo it again in the event that
- 6 another complaint came in for the same incident.
- 7 Q. Now, as the commanding officer of the 67 Precinct, are OCD
- 8 investigations handled in the same way that you just described?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. When officers in your command now, in the 67 Precinct, are
- 11 finished with their OCD investigations, how are the results
- 12 communicated up through channels to the office of chief of
- 13 department?
- 14 A. The administrative lieutenant will -- they will be turned
- in to him. He will review them. When he feels that they are
- 16 ready for my review, they will come to me. I will either,
- 17 based on what I see, sign it or send it back for further
- 18 investigation if I am not satisfied with it. And then I will
- 19 endorse it and it will go back up through channels.
- 20 Q. The document that actually gets transmitted up through
- 21 channels, is that an OCD disposition and penalty report?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- 23 Q. As the CO of the 67 Precinct, are there occasions when you
- 24 substantiated charges against an officer in connection with
- 25 these OCD investigations?

```
D4T8FLO4 Lehr - direct A. Yes.
1
 2
       (Continued on next page)
3
4
5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

1 Q. And in your role as ICO and sergeant were there occasions

- 2 when you held those positions that you substantiated charges
- 3 against officers for OCD -- are there occasions when you were
- 4 the ICO and the sergeant that you substantiated some of the OCD
- 5 charges?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And so what encounters represent the largest portion of the
- 8 complaints concerning encounters with the police that you have
- 9 reviewed at the OCD level?
- 10 A. Most often it's either -- it's the manner in which the
- 11 encounter takes place. There's -- the narratives will describe
- 12 some sort of rude behavior by the police officer. So it's
- 13 either a rude encounter or somebody doesn't understand -- or it
- was failed to be explained to them why they were engaged in the first place.
- 16 So more than anything else what I'm seeing when I
- 17 review these is either a situation where the officer could have
- 18 maybe navigated it better or did not communicate exactly what
- 19 was going on at the time.
- 20 Q. Are officers expected to, when possible, explain the reason
- 21 for a stop?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And are some of the OCD complaints that you received, do
- 24 they indicate to you that officers could have done a better job
- in explaining the reason why a person was stopped?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- ${\tt Q.}\ \ {\tt Now,}$ the OCD disposition and penalty report, does that then
- 3 get sent to the borough command?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now when you're looking at an OCD report and disposition,
- 6 what are you looking for as the CO of the 67 precinct?
- 7 A. The first thing I'd be looking for is the interview with
- 8 the complainant. I want to make sure that we interviewed the
- 9 complainant, got all the facts; and plus, it's important for
- the complainant to know that the police department followed up
- and is actually following up and taking some action on whatever was the source of the complaint.

The other thing I'm looking for is the -- what did they find out from the officers? What did the officers say was the reason for the encounter and what exactly happened?

Then I'm looking for the accompanying documents that would support that.

- 18 $\,$ Q. Are there occasions when you disagreed with the disposition
- of the OCD investigation noted by a supervisor?
- 20 A. Yes.

13

14

15

- 21 Q. And what have you done about that?
- 22 A. I have had it returned with some instructions on whatever I
- 23 felt needed to be included in the investigation or another step
- that needed to be taken or two.
- Q. What are examples of occasions when you've returned the OCD SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

1 investigation to one of your supervisors for further work?

- 2 A. A lot of times it has to do with a disposition. I mean
- 3 I've had cases where the -- maybe the disposition was
- 4 exonerated and what happens is there was either a failure to or
- 5 would have been unable to contact the complainant and that's,
- 6 you know -- by definition to have an exonerated disposition,
- 7 the parties would have to be, both parties would have to be
- 8 interviewed.
- 9 Q. So if someone was not interviewed and there was an
- 10 exoneration, would that be a change that you would make if that
- 11 came across your desk?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And what would you change that disposition to?
- 14 A. Depending on -- at minimum -- it could be an
- 15 unsubstantiated or based on the other factors involved with the
- 16 case it could be something that was maybe substantiated against
- 17 the officer.
- 18 Q. Approximately how many OCD investigations are investigated
- in your command per month?
- 20 A. In 2012 we averaged 70 per month roughly.
- 21 $\,$ Q. Now can the direct supervisor of the officer who is the
- 22 subject of the complaint conduct the investigation?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Under what circumstances?
- 25 A. (No response).

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

- 1 Q. When can that happen?
- 2 A. If the administrative lieutenant assigns it to him they
- 3 will conduct that investigation.
- Q. Now if that supervisor was involved in the actual stop,
- 5 would that supervisor then be responsible for conducting an
- 6 investigation of the officer who is the subject of the OCD
- 7 investigation?
- 8 A. Typically if that supervisor is named in the complaint or
- 9 is somehow involved is going to be a subject or a witness, so
- 10 no. Somebody else would be responsible for conducting that
- 11 investigation.
- 12 Q. Now are there occasions when the supervisor who might have
- 13 signed off on a 250, for example, but was not present during a
- 14 stop, could that sergeant or supervisor conduct the OCD
- 15 investigation?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you have any concerns that a direct supervisor under
- 18 that circumstance might not be able to be impartial?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Or let me ask it differently that's a double -- do you have
- 21 concerns about whether that supervisor would be impartial?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. How come?
- 24 A. Because ultimately the investigation upon completion is
- going to be brought back to me for review. And based on the -- SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

1 what's noted in the final disposition I'll be able to make a

- 2 determination whether or not it was properly conducted.
- 3 Q. Now, in the 67 precinct has your command received OCD
- 4 complaints alleging that a person was stopped for no reason?
- 5 A. Yes.

16

17

18

20

21

22

2.3

24 25

- ${\tt Q.}$ In the last month what percentage of the OCD complaints
- 7 involved stop, question and frisk encounters?
- 8 $\,$ A. I had taken a look at the first hundred for the year and of
- 9 those first hundred there were five that I would put in that 10 category.
- 11 Q. What was the nature of those complaints?
- 12 A. There were stops, encounters, and basically what's been
- described as either rude behavior by the police officer or
- they -- it was not explained to them why they were stopped in the first place.

 $\,$ MS. HOFF VARNER: Your Honor, if I may, I think I need to raise an objection to the prior line of questioning. But I just want to consult with my colleague very briefly.

19 (Pause)

Your Honor, I'd like to object to the prior line of questioning about the OCD complaints that were received at the 67 precinct, to the numbers of complaints and the substance thereof. And I object on at least two grounds. I'll start with the first one which is that years ago we asked for information about OCD investigations and complaints. The city SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

2.3

designated Julie Schwartz and Helen McAleer as their 30(b)(6) witnesses to testify about all of these areas. Neither witness was able to give us any of the information that Commanding Officer Lehr just provided.

THE COURT: Which in particular.

 $\,$ MS. HOFF VARNER: For example, the appropriate ways in which ICOs or other officers should conduct investigations, the percentage of complaints that were relevant to stop, question and frisk.

THE COURT: That was very recent.

Wasn't that very recent based on only this year? THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Yes. The question was: I have taken a look at first hundred for this year and only five would be in the category complaining about the stop and frisk for no reason.

That's 2013. I thought that was going to be your objection, you hadn't gotten any 2013 data.

MS. HOFF VARNER: That's part two of the objection which is that we have no documents — the city has produced nothing that would allow us to cross—examine this witness or to verify that what he's saying is consistent with the documentation.

THE COURT: Right.

When did you do the work that told you that of the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

2.3

first hundred complaints in 2013 only five had to do with complaining about a stop and frisk for no reason. When did you put that together?

THE WITNESS: I just looked at that in the last two weeks.

THE COURT: Who asked you to do that?

 $\,$ THE WITNESS: Well after consultation with counsel, I took a look at that.

THE COURT: Well then, yeah, I think you should have turned over the results of that. If you asked this witness to prepare these statistics, they should have made that known to the plaintiffs' counsel.

 $\,$ MS. GROSSMAN: Your Honor, I'm just trying to give a context for --

THE COURT: I know what you're trying to do. But he went and got new statistics for 2013 in the last two weeks because you asked him to. If you were going to ask him to update his statistics in 2013 surely that should have been made known to the plaintiffs.

We've had objections before in this case to material developed in the last four months. Unless it was produced in discovery and everybody had a fair chance to deal with it, I'm not going to allow it.

MS. GROSSMAN: Well, your Honor, first of all the discovery demands were very specific to particular commands.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct So Inspector Lehr is of the 67 precinct.

2.3

So Inspector Lehr is of the 67 precinct. No discovery has been sought by other precincts. Because the plaintiffs wanted to focus on particular precincts and we provided documents in response to that.

This is a case challenging the entire city.

THE COURT: I know what the case is about, actually, Ms. Grossman. I've been living with it for a lot of years, as you often point out. The point is that there were two witnesses designated on this subject. It's not this witness. And he's done some statistical work in the last couple weeks that was not made known to the plaintiffs.

It doesn't seem to me, to use a word that you use frequently, fair.

So what would you like to do? Do you want to just put off the cross until tomorrow, which is what's going to happen anyway. It's ten after four.

MS. HOFF VARNER: More specifically, plaintiffs move to strike the testimony both about the recent statistical analysis, the -- what happens with respect to OCD investigations in the 67 precinct and the testimony about the appropriateness and the sort of standards for OCD investigation to the extent that he testified beyond his personal experience.

THE COURT: He didn't, really.

Isn't all that you've talked about based on your personal experience as the precinct commander?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct THE WITNESS: Well in terms of if you're talking about 2 what I did when I conducted chief of department that was -- I 3 actually did that work as a lieutenant when I was -- the 4 question was, was I previously an integrity control officer? 5 And I said yes. 6 THE COURT: You were an integrity officer where? 7 THE WITNESS: I was for five years. 8 THE COURT: Where? 9 THE WITNESS: In the 66. 10 THE COURT: So that was based on personal experience. 11 MS. HOFF VARNER: To the extent that he's testified 12 about his personal experience conducting OCD investigations as 13 an ICO or lieutenant, that's fine. To the extent it's gone 14 beyond that. 15 THE COURT: To what extent has it gone beyond that? 16 MS. HOFF VARNER: I don't think it has. 17 MR. MOORE: He's talked about what he did in the last 18 two weeks. 19 THE COURT: That I know. That's a different subject. 20 We're getting to that. 21 MS. HOFF VARNER: To the extent that he's talked about 22 the --2.3 THE COURT: Number of complaints that he looked at in 24 2013, there were about a hundred, only five of them had to do 25 with stop and frisk for no reason, and he put that together in SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct 1 the last two weeks. How did you do that? What did you look at to compile 2 3 that number? 4 THE WITNESS: I had my admin lieutenant, who actually 5 distributes those, take a look through. I said take a look. See if there's something that would fall under this criteria. 6 7 THE COURT: Take a look at what? Pieces of paper? 8 THE WITNESS: The actual complaints. And of those --9 try and identify any that would be in relationship to a stop 10 and, you know, let me know. 11 THE COURT: And you told this administrative assistant 12 to look at all the complaints in 2013 to date? THE WITNESS: No. I took a sample $\operatorname{--}$ I took the first 13 hundred. It was a sample. We had 70 a month from last year. 14 15 So it would have been --16 THE COURT: This is this year. I thought this was 17 2013, I thought you said. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. The first hundred from this year. 19 THE COURT: The first hundred in 2013? 20 THE WITNESS: Right. 21 THE COURT: So. 22 MS. HOFF VARNER: We would ask to strike all of that 23 testimony. 24 THE COURT: We could ask the city to produce the first 25 hundred in 2013, and you could look through them. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

MS. HOFF VARNER: I think in the alternative we would request all of the OCD investigations from -- at least for 2013 and preferably from 2012 when he became the commanding officer of the 67 so we have an opportunity to test this evidence that he's providing about the statistics.

THE COURT: I think that's fair.

How hard was that for this administrative assistant to gather the complaints? Are they all in one place? Are they paper or computer? How does one gather --

THE WITNESS: They are hard copy paper.

11 THE COURT: They are hard copy paper. Are they filed 12 together?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: You could easily bring in the first hundred or you could bring in all of them from 2013, right?
No. It's not for Ms. Grossman. It's for you.

That would be easy to do, to bring in all the physical

18 complaints from 2013?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

19

22

2.3

THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 THE COURT: Is it about 70 a month because you said 21 that.

THE WITNESS: That was the average. When we averaged out 2012, that was our average.

24 THE COURT: So you don't know the total number for 25 2013 to date?

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct 1 THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: How long are they, each complaint? Is it 2 3 just one page each? 4 THE WITNESS: It depends on the narrative. It's typed 5 out. 6 THE COURT: So is it a page or two each? 7 THE WITNESS: Roughly. 8 THE COURT: Yeah. Page or two each. 9 What's your position, Ms. Grossman? 10 MS. GROSSMAN: Well, your Honor, you asked me to 11 immediately find a witness today. He was --12 THE COURT: I appreciate that. What's your position 13 on producing these pieces of paper? 14 MS. GROSSMAN: I think, if you wouldn't mind, I think 15 a hundred for the first -- if we can narrow it to the 16 testimony, we can see where that goes. Because the burden in 17 the middle of all that we're doing right now, I am just asking 18 that we limit retrieval of the documents to what he testified 19 20 THE COURT: I don't think so. The point is to test the assertion that it's about a five percent rate on these 21 22 complaints. You raised it. You didn't have to raise it. So 23 I'm not worried about burden. You raised it. You wanted to 24 put this statistic into evidence. So it seems to me that to 25 get sort of a fair look it should be all of them from 2013 to SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct date. I don't know that it's a big burden.

MS. GROSSMAN: We'll look and find out what is involved.

2.2

2.3

THE COURT: I think he just told us what's involved. They're a page or two each on average. There may be by now as many as 280 or 300. And they're physical pieces of paper. That's it.

MS. HOFF VARNER: Your Honor, we would also -- MS. GROSSMAN: We need to also consider redactions of

confidential information. I just don't know what we're going to be seeing. So we'll look for that document in response to the Court's order.

THE COURT: You can get the administrative assistant to start pulling them right now, get them together. As soon as you leave court, make a call and get them put together. I mean they already put the first hundred together. So put the rest together. And then give them to counsel, Ms. Grossman, so she can look at them for redaction purposes.

MS. GROSSMAN: Your Honor, I just want to just make clear that neither Commissioner Schwartz nor McAleer were responsible for actually conducting the OCD investigations.

So to the extent that the plaintiffs are saying that these witnesses provided testimony and they weren't on notice, they didn't seek this information out in discovery.

MS. HOFF VARNER: Your Honor, with all -SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

THE COURT: Folks one at a time. One at a time. Not two or three.

MS. GROSSMAN: Of all the precincts.

THE COURT: Oh, no. I understand. They never sought all the precincts in the City of New York. Absolutely not. But you asked this question. You got this answer.

What is it?

2.3

MR. CHARNEY: I was just going to say that that's just not true. We served a 30(b)(6) deposition notice in the summer of 2009 on the policies and practices and procedures for conducting OCD investigations.

THE COURT: I understand. All that Ms. Grossman has said is that you didn't seek it precinct-by-precinct. You didn't ask every precinct to produce all its complaint reports.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CHARNEY: We didn't realize that was going to become an issue in this case until today.

THE COURT: I understand that.

MS. HOFF VARNER: Your Honor, I'd also request that if the city produces the disposition reports for these one hundred OCD investigations that they also produce any supporting documentation which, according to the witness, would include the activity logs or the UF 250s.

THE COURT: I'm not going to be able to do that.

It is in the middle of the trial. That would be burdensome, to start going back and looking for all the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

2.3

documentation for 280 complaints. I can't do that. If you want that, I will give you ten. That's not going to happen. That would be burdensome.

That's not what his administrator did. Your administrator just pulled the complaints and just counted up and tried to identify which ones were based on a stop and frisk allegedly for no reason. And they came to five percent. And that's what you want him to check.

MS. HOFF VARNER: Although, I think that the witness testified, and perhaps I'm incorrect, but I believe he testified that that paperwork was generated as part of the investigation and that it was all compiled as part of the disposition report in the final — the final disposition.

THE COURT: It may have been that's not what the administrative assistant pulled together and checked. I don't think that's what you said. I think they checked the complaint report.

THE WITNESS: Right.

THE COURT: To determine whether it was based -- whether the basis of the complaint was an alleged stop and frisk for no reason. And you asked that person to identify any that looked like that and the person said five out of a hundred.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: Yeah. So that's it.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

1 MS. HOFF VARNER: And we would also ask for a

2 reasonable amount of time to consider whatever documents are turned over.

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. HOFF VARNER: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MS. GROSSMAN:

- 7 Q. Inspector are you notified when civilian complaints are brought in to police officers?
- 9 A. Yes.

4

5

6

- 10 Q. How are you notified?
- 11 A. My integrity control officer monitors the civilian
- 12 complaints and he reports to me. Every week we talk about
- 13 what's -- how we're doing in that regard, recaps at the end of
- 14 the month with an updated sheet on how we're doing; how we did
- for the month and how we're doing for the year.
- 16 Q. Do you get monthly reports of the officers who receive
- 17 CCRBs in your command?
- 18 A. I do.
- 19 Q. And does anyone speak with officers about the civilian
- 20 complaints?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Who does?
- 23 A. Well, the integrity control officer, as the civilian
- 24 complaint reports get filed, will speak to the supervisor or
- 25 the officer, if it's a police officer, and the police officer SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct and the supervisor. They will be appraised of the contents of the CCRB report. And typically what happens is he'll start collecting paperwork that's going to be sent to the Civilian

4 Complaint Review Board for review.

MS. HOFF VARNER: I would just object to the answer to the extent that it's unclear as to whether he's speaking of his personal experience or of general practices in the police department.

MS. GROSSMAN: He's the commanding officer of the 67 precinct and is responsible for knowing what's going on in his command.

THE COURT: That sounds right.

13 Is this what goes on in your precinct where you're the 14 commander?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Is this the procedure that's followed?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

19 Q. Did you follow the same procedure when you were the ICO, 20

did you also have conversations with officers who had civilian

21 complaints?

22 A. Yes.

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

2.3 Q. And do you let the sergeants who supervise these subject

24 officers know about the fact that civilian complaints have been

25 filed against a particular officer?

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And how come you do that?
- 3 A. Excuse me?
- 4 Q. How come you do that?
- 5 A. Because I want the supervisors to monitor the performance
- of the officers and be aware of exactly how to perform out
- 7 there, keep an eye on them, and give them some guidance.
- 8 Q. Now what do you expect a supervisor to do if an officer he
- 9 supervises receives more than one CCRB within a six-month
- 10 period?
- 11 A. Well, with that there's an added responsibility on the
- 12 supervisor to try and provide some guidance to the officer, to
- 13 try and navigate the situation a little bit better,
- 14 particularly if it's for the same reason that he's compiling
- 15 these civilian complaints.
- 16 What we do if he had two civilian complaints and it
- 17 was for the same type of behavior or action, that would be
- 18 something we would be able to talk to the officer about. And I
- 19 would want the sergeant to specifically address that issue.
- 20 Q. Now, are you aware of the department's procedures regarding
- 21 monitoring and assistance programs?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. I'm going to show you what's been admitted as Defendants'
- 24 Exhibit Z3.

Now have officers on your command been placed on SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

- performance monitoring?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now looking at Defendants' Exhibit Z3, are you familiar
- 4 with this document?
- 5 A. Yes, I am.
- 6 Q. Now referring to Bates number NYC_2_00006350.

7 THE COURT: Mine are all illegible. I can't read any 8 Bates numbers on the copy you gave me. They just come off as a group of dashes.

MS. GROSSMAN: On the screen.

11 THE COURT: Do you know how far into the document that 12 is, roughly?

MS. PUBLICKER: About 16.

THE COURT: I think I found it. Thank you. Okay.

- Q. So Inspector, are you familiar with the criteria for an officer, the criteria that places an officer in performance monitoring?
- 18 A. Yes.

10

13

14

- 19 $\,$ Q. And what are your responsibilities as a CO when an officer
- is placed in performance monitoring at the level I?
- 21 A. I'll meet with the officer. I have to sit down with him.
- 22 I'll -- or her. I'll discuss the -- whatever the issue is that
- got them into performance monitoring in the first place, what
- 24 exactly the program entails, and what their responsibility is
- to successfully improve to the point where they can ultimately SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

- 1 get out of the program.
- 2 Q. And so how do you learn when an officer is placed in
- 3 performance monitoring.
- 4 A. You get a notification from the employee management
- 5 section.
- ${\tt Q.}$ And what kind of report is sent to you from employee
- 7 management?
- 8 A. I'll get a report detailing what exactly -- what happened
- 9 that got the officer into the program in the first place.
- 10 Q. So now looking at level I, second bullet point, 3 or more 11 CCRBs in one year.
- Do you actually know the summary? Do you receive a
- 13 summary of what those three CCRBs are about?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And what other information is provided by employee
- 16 management?
- 17 A. Basically we have access to -- well, what I'll get from
- 18 employee management is the notification that the person's
- 19 enrolled in a program, and the CCRBs. And the other stuff we
- 20 could just compile at the command.
- 21 Q. Do you get the CCRB history of an officer?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And do you get the disposition of those CCRB complaints?
- 24 A. If there is a disposition it would be part of the file,
- 25 ves.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

Q. And is it your understanding that when an officer is placed in performance monitoring it -- that the numbers that trigger

3 placement in the performance monitoring, it does not matter if

the CCRB is substantiated or unsubstantiated?

MS. HOFF VARNER: Objection to the leading nature of

- the question.
- 7 MS. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. I could ask that better.
- 8 Sorry.

6

- 9 Q. Do you have an understanding as to whether the criteria 10 that places an officer in performance monitoring requires an 11 unsubstantiated CCRB or a substantiated CCRB?
- 12 A. No. The fact that the CCRBs are generated in the first
- 13 place is enough that would enter them in the program.
- Q. Now when an officer is on level II, what other
- 15 documentation is provided to you --
- 16 A. Level.
- 17 Q. -- by employee management?
- 18 A. Well level II differs from level I in that it requires
- 19 quarterly reports on the performance of the subject officers.
- 20 So in addition to everything that would in a level I folder
- 21 there are evaluation reports that have to go back up to
- 22 employee management quarterly on the officer's progress.
- 23 $\,$ Q. And what information are you required to include in the
- 24 quarterly report?
- 25 A. They record different things such as sick, was the officer SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

1 subject to any disciplinary action during the rating period

- 2 such as command disciplines or minor violations, also demeanor
- 3 of the person, and then arrest and summons activity will all be
- 4 captured in that report. There's also a narrative where -- for some comments on an officer's performance.
 - Q. And when an officer in your command is placed in a monitoring program, what are you as the CO required to do?
 - A. I meet with the officer. We'll sit down. We'll discuss the factors that got them into the program.
 - I will give them all the parameters of the program and how he or she can successfully get through that program. And explain to them exactly the fact that they will be monitored. There will be interim reports that go up every quarter.
- Q. And do you discuss steps that the officer needs to take to get off the monitoring program?
- 16 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 17 Q. And do you also speak with the immediate supervisor?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 As well as the platoon commander or the lieutenant 20 that's responsible for the officer.
- 21 Q. Do you actually go through the civilian complaints with
- 22 that officer?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And what -- in terms of stops, have you had occasion to
- 25 monitor officers who have had CCRBs concerning improper stops? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

D4t9flo5 Lehr - direct

2.3

A. In my experience what's happening on these stops, it's the -- it's the manner in which the stop is made. It's more about rudeness. And I find it's either going to be the way that the officer spoke to the person or not explaining why the stop happened in the first place.

 $\,$ MS. HOFF VARNER: Your Honor, I would object to that question and answer to the extent that it relies on information that he pulled together based on the 2013 analysis that we just discussed.

THE COURT: Is that based on the review of the 2013 information?

THE WITNESS: We're talking about civilian complaints now?

MS. GROSSMAN: Just generally.
THE WITNESS: No. It's separate.
THE COURT: Objection overruled.

Okay. It's 4:30. We need to stop for the day anyway. So I am going to direct that he speak to his administrative person and gather all of the 2013 complaints, just the one or two page summary of each complaint, so that it can be produced to your counsel for potential redaction and then to plaintiffs' counsel.

See you tomorrow.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ MOORE: Judge, can I have a word with you unrelated to the case?

```
D4t9flo5
                                Lehr - direct
                THE COURT: Yes. Sure.
MS. HOFF VARNER: Your Honor, can I just ask for a
 1
 2
 3
      deadline or some sort of date certain by which --
                THE COURT: I'm hoping. But he has to call his person
 4
 5
      first to see.
 6
                (Adjourned to April 30, 2013 at 10:00 a.m.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX	OF	' E	CXA	IM	NA	ТΙ	ON	I		
2	Examination of:										Page
3	JAMES SHEA										
4	Cross By Ms. Hoff Varner .										.5167
5	Redirect By Ms. Cooke										.5178
6	DAMIAN VIZCARRONDO										
7	Direct By Mr. Marutollo .										.5190
8	Cross By Ms. Borchetta										.5203
9	DEWKOEMAR MOHAN										
10	Cross By Mr. Moore										.5230
11	Redirect By Mr. Marutollo										.5237
12	EDUARDO SILVA										
13	Direct By Mr. Marutollo .										.5239
14	Cross By Mr. Moore										.5252
15	Redirect By Mr. Marutollo										.5260
16	STEPHEN MONROE										
17	Direct By Mr. Marutollo .										.5262
18	Direct By Mr. Marutollo .										.5284
19	Cross By Mr. Moore										.5300
20	Redirect By Mr. Marutollo										.5333
21	KENNETH LEHR										
22	Direct By Ms. Grossman										.5335
23											
24											
25											
	COLUMN DA	י יייי	т.	ч п	DE	$\neg \circ$	ът	יחדי		_	

1	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS						
2	Exhibit No.		Received				
3	03, C4, N5, a						
4	D10						
5	J14						
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
20		SOUTHERN	DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.				